

Site Allocations (Homes & Jobs) Preferred Options Consultation: DC Policies DPD: Development Limits Issues & Options Responses

Support

D1 - Guidelines for the review of development and infill limits – comments

Respondents: 3

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0267_1259 / 25251	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	In support of the fact that not all land within development limits is suitable or development. Existing and future policies will be applied to prevent the development of unsuitable locations.		
0267_1259 / 25258	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements strongly support the approach that if a site is not confirmed as an allocation when the DPD is adopted then changes to the development and infill limits will not be made.		
1035_1084 / 26541	Ms K Ruddock		I agree with the statement that if a site is not confirmed as an allocation when the DPD is adopted then changes to the development and infill limits will not be made.		

D2 - Development and infill limits – comments on proposed limits

Respondents: 5

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0267_1259 / 25261	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	The current development limits have been drawn in the correct place through including the preferred options allocations.		
0447_0449 / 25514	North Stainley with Sleningford Parish Council		The proposed development limit includes an area of land that we wish to include as open space to benefit the settlement. The area of land we wish to protect as open space is on the East of the settlement and had been included as part of the Watermill Lane housing development	DL52L	
1267_1336 / 25952	Miss E Cordingley and others	Strutt And Parker	The change will allow for the comprehensive development of the site		
1390_1468 / 26891	John Harr Properties	White Young Green	Support the location of the proposed changes to the development limit in Hampsthwaite as it will allow for continued sustainable growth in Hampsthwaite without impacting on the character of or appearance of the village.		
1701_1846 / 25660	Mr and Mrs R Suttill		Support the proposed development limit of Burnt Yates to include the land to the south along Clint Bank.		

D3 - Development and infill limits – suggestions for alternative limits

Respondents: 44

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0087_1913 / 25273	Yardley (Green Hammerton) Ltd	Mr D Crease	Plant screening along the A59 forms a visual limit to the settlement and should be included as part of the development limit	DL24c	

0184_1927 / 25352	Rainton with Newby Parish Council		There are substantial stone buildings to the immediate rear of The Grange Farm. These would be suitable for conversion into dwellings and would enhance the appearance of the settlement. This would be consistent with the proposed development limit which includes a similar property at Manor Farm.	DL63
0184_1927 / 25353	Rainton with Newby Parish Council		The proposed development limit dissects land at the rear of Rosewood, Sleights Lane and is inconsistent to the approach taken elsewhere in the settlement. Amend to include the eastern boundary would rectify the anomaly.	DL64
0184_1927 / 25354	Rainton with Newby Parish Council		The proposed development limit dissects land to the rear of Three Gables and The Paddock, Carr Lane yet includes land at the two neighbouring dwellings Thistledown and The Ridings. Amend to include the southern boundary would be more consistent.	DL65
0221_1278 / 25385	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	The current development limit omits several buildings and roads located on the southern side of York Road and also some existing farm buildings on the southern edge of the subject site. It is considered that the development limit should include these areas and should be drawn accordingly.	K2b
0221_1278 / 25395	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	North of Skipton Road should be included within the development limit	
0229_1277 / 26378	Hallam Land Management Ltd	Andrew Martin Associates	The development limit should include area 'Harrogate 1'. The site is well related to the build up area and is well served by community facilities and services. It is also easily accessible to a wide range of transport modes. Development could be achieved without harming the countryside and landscape.	Harrogate 1
0229_1277 / 26380	Hallam Land Management Ltd	Andrew Martin Associates	The area of land includes the councils preferred option H32(1) for residential development. The area is also currently a designated SLA. Any change to the development should not be taken without seriously considering the retention of the land as SLA	Harrogate 4
0267_1259 / 25250	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	R32, reduced site area, should be included as part of the development limit as the site would provide a suitable and developable site as part of the development plan period.	R32
0267_1259 / 25262	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements would like to include the developable part of R32 as an extension to the development limit for Ripon.	
0280_1727 / 25178	Taylor Wimpey Developments Ltd	Carter Jonas	Adoption of site B2 would require changes to the development limit to include the site.	B2
0286_1743 / 26042	Zetland Estates	Carter Jonas	Extension of the development limit to the Southwest, to include the land at Whitcliffe Grange Farm.	DL74
0291_1741 / 26071	Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Carter Jonas	Suggested alteration to amend the limits at the southeast boundary to include The Mount, Mount Cottage, South View, Broom House, Grange Farm and Littlethorpe Manor.	DL76
0312_1921 / 25424	Cllr G F Webber		It would be necessary to expand the development limit to include this site.	RL500
0483_1642 / 26037	Mr P B Ambler	Arrowsmith Associates	Site RL68 and buildings to the east and west of the settlement should be included within the development limit.	

0648_0659 / 26712	Mr J Thompson		(Suggestion had been made for the Bewerley development limit to be increased).In accordance with Policy SG2 of the Core Strategy, Bewerley is regarded as a non-settlement and a development limit will not be drawn around the settlement.	
1236_1304 / 25583	Goldsborough and Flaxby Parish Council		The Parish recommend, and have previously recommended, an extension to the development limit at the north east of the settlement to include the former farm buildings. The Parish strongly recommend this as it would mean the site K1046 could be developed as a mixed use site rather than 100% affordable.	DL66
1402_1482 / 25871	Mrs J Etchells		The premises directly north of the railway line should be included.	
1406_1637 / 25615	Mr J L Umpleby	Hutchinson and Buchanan	Should be extended to the southwest of the settlement to include site RL1063 and Richmond Garth.	
1417_1499 / 25618	Great Ouseburn Parish Council		Suggesting an extension to the development limit. Small scale rounding off is appropriate at the eastern edge of the boundary to include site RL1064.	RL1064a
1418_1500 / 25619	Mr D Coidan		Suggestion for the development limit to be extended to follow features that clearly define the northern boundary. The land south of back lane is currently residential gardens and agricultural buildings. The development limit should include this land up to back lane. The buildings would make suitable conversions in character with the build environment.	
1455_1539 / 25628	Mr D Whitaker		The development limit should be changed to include the land and this allows areas close to the existing development limit to be incorporated permitting incremental growth, recognising the school provides adequate land for development.	DL27c
1455_1539 / 26826	Mr D Whitaker		The development limit should be changed to include the land and this allows areas close to the existing development limit to be incorporated permitting incremental growth, recognising the school provides adequate land for development.	DL27d
1456_1540 / 25630	Mrs E Whitaker		The development limit should be changed to include the land which allows areas close to the existing development limit to be incorporated permitting incremental growth, recognising the school provides adequate land for development.	DL27c
1456_1540 / 26825	Mrs E Whitaker		The development limit should include this land and this allows areas close to the existing development limit to be incorporated permitting incremental growth, recognising the school provides adequate land for development.	DL27d
1540_1639 / 25648	Mr C T Knowles	Indigo Planning Ltd	Suggest that the site at Springfield Close should be included within the development limit. The site, is surrounded by development on three sides, is in an area where the council have been considering for urban extensions, forms part of the main built form of the settlement, if included would form a clearly defined development boundary and, does not protrude from the existing built form.	
1608_1737 / 26076	Trustees of the J M and Mrs HM Hope	Carter Jonas	Suggestion to increase the development to include RL1009 and the land south at Grainbeck Manor	DL26b

1609_1739 / 26079	Mr and Mrs C Potter	Carter Jonas	The limits should include the large expanse of land to the east of the settlement.	DL75
1611_1744 / 26085	Mr N Meader	Carter Jonas	Amend to include site RL500 as part of the development limit.	DL77
1612_1745 / 26094	Swiers/Dearlove Addyman/Chippendale	Carter Jonas	The development limit should be extended to include the whole of the Bilton Triangle	
1700_1857 / 25659	The Dower House Hotel	Arrowsmith Associates	Suggested to include the hard surfaced car park to the Dower House Hotel.	DL67
1720_1867 / 25697	Exors G S Wilkinson	F M Lister And Son	Suggested development limit increase to include land at Hillfoot Lane, Dawcross, Pannal.	DL31c
1723_1870 / 25754	Mr J M Morrell	F M Lister And Son	Large area of land to the east of the settlement should be included within the development limit.	DL26e
1727_1874 / 25758	Mr and Mrs Chung	Signet Planning	The proposed amendments do not follow natural features, but in fact follows an artificial line. The proposal is to include an area of land extending to 0.321 ha to the immediate south west of Renton Close. The land is currently unkept scrubland, well contained and is believed that its inclusion would form a logical rounding-off to the settlement with minimal encroachment into the open countryside.	DL38d
1727_1874 / 25759	Mr and Mrs Chung	Signet Planning	The proposed amendments do not follow natural features, but in fact follows an artificial line. The proposal is to include the existing houses and associated gardens for properties No 26 and 21-31 Renton Close. The existing residential development to the east and the proposed land for inclusion is brownfield land and was previously a paper mill. Its inclusion would form a logical rounding-off to the settlement with minimal encroachment into the open countryside.	DL38e
1729_1876 / 25765	Mr and Mrs Stoney	Arrowsmith Associates	Proposal to include an area of land to the west off the settlement, south of main street. The current limit runs at approx 40m width either side of Main Street, apart from where the site in question is situated. It is felt by including this site it will eradicate the anomaly.	DL23f
1730_1877 / 25766	Mr I Burrows	Peacock And Smith	Proposal for the inclusion of Croft Business Park and adjacent properties which are located on Scriftian Lane. The area of land is currently being considered as a housing allocation and is identified as brownfield land.	DL71
1730_1877 / 25767	Mr I Burrows	Peacock And Smith	The Croft Business Park to the south east of the development limit should be included as it currently forms part of the built environment. The trees on Scriftian Lane form a boundary, which is protected by TPOs, so the boundary of the residential area could not be expanded.	
1764_1918 / 26398	Mr I Moverley		Development limit should include a large area of land to the west of the settlement. It would benefit commuters to Harrogate and York as well as local residents.	RL1102
1765_1919 / 26401	Kirkby Malzeard Charity Trust		We request that the limit be extended directly west of the homes at Manor Court to include the field north of the settlement. It would represent ideal land for future affordable housing.	DL28e
1778_1935 / 26475	Mrs J S Mawer		Inclusion of land to the south west of the settlement	DL51c
1799_1960 / 26360	Mr N Graham	C B Richard Ellis Ltd	The site should be included within the development limit as far as the end of the terraced dwellings on Longlands Lane.	DL58f

1801_1962 / 26623 Ms A Baxendale

This site should be included in the development limit as it is surrounded on three sides by existing development. North Yorks Traffic Authority has also stated that subject to minor modifications the gateway entrance could provide adequate access for four homes. R2001

1819_2043 / 26520 Smiths Gore

Include the 'unsightly' old fashioned dairy buildings which are situated north and south of the farmhouse and village street. The site would lend itself to 50/50 open market and affordable housing

D4 - Development and infill limits – general comments

Respondents: 1

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0267_1259 / 25248	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	In support of the need to protect the countryside from encroachment through designation of development and infill limits.		

Object

D1 - Guidelines for the review of development and infill limits – comments

Respondents: 24

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0261_1899 / 25390	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	The methodology set out under Appendix 1, and the review of sites as per the Site Allocations DPD does not include an assessment of land is designated as Green Belt, our client considers that it would be appropriate to do so. It may be the case that some sites in the Green Belt are more suitable and sustainable, than the available sites located on the edge of settlement boundaries or in those areas where the Council is considering a Review of Landscape designation. This DPD should take this into account, and the guidelines in Appendix 1 should reflect this.		
0267_1259 / 25244	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	The document fails to comply with PPS12 test of soundness 'i' there is no reference within the LDS as to the production of a Development Limits document.		
0267_1259 / 25245	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Within the LDS the full title of the document is 'Development Control Policies DPD & Proposals Map' however there is no reference made to the Proposals Map in the title of the actual DPD. This therefore fails PPS12 test of soundness 'i'.		
0267_1259 / 25246	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements believes the document fails PPS12 test of soundness 'vii' as the preparation of the document has not been undertaken with a robust and credible evidence base.		
0267_1259 / 25247	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	The production of the Development Limit document is premature as the SHLAA has only just been published therefore it fails PPS12 test of soundness 'vii'		
0267_1259 / 25256	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements believes the document fails to meet PPS12 test of soundness 'iv' and 'vii' as it is contrary to national planning policy		
0267_1259 / 25257	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements believes the document fails to meet PPS12 test of soundness 'iv' and 'vii' as it is contrary to national planning policy.		

0313_1137 / 26250	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	In the LDS July 2007 it stated that the council will be producing a Development Control Policies and Proposals Map DPD. The Proposals Map element has been missed from the title of the consultation paper. Will the council now be producing the maps seperately?	
0313_1137 / 26252	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	The LDS stated that the Preferred Options for the whole of the Development Control Policies and Proposals Map will be issued for consultation in Jan 2008. This clearly is not the case as the council are now seeking comments on Development Limits Issues and Options stage. Could the Council please clarify how the document conforms with the rest of the DPD.	
0313_1137 / 26254	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	We wish to make comments now on the Issues and Options stage, and also would like to comment when the documents is consulted upon as a whole.	
0313_1137 / 26255	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	There is uncertianty over the Council being able to deliver on RSS employment and housing requirements. Untill uncertianty has been resolved the Council's consultation on the document is premature.	
0313_1137 / 26256	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	It would be helpful to explain that development limits will be amended in line with any future land releases or allocations.	
0313_1137 / 26259	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	This DPD must be consistent with the Core Strategy. Policy SG1 identifies Pannal as part of Harrogate. Whereas the DPD states that Pannal is a group B settlement.	
0313_1137 / 26260	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	The DPD introduces the definition of Group B Towns, this complicates the already inconsistent settlement heirarchy.	
0313_1137 / 26262	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	The review of land should include land within the green belt.	
0313_1137 / 26265	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	We are concerned as to inconsistencies in the consultation. Appendix 1 states 'for the purpose of the consultation, we are asking you to look at the development / infill limits without any significant extensions to the settlements' However this proposed extension is a significant major change to the settlement boundary.	Harrogate 1
0313_1137 / 26266	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	We are concerned as to inconsistencies in the consultation. Appendix 1 states 'for the purpose of the consultation, we are asking you to look at the development / infill limits without any significant extensions to the settlements' However this proposed extension is a significant major change to the settlement boundary.	Harrogate 4
0313_1137 / 26267	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	We are concerned as to inconsistencies in the consultation. Appendix 1 states 'for the purpose of the consultation, we are asking you to look at the development / infill limits without any significant extensions to the settlements' However this proposed extension is a significant major change to the settlement boundary.	
0372_1625 / 26054	Miller Strategic Land	Dacre Son And Hartley	The consultation of this document should have been aligned with the Draft SHLAA and presented for wider debate at the allocations Issues and Options stage.	
0372_1625 / 26063	Miller Strategic Land	Dacre Son And Hartley	Invitations for alternative development limits is too late for meaningful debate, as the DPD has been produced to support the preferred options.	

0372_1625 / 26067	Miller Strategic Land	Dacre Son And Hartley	The issuing of the DPD at preferred options stage is flawed. Consultation should have occurred at an earlier stage.
1323_1400 / 25604	Mr T M Kessel		The assessment is insufficiently professional and is shadowed and driven by the SHLAA.
1712_1859 / 26066	Mr P Ambler	Arrowsmith Associates	The phrase 'surrounded by buildings on three sides' in the guidelines should be replaced by 'surrounded on three sides by features that are clearly part of the settlement fabric'.
1712_1859 / 26069	Mr P Ambler	Arrowsmith Associates	The current guidelines do not appear to have been changed from the earlier Issues and Options phase and that the Council's response to suggested changes at that phase are inadequate.

D2 - Development and infill limits – comments on proposed limits

Respondents: 121

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0046_1554 / 25267	Mr D G Thorpe		Not in support of reviewing policy coverage on 'Areas for Further Review sites 1, 10, 11 & 12 in the Local Landscape Designations' for the purpose of allocating parts of the land to include within development and infill limits. Why do we need development or infill limits.		
0047_1555 / 25275	Mrs M Thorpe		Not in support of reviewing policy coverage on 'Areas for Further Review sites 1, 10, 11 & 12 in the Local Landscape Designations' for the purpose of allocating parts of the land to include within development and infill limits. Why do we need development or infill limits.		
0073_1364 / 25342	Mr N Zoltie		Sites H32, H32(1), H27 and H27(1) are separated from the built environment of Harrogate. The development limit should not be increased to include them as it would initiate urban sprawl.		
0074_1364 / 25344	Mrs L Zoltie		Sites H32, H32(1), H27 and H27(1) are separated from the built environment of Harrogate. The development limit should not be increased to include them as it would initiate urban sprawl.		
0145_1721 / 25964	Woodhead Investments and Development Services LTD	ID Planning	Object to the exclusion of the land east of Ripon Road from the development limits.		
0184_1927 / 25351	Rainton with Newby Parish Council		A parcel of land to the rear of Rainton Methodist Church is used as a private allotment site. The land should be excluded from the proposed development limit as it would then protect it as 'open space'	DL62	
0221_1278 / 25380	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	Object to the alteration for the inclusion of the Cardale Park site.	H32(1)	
0221_1278 / 25381	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	The site is separated physically from the existing development limit. Development of the site would represent unacceptable development into the open countryside.	H32(1)	
0221_1278 / 25382	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	There is significant doubt cast over the sites developability for housing, therefore it should not be included within the settlements development limits	H32(1)	
0221_1278 / 25383	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	There are other alternative sites that are more suitable for housing development, therefore the site should not be included within the settlements development limits	H32(1)	

0221_1278 / 25384	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	In support of the retention of the development limits to include the Farnell Technology park and adjacent greenfield site for which mixed use allocation is being sought.	
0221_1278 / 25392	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	The site is divorced visually and physically from the main built up area of Harrogate by the green wedge and golf course. It is noted that the site is sensitive in ecological terms. The site should therefore be removed from the development limits.	Harrogate 1
0221_1278 / 25393	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	Constraints regarding the site's connection to a clean water supply and connectivity to the sewer network casts doubt over the sites developability, therefore the site should be removed from the development limits	Harrogate 1
0221_1278 / 25397	Commercial Estates Group	Nathaniel Lichfield	The site is remote from existing facilities as confirmed by the assessment in appendix 2, it only scored 2 out of a possible 5 positive in terms of accessibility. The site should therefore be removed from the development limit	Harrogate 4
0225_1581 / 25417	Persimmon Homes (Yorkshire) Ltd	Scott Wilson Ltd	Site H25 should not be removed from the development limit as it could be sensitively developed for housing and is in a sustainable location with easy access to a wide range of local services and facilities. Crag Road should be identified as the appropriate development limit.	H25
0237_1543 / 26846	Bishop Monkton Parish Council		Object to Preferred Option site RL117 being removed from the development limit.	
0254_0874 / 25346	Mr and Mrs A Hedges		I object to the extension of development limit 'Harrogate 4 - Cardale Park' as the existing limit should remain in place. Allowing development within the proposed limit extension would have an unacceptable impact and would not be appropriate. Development in this area is inappropriate because of the inadequacies of existing infrastructure, the lack of facilities in the area, the impact on the environment and the negative impact on the character of the town.	
0257_1252 / 25379	BT c/o Telereal	Savills	Land within RL118b(1) should not be taken out of the development limit. This land has historically been included within the development limit and there has been no change in circumstance to suggest that it should be removed. The current Local Plan designation affecting this land is associated with an urban land use and built development given the land provides a setting for the war memorial. It is therefore appropriate to include the parcel of land within the development limit in recognition of the reason for the designation.	RL118b(1)
0260_1582 / 26845	Kirk Hammerton Parish Council		Object to the extension of the development limit simply to enable affordable housing strategy to be delivered. Surely a more considered approach is required.	

0261_1899 / 25394	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	The proposed Development Limit is drawn too tightly around Harrogate and does not allow for any meaningful settlement growth. This is despite Core Strategy Policy SG1, requiring 48% of new homes to be built in Harrogate. The Core Strategy recognises that more greenfield land and settlement growth will be required to achieve this, yet the proposed development limits do not appear to have the degree of flexibility required. In particular, it is drawn too tightly around the Skipton Road area and should be redrawn to include the land to the north of Skipton Road and east of the B6161. This is considered appropriate given that the land in question is located north of an established residential estate and west of an existing commercial use. As a result, there is no justification for setting the boundary so tightly around this area.	
0267_1259 / 25253	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements strongly objects to the proposed development limit of Ripon	
0267_1259 / 25254	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements believes not enough suitable, available and achievable land has been included within the development limit for a sufficient supply of developable land throughout the plan period.	
0267_1259 / 25255	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	The proposed development limit, as currently drawn, would constrain future development for Ripon, thus having an adverse impact.	
0289_1965 / 25979	English Heritage		Proposed limits have been loosely drawn, this could lead to pressure for infill sites being developed. This will ultimately be to the detriment to the character of the settlement.	
0313_1137 / 26270	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	Proposed boundary to tight and does not allow for any settlement growth whatsoever. This is despite Pannal being identified as part of Harrogate in respect of the Core Strategy Policy SG1 which requires 48% of new homes to be built in this area.	
0328_0758 / 26837	Environment Agency		Object to the revised development limit excluding Preferred Option sites RL117	
0372_1625 / 26060	Miller Strategic Land	Dacre Son And Hartley	Development limits are drawn to 'allow the sustainable growth and development of the settlements within the district that have the best access to jobs, houses and services'. In our opinion this has not occurred. The three urban extensions H3, H32(1) and K2b do not sit comfortably as development limit amendments, and are large extensions remote from the settlements services.	
0372_1625 / 26061	Miller Strategic Land	Dacre Son And Hartley	Better use could have been made of existing sustainable alterations could have been made.	
0488_1048 / 25526	Mrs P Ruddock		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	Harrogate 1
0488_1048 / 25527	Mrs P Ruddock		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	Harrogate 4
0488_1048 / 25528	Mrs P Ruddock		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	

0488_1048 / 25529	Mrs P Ruddock		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0488_1048 / 25530	Mrs P Ruddock		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0489_1032 / 25542	Ms J Olnier		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	Harrogate 1
0489_1032 / 25543	Ms J Olnier		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	Harrogate 4
0489_1032 / 25544	Ms J Olnier		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0489_1032 / 25545	Ms J Olnier		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0489_1032 / 25546	Ms J Olnier		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0495_1029 / 25574	Ms B V Moss		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	Harrogate 1
0495_1029 / 25575	Ms B V Moss		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	Harrogate 4
0495_1029 / 25576	Ms B V Moss		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0495_1029 / 25577	Ms B V Moss		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0495_1029 / 25578	Ms B V Moss		The existing development should remain. Including the area in the development limit would see the loss of agricultural land with a progressive move into countryside.	
0656_0667 / 25593	G Kirby and Sons	Carter Jonas	The proposed development limit for Bishop Thornton would be too restrictive and prevent any sites coming forward. Retention of the existing development limits and indeed its expansion to include the whole stack yard area would be logical as the land relates well to the extent of the village due to adjacent residential curtilages, relates better to the village than surrounding countryside and does not encroach onto open countryside.	DL39c

0719_0732 / 25982	Ms S Scholes	Why have the development limits for Stavely been changed in the following locations without consultation - North and South of Minskip Road, North of Main Street. Stavely is a Group C settlement and yet the changes to limits seem to have been based on the idea that it is a Group B village.	
0789_0810 / 25636	Pateley Bridge Town Council	The present development limit around Glasshouses should remain and no extensions should be considered.	
0789_0810 / 25637	Pateley Bridge Town Council	The present development limit of Pateley Bridge should be retained and no extensions should be considered.	
0801_0823 / 25702	Mr J Olnier	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Killinghall Moor. The existing development limit should remain as the change would extend the built up area into the countryside and lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs or homes.	Harrogate 1
0801_0823 / 25703	Mr J Olnier	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Cardale Park. The existing development limit should remain as the change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs or homes.	Harrogate 4
0801_0823 / 25704	Mr J Olnier	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Beech Grove, Knaresborough. The existing limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs or homes.	
0801_0823 / 25705	Mr J Olnier	Disagree with the change to the limit at Manse Farm. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs or homes.	
0801_0823 / 25706	Mr J Olnier	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0889_0924 / 25721	Mrs E Pickburn	Strongly disagree with the proposal to amend the development limit in Kirk Hammerton. In relation to site RL1034 (1), the amendment appears to have been done to justify the use of that site for development. Also in relation to RL120, it would appear that HBC are creating an additional development limit, the purpose of which is development.	
0930_0969 / 25726	Mr P J Pickburn	There are no compelling reasons for the development limit to change therefore the retention of the existing development limit is appropriate. The creation of the new development is driven by the need by HBC to justify/validate the allocation of RL120.	
0967_1007 / 25728	Mr A Lister	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	Harrogate 1

0967_1007 / 25729	Mr A Lister	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	Harrogate 4
0967_1007 / 25730	Mr A Lister	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit with the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0967_1007 / 25731	Mr A Lister	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for homes and jobs.	
0967_1007 / 25732	Mr A Lister	Disagree with the change to the Killinghall development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit your criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0972_1012 / 25736	Ms J Trewin	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for homes and jobs.	Harrogate 1
0972_1012 / 25737	Ms J Trewin	Disagree with this change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for homes and jobs.	Harrogate 4
0972_1012 / 25738	Ms J Trewin	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0972_1012 / 25739	Ms J Trewin	Disagree with this change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0972_1012 / 25740	Ms J Trewin	Disagree with this change to the development limit of Killinghall. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0973_1013 / 25744	Mr P Trewin	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Killinghall Moor. The existing limit should remain as any changes would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit your criteria for land for jobs and homes.	Harrogate 1
0973_1013 / 25745	Mr P Trewin	Disagree with the change to the development limit at cardale Park. The existing limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs or homes.	Harrogate 4

0973_1013 / 25746	Mr P Trewin		Disagree with the change to the deveopment limit at Beech Grove Knaresborough. The existing limit should remian as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for homes and jobs.	
0973_1013 / 25747	Mr P Trewin		Disagree with the change to the development limit at Manse Farm, Knaresborough. The existing limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. The area does not fit your criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
0973_1013 / 25748	Mr P Trewin		Disagree with the change to the development limit at Killinghall. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for homes and jobs.	
0978_1018 / 26566	Ms A Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 1
0978_1018 / 26568	Ms A Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 4
0978_1018 / 26570	Ms A Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
0978_1018 / 26572	Ms A Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
0978_1018 / 26573	Ms A Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
0979_1019 / 26591	Mr J Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 1
0979_1019 / 26592	Mr J Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 4
0979_1019 / 26593	Mr J Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
0979_1019 / 26594	Mr J Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
0979_1019 / 26595	Mr J Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
1029_1078 / 25879	Mr A Ward	Barton Willmore Partnership	Object to the development limit for Cardale Park due to the unsustainable location of the site.	Harrogate 4
1029_1078 / 25880	Mr A Ward	Barton Willmore Partnership	The amendment to the development limit to the east of Knaresborough has been proposed to enable the development of the Council's Preferred Option site K2b. Object to this limit because the site is in a unsustainable location and the poor prospects of delivery within the plan period. Amending the Development Limits around Knaresborough to enable development of the Manse Farm site goes directly against the Council's approach of allowing sustainable growth in locations with the best access to jobs, housing and services.	

1033_1082 / 25882	Ms J Oxborrow	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Killinghall Moor. The existing limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	Harrogate 1
1033_1082 / 25883	Ms J Oxborrow	Disagree with the change to the development limit. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for homes and jobs.	Harrogate 4
1033_1082 / 25885	Ms J Oxborrow	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Beech Grove, Knaresborough. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit your criteria for land for jobs or homes.	
1033_1082 / 25887	Ms J Oxborrow	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Manse Farm, Knaresborough. The existing development limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit your criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
1033_1082 / 25888	Ms J Oxborrow	Disagree with the change to the development limit at Killinghall. The existing limit should remain as any change would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit the criteria for land for jobs and homes.	
1035_1084 / 26543	Ms K Ruddock	I disagree with this change. This would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit with your criteria for jobs and homes.	Harrogate 1
1035_1084 / 26544	Ms K Ruddock	I disagree with this change. This would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit with your criteria for jobs and homes.	Harrogate 4
1035_1084 / 26547	Ms K Ruddock	I disagree with this change. This would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit with your criteria for jobs and homes.	
1035_1084 / 26549	Ms K Ruddock	I disagree with this change. This would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit with your criteria for jobs and homes.	
1035_1084 / 26551	Ms K Ruddock	I disagree with this change. This would extend the built up area into the countryside and will lead to progressive loss of agricultural land. This area does not fit with your criteria for jobs and homes.	
1043_1093 / 26647	P A Olnier	The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 1
1043_1093 / 26648	P A Olnier	The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 4
1043_1093 / 26649	P A Olnier	The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
1043_1093 / 26650	P A Olnier	The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	

1043_1093 / 26651	P A Olnier		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.
1111_1167 / 25939	Miss M Sidaway		Site H1013 should be included within the development limits instead of H1021
1275_1345 / 25839	Mr P Roth		The proposal to include site RL1034(1) would only act as a spring board for similar developments. Represents encroachment into open countryside and not small scale rounding off or infill.
1275_1345 / 25840	Mr P Roth		The extension to the development limit of the seperate satellite area to the north of the settlement seems to lie with the preferred option of RL120. Although the overwhelming favoured site by the resident at RL1011 has not been included with the development limit. There is no rational explanation for this.
1294_1369 / 25601	Ms R Ingledew		Not in agreement with the proposed inclusion of site RL1034, the site is integral to the visual amenity of the settlement.
1402_1482 / 25869	Mrs J Etchells		If the satellite concept is maintained then the following areas should be excluded, all the area south of the railway line, site RL120, commercial vehicle repair garage and yard opposite Jacksons Yard, orchard north of Mayfield bungalow, the significant curtilage and adjacent land comprising Carlton Fields, Bensons Furniture Showroom.
1455_1539 / 25627	Mr D Whitaker		The proposed development limit is irrational as the proposed seperates the settlement into two seperate entities. It appears the preferred option sites for development are dictating the development limit, should this not be vice versa. Creating two seperate settlements cannot be justified in any logical or planning basis.
1456_1540 / 25629	Mrs E Whitaker		The proposed development limit is irrational as the proposed seperates the settlement into two seperate entities. It appears the preferred option sites for development are distating the development limit, should this not be vice versa. Creating two seperate settlements cannot be justified in any logical or planning basis.
1470_1559 / 25631	Ms H Pickburn		Not in support of the proposed changes. Alterations to the current development limit seem only to relate to the Council wishing to include sites that have been allocated for development.
1471_1560 / 25632	Mrs S Duke		Proposed development should be scrapped and the existing development limit should remain.
1482_1573 / 26095	Evans Property Group	White Young Green	The proposed extension around site RL14(1) is inappropriate on the grounds that the land is Grade 2 agricultural land, adjacent to the Conservation Area, and development here would lead to a loss of residential amenity for properties on Prince Rupert Drive.
1520_1616 / 25634	Miss C Perkins		The proposed changes are only to allow the Council's preferred development sites to be included. This arbitrary approach could therefore be applied to any alternative sites that have been suggested.

1520_1616 / 25635	Miss C Perkins		With reference to RL1034(1) the inspector has previously commented that the site should not be developed as this would lead to other similar sites being developed expanding the built environment of the settlement. Criteria for developable sites also states that sites with development on three sides should be considered, this site is only bounded by existing development on two sides. The site should be removed from the proposed development limit.	
1539_1636 / 25823	E B Brown Trust	Hutchinson and Buchanan	Ripon 8 (Quarry Moor) - We propose that there should be no change to the existing built up limit.	
1540_1639 / 25646	Mr C T Knowles	Indigo Planning Ltd	The proposed development limits as set out in Appendix 3 do not provide evidence as to how these changes are justified in the context of the guidelines in Appendix 1.	
1540_1639 / 25647	Mr C T Knowles	Indigo Planning Ltd	Object to the proposal to amend the development limit at Ripon college. No substantive evidence has been provided to demonstrate how this change accords with guidelines in Appendix 1	
1593_1705 / 25657	Mr and Mrs D Harrison		The proposed development limit has been extended to include the Councils preferred options. The Agricon and Jackson's Yard site should be redesignated for residential development. No extension to the development limit should take place.	
1609_1739 / 26077	Mr and Mrs C Potter	Carter Jonas	The proposed limits do not reflect the boundaries of the village.	
1723_1870 / 25753	Mr J M Morrell	F M Lister And Son	Area of land to the east of the settlement should not be removed from the development limit.	DL26c
1726_1873 / 25757	Mr A Marshall	F M Lister And Son	Area of land to the south east of the settlement should be included within the development limits as the site has already been granted planning permission for housing.	DL25c
1744_1894 / 26678	Ms A Skelton		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 1
1744_1894 / 26679	Ms A Skelton		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	Harrogate 4
1744_1894 / 26680	Ms A Skelton		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
1744_1894 / 26681	Ms A Skelton		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
1744_1894 / 26682	Ms A Skelton		The existing boundary should remain. Extending the boundary would lead to development in the open countryside.	
2152_1558 / 26347	Burton Leonard Parish Council		We accept many of the changes proposed under the LDF but oppose the move to take Field/Newton House out of the development limit and to extend the development limit to the north of the centre of the village.	

D3 - Development and infil limits – suggestions for alternative limits

Respondents: 118

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
-----------	------	-------	---------	------	--------

0097_1891 / 25986	York House Leisure	HLL Humberts Leisure	Suggest amendment to the development limit to include Old Hall Caravan Park, Langthorpe.	B2002
0227_1836 / 26937	Kebbell Homes	Emery Planning Partnership	The proposed changes to the development limit for Bishop Monkton excludes all of the land at Knaresborough Road, Bishop Monkton (RL1117). This is directly contrary to the identification of the site as a preferred option. Even though it is currently proposed for 100% local needs housing, it nevertheless is built development and once completed, the logical development limits would be the access road which encompasses the northern and eastern boundary of the site. Therefore object to the removal of the development limits to exclude this site and propose its reinstatement within the development limits. This would leave the remaining land between the proposed new development and the village hall blighted.	DL38f
0230_1949 / 26831	Mrs H R M Gee		Development limit should be changed to encompass field to the west of Branton Court.	RL1064b
0257_1252 / 25374	BT c/o Telereal	Savills	Land to the west of Ripon Road, Killinghall should be removed from the Green Belt and included within a new development limit for Killinghall. The land is available and suitable to meet the development needs of Killinghall and is capable of being delivered with reference to access, infrastructure and land ownership. None of the land is within a SLA and there are a number of opportunities for primary and secondary access into the site. There is a bus stop in close proximity and the land forms a natural extension to previous development in Killinghall and would complement the development pattern by forming infill or rounding off to the settlement.	RL118b
0274_1724 / 26889	Duchy of Lancaster	Carter Jonas	Site H37 should be included in the Development Limit.	H37
0313_1137 / 26273	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	The development limit should include the whole of site H1024.	
0643_0654 / 25572	Wensleydale Dairy Products Ltd	Acorus Rural Property Services Ltd	This site should be included within the development limit of Kirkby Malzeard, because it provides a good opportunity for a residential or mixed use development which will support the facilities within Kirkby Malzeard and will reduce the amount of inappropriate traffic on the local country lanes. The company is looking to reinvest the sale proceeds of this site into a new site and premises at a more appropriate location, preferably within the Harrogate District.	RL2001
0651_0662 / 25579	Mr L Sturdy	Carter Jonas	This site should be included in the development limit because it offers a chance to be used for community gain as it would be ideal for a farm shop and dwelling.	RL1143b
0651_0662 / 25580	Mr L Sturdy	Carter Jonas	This site should be included in the development limit.	RL1143a
0655_0666 / 25581	Mr L Hall		The boundary of the development limit at Mill Lane should follow the line of the new flood defence wall as it is a physical and solid structure which would define the continuity and outline the boundary precisely.	P1004a
1029_1078 / 25881	Mr A Ward	Barton Willmore Partnership	The development limit for Harrogate should be drawn around site H60 to facilitate development for employment purposes.	
1091_1145 / 26051	Pannal Village Society		The Development Limit which is currently drawn at the south western end of the Dulopillo site and which includes the recreation land facing the Green Belt should be redrawn to the edge of the factory site.	DL31d

1177_1234 / 26110	Mr R Davies		The area around the Harlow Hill Water Tower should not be developed. This is a location with panoramic views and as the Pinewoods increases in popularity with tourists and visitors, public open space near this listed building should be created. The development limit should therefore exclude the Water Tower.	DL5e
1178_1235 / 26112	Mrs L Davies		The area around the Harlow Hill Water Tower should not be developed. This is a location with outstanding panoramic views and as the Pinewoods increases in popularity, public open space near this listed building should be created. The development limit should be drawn to exclude the Water Tower.	
1380_1458 / 26045	Peacock and Smith Ltd		Extend the development limit to include land to the west of Copgrove Road to the rear of their property, Oakley House.	DL22b
1390_1468 / 26892	John Harr Properties	White Young Green	Suggest that the extent of the proposed development limit at Hampsthwaite be further extended to include all of the land to the south-eastern boundary up to the mature hedgerow on the southern boundary edge. This would allow for a more rational, rounding-off of the development which relates more closely to the traditional hedgerow pattern in this area of Hampsthwaite.	DL25d
1482_1573 / 26096	Evans Property Group	White Young Green	Suggest proposed change to encompass RL1055 rather than the extension proposed.	RL1055
1539_1636 / 25825	E B Brown Trust	Hutchinson and Buchanan	With the petrol station and the adjoining houses to the east from a visual point of view these form the natural southerly boundary of Ripon.	R34
1710_1856 / 25680	Ripley Castle Estate	Arrowsmith Associates	The development limit should include the Castle.	DL69
1710_1856 / 25681	Ripley Castle Estate	Arrowsmith Associates	The development limit should include land within the village and the bypass.	DL70
1710_1856 / 26827	Ripley Castle Estate	Arrowsmith Associates	The development limit should include land to the north of the village.	DL68
1712_1859 / 26073	Mr P Ambler	Arrowsmith Associates	The development limit should be extended to include site RL68.	DL33d
1749_1901 / 26176	Mr I Burrows		Site RL81 in Kirk Deighton should be considered as part of Kirk Deighton as there is no visible boundary or separation between the Croft and the rest of the village. Developing the site could include the restoration of the community centre.	RL81
1750_1902 / 26419	Long Marston Parish Council		The development limit should remain the same as shown in the Local Plan with the addition of land along Old Lane, Long Marston, site ref RL29a.	RL29a
1753_1905 / 25977	Mr H Lufton		Changes have been suggested to extend the north eastern boundary encompassing the Orchards, Riverside house, the garden of Woodlands and the Paddock.	DL56a
1753_1905 / 26815	Mr H Lufton		Changes have been suggested to extend the north eastern boundary encompassing the Orchards, Riverside house, the garden of Woodlands and the Paddock.	DL56b
1753_1905 / 26816	Mr H Lufton		Changes have been suggested to extend the north eastern boundary encompassing the Orchards, Riverside house, the garden of Woodlands and the Paddock.	DL56c
1753_1905 / 26817	Mr H Lufton		Changes have been suggested to exclude the site from the development limit.	DL56d

1753_1905 / 26818	Mr H Lufton	Changes have been suggested to extend the north eastern boundary encompassing the Orchards, Riverside house, the garden of Woodlands and the Paddock.	DL56e
1753_1905 / 26819	Mr H Lufton	Changes have been suggested to extend the north eastern boundary encompassing the Orchards, Riverside house, the garden of Woodlands and the Paddock.	DL56f
1753_1905 / 26820	Mr H Lufton	Changes have been suggested to exclude the site from the development limit.	DL56g
1762_1915 / 25980	Mr P Gill	Extend the development limit to include the full extent of the Grange Farm at Langthorpe.	DL73
1769_1924 / 26430	Mr T R Marsh	RL1120 in Kirkby Malzeard should be included in the development limit as it is well within the confines of the settlement and scores highest in terms of suitability for building and would have no negative impact. The site is supported by the Parish Council.	RL1120
1811_1996 / 26490	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL29a
1811_1996 / 26491	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL29b
1811_1996 / 26492	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	R4b
1811_1996 / 26493	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H11
1811_1996 / 26494	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1104
1811_1996 / 26495	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL31
1811_1996 / 26497	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1105
1811_1996 / 26498	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1114
1811_1996 / 26505	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL32
1811_1996 / 26506	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL551
1811_1996 / 26508	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL510
1811_1996 / 26509	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1106
1811_1996 / 26545	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	K1004
1811_1996 / 26546	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1107

1811_1996 / 26548	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	P1
1811_1996 / 26550	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	K1005
1811_1996 / 26552	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	K1006
1811_1996 / 26553	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1103
1811_1996 / 26554	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1108
1811_1996 / 26556	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL570
1811_1996 / 26557	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL129
1811_1996 / 26559	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL127
1811_1996 / 26561	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1081
1811_1996 / 26562	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL35a
1811_1996 / 26564	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL116
1811_1996 / 26567	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H100
1811_1996 / 26571	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL36
1811_1996 / 26574	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL110
1811_1996 / 26575	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL114
1811_1996 / 26576	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL115
1811_1996 / 26596	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1109
1811_1996 / 26597	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL37a
1811_1996 / 26598	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL113
1811_1996 / 26599	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1079

1811_1996 / 26600	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H27(1)
1811_1996 / 26601	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL45a
1811_1996 / 26603	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H22
1811_1996 / 26604	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL112a
1811_1996 / 26606	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL111a
1811_1996 / 26607	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL128
1811_1996 / 26608	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL111b
1811_1996 / 26609	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL112
1811_1996 / 26610	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H14
1811_1996 / 26612	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL71
1811_1996 / 26613	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL72
1811_1996 / 26614	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL73
1811_1996 / 26615	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL76
1811_1996 / 26616	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL45b
1811_1996 / 26617	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL25a
1811_1996 / 26619	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H17
1811_1996 / 26620	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	R9
1811_1996 / 26625	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1110
1811_1996 / 26626	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1111
1811_1996 / 26628	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H101

1811_1996 / 26629	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1137
1811_1996 / 26630	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL37b
1811_1996 / 26631	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1112
1811_1996 / 26652	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL17
1811_1996 / 26654	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL38
1811_1996 / 26655	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	B1000
1811_1996 / 26657	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL87
1811_1996 / 26660	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1113
1811_1996 / 26661	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H15
1811_1996 / 26663	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H19
1811_1996 / 26683	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	R4c
1811_1996 / 26684	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL42(1)
1811_1996 / 26685	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL40
1811_1996 / 26686	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	K9
1811_1996 / 26687	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	P1004
1811_1996 / 26688	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL102
1811_1996 / 26689	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL41
1811_1996 / 26690	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL34b
1811_1996 / 26737	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL1086b
1811_1996 / 26774	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	H72a

1811_1996 / 26775	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2066
1811_1996 / 26786	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	K2009
1811_1996 / 26787	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2070
1811_1996 / 26788	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2071
1811_1996 / 26789	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2069
1811_1996 / 26790	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2065
1811_1996 / 26791	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	R1001
1811_1996 / 26792	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2055
1811_1996 / 26793	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	DL26a
1811_1996 / 26796	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	RL2064
1811_1996 / 26798	Lister Haigh Ltd	The development limit (whether existing or proposed) should include this site.	K2008

D4 - Development and infill limits – general comments

Respondents: 10

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0261_1899 / 25386	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	There is no explanation as to whether the Proposals Map is being taken forward as part of the DPD and also why the further Issues and Options consultation is taking place.		
0261_1899 / 25388	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	Paragraph 1.2 reflects government guidance in that it states that this DPD and all other DPDs must conform to the Core Strategy. However, the Council's settlement hierarchy is not consistent between DPDs which leads to confusion.		
0261_1899 / 25389	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	The consultation paper introduces "Group B Towns" which is an additional layer of the settlement hierarchy not included in the Core Strategy. The Core Strategy does not split the definition of Group B settlements into Towns and Villages, and as such, this DPD is not in conformity with the Core Strategy and further complicates the already inconsistent settlement hierarchy.		

0261_1899 / 25391	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	The final paragraph at Appendix 1 states that there are no significant extensions to the settlements. However, the revised development limits all propose major changes to the settlement limits that comprise considerable expansions to the urban area. These inconsistencies make this document confusing.	
0313_1137 / 26272	Forward Investments LLP	Barton Willmore Partnership	The Council have acknowledged the strategic location of Pannal. However only on site with the potential total yield of 17 dwellings is planned throughout the whole plan period. This is set to undermine the whole LDF process with Harrogate District	
0340_1832 / 26248	Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw Parish Council		The Parish Council have been unable to consult on this document as it was not released with the 13 major consultation documents presented to the village. This is a flaw in the process of drip feeding information.	
1035_1084 / 26539	Ms K Ruddock		There seems to be no mention of this document in the newspaper sent to householders by HBC apart from the bullet point on the cover. Nobody that I have spoken to had realised this was a seperate document. I hope that no decisions on this subject are made until everyone in Harrogate has been informed.	
1530_1627 / 25875	Dacre Son and Hartley		The consultation on this document should have been aligned with the Council's draft SHLAA and presented for appropriate consultation at the Allocations issues and options stage.	
1530_1627 / 25876	Dacre Son and Hartley		The suggested amendments are minimal in nature and fail to take account of other logical boundary amendments. The south eastern part of H21 should be included within the defined urban area.	DL72
1538_1635 / 25861	Cllr I R Galloway		Other sites were put forward at a public meeting which advised that there was no reason to alter the village development limit as these sites were within the village development limit and would meet all future requirements for development within the village.	RL1085(1)

Comment

D1 - Guidelines for the review of development and infil limits – comments

Respondents: 85

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0098_2489 / 26776	Mrs M Sutcliffe	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be detrmind until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)		

0099_1972 / 26719	Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0100_1968 / 26715	Mr T Abel	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0104_2034 / 26781	Messrs S and J Weatherhead	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0105_2499 / 26780	Messrs R F and P A Watson	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0106_2032 / 26779	Mr F M Ward	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0107_2026 / 26772	Mr and Mrs M Simmons	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0108_2025 / 26771	Mr A Scott	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0109_2024 / 26770	Mr and Mrs Scarborough	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0110_2023 / 26769	Mr E A Sadler	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0111_2022 / 26768	Messrs J Revis and Son	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0121_2021 / 26767	Mr and Mrs Prosser	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0122_2019 / 26765	Mr E Pinkney	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0123_2018 / 26764	Mr M D Parker	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0124_2017 / 26763	Mrs S Northover	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0125_2016 / 26762	Mr R Naish	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0126_2015 / 26761	Messrs R D Metcalfe and Son	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0127_2014 / 26760	Mr R Metcalfe	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0128_2013 / 26759	Messrs S Liddle and Sons	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0130_2012 / 26758	Mr F Leeson	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0131_2010 / 26756	Mrs D B Kilpin	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0132_2009 / 26755	Mr M Hymas	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0133_2007 / 26753	Messrs J F and W Houseman	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0134_2006 / 26752	Mr J Houseman	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0135_2005 / 26751	Mr F Houseman	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0136_2003 / 26750	Mrs P A Holliday	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0137_2001 / 26748	Mr T Harland	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0138_2000 / 26747	Mr K Hardcastle	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0139_1999 / 26746	Messrs B Hardcastle	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0140_1998 / 26745	Messrs J V Hammond	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0141_1997 / 26744	Mr and Mrs P and Y Haigh	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0144_2496 / 26743	Mr R Greenbank and Family	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0147_1993 / 26741	Mr R J Gascoyne	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0148_1992 / 26740	Mr J Foster	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0151_1987 / 26734	Mr N Dowker	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0153_1983 / 26730	Executors of Mrs D Burke	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0156_1982 / 26729	Mr and Mrs J A Brown	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0159_1991 / 26739	Messrs H Fawcett and Son	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0163_1989 / 26736	Mrs R Evans	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0165_1988 / 26735	Mr M Emsley	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0167_1967 / 26714	Mr T Abbey	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0168_1976 / 26723	Mr M D Bellerby	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0169_1978 / 26725	Mr A Binns	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0170_1969 / 26716	Cllr A Alton	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0171_1981 / 26728	Mr I Brown	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0172_1977 / 26724	Mr P Bellerby	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0173_1970 / 26717	Messrs M and C Alton	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0174_1973 / 26721	Mr W H Barker	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0188_2029 / 26777	Mr R J Thomas	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0193_2002 / 26749	Mr D Hayton	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0202_1979 / 26726	Mr and Mrs G M and A Booth	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0205_2020 / 26766	Mrs J I Pocklington	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0207_1994 / 26742	Mr J Goodwill	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0212_1986 / 26733	Mr and Mrs S Darnbrook	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0214_1971 / 26718	Estate of Mr J Appleyard	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0215_2036 / 26782	Mr H Webster	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

0216_2035 / 26784	Mrs P Webster	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0217_2037 / 26783	Mr L T Webster	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0218_2008 / 26754	Mrs S Hullah	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
0244_1166 / 26936	Yorkshire Forward		We do not wish to comment on the Development Control Policies DPD
0336_1346 / 26868	Natural England (Yorkshire and Humber)		Irrespective of size, new development should respect a number of principles; Ensure new development is well related to the landform, natural systems and processes: landscape setting and characteristic scale, form, materials and detailing of the settlement. Draw appropriate development boundaries, reflecting the character of the settlement and needs for development Provide for appropriate new development to be within or on the edge of settlements. Recognise that open space within settlements can be as important as spaces around their periphery. Encourage development to enhance local distinctiveness and contribute to a sense of place. Dovetail with public transport accessibility and maximise opportunities for walking and cycling.

0336_1346 / 26869	Natural England (Yorkshire and Humber)		Natural England would like to see the Development Control Policies DPD protect areas of accessible greenspace from future development as it helps improve the health, wealth and wellbeing of communities and strengthen biodiversity.
0483_1642 / 26036	Mr P B Ambler	Arrowsmith Associates	The phrase used in the DPD guidance 'surrounded by buildings on three sides' should be replaced with 'surrounded on three sides by features that are clearly part of the settlement fabric'
0488_1048 / 25525	Mrs P Ruddock		If sites are not preferred options then development limits should not be amended to include sites
0489_1032 / 25541	Ms J Olnier		If sites are not preferred options then development limits should not be amended to include sites
0495_1029 / 25573	Ms B V Moss		If sites are not preferred options then development limits should not be amended to include sites
0978_1018 / 26563	Ms A Olnier		There was no mention of this document on the newspaper that was circulated by the Council.
0978_1018 / 26565	Ms A Olnier		Changes in development limits should only occur where a site has been identified as a site allocation
0979_1019 / 26589	Mr J Olnier		There was no mention of this document on the newspaper that was circulated by the Council.
0979_1019 / 26590	Mr J Olnier		Changes in development limits should only occur where a site has been identified as a site allocation.
1043_1093 / 26645	P A Olnier		There was no mention of this document on the newspaper that was circulated by the Council.
1043_1093 / 26646	P A Olnier		Changes in development limits should only occur where a site has been identified as a site allocation
1744_1894 / 26676	Ms A Skelton		There was no mention of this document on the newspaper that was circulated by the Council.
1744_1894 / 26677	Ms A Skelton		Changes in development limits should only occur where a site has been identified as a site allocation.
1805_1914 / 26720	Mr B W Barker	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

1806_1975 / 26722	Mr M Bell	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1807_2488 / 26727	Mr R Brewis	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1808_1984 / 26731	Mr A Clark	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1809_1985 / 26732	Mr and Mrs Craddock	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1810_1990 / 26738	Mr S Falshaw	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

1811_1996 / 26691	Lister Haigh Ltd		Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1812_2011 / 26757	Mr N Launder	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1814_2027 / 26773	Mr and Mrs C Smithson	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1815_2031 / 26778	Exors of J Walker	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)
1816_2038 / 26785	Mr and Mrs JR and J Yates	Lister Haigh Ltd	Development limits should be flexible. All settlements not falling in Groups A and B should fall into Group C. Settlements should not be determined until the Core Strategy has been determined. Development limits need to provide for more than Homes and Jobs. Any development, be it infill or extension, should be restricted by environmental matters (list provided). Development limits should be set to ensure that open space is preserved and that inappropriate infilling is rejected. Final amendments to limits should not be undertaken until the objectives and policies for all the issues in the Core Strategy have been determined. (Please refer to 1811-1996 for hard copy)

D2 - Development and infil limits – comments on proposed limits

Respondents: 3

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0184_1927 / 25350	Rainton with Newby Parish Council		The proposed development limit does not follow that put forward by the Parish Council in July 2006		
1323_1400 / 25607	Mr T M Kessel		The development limit should only include sites RL10 and RL1011, all other potential sites should remain excluded.		
1402_1482 / 25867	Mrs J Etchells		If the Council wishes to include a new satellite development limit then it should exclude site RL120 which is the Councils preferred option, and include other more credible locations.		

D3 - Development and infil limits – suggestions for alternative limits

Respondents: 11

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0219_0998 / 25368	The Ramblers' Association		If site H3 from the Site Allocations DPD is to be identified as a preferred option, we would request that the development limit is not extended to include Killinghall Moor.		
0245_1888 / 25312	Yorkshire Water		Given the proposed growth in Harrogate, in particular the area that drains to Harrogate North Waste Water Treatment Works, it will be necessary for major engineering works to increase capacity of waste water treatment to serve new development within the works catchment. In order to provide flexibility for future operational requirements on the site, Yorkshire Water would like to see the development limits of Harrogate changed in the new Development Policies DPD to incorporate the WWTW.	DL78	
0267_1259 / 25252	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Additional Brownfield land should be included within development limits as potential development sites. The development of this extra land can subsequently be controlled by relevant national, regional and local planning policy.		
0267_1259 / 25259	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements believes small sites surrounded by building on three sides should be included within development limits.		
0267_1259 / 25260	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	Land Improvements believes available, suitable and achievable 'rounding off' sites, particularly within the existing visual limits of a group 'A' settlement would enable the council a more flexible supply of developable land to need future needs		
1802_1963 / 26569	G A Bowes		Please consider the inclusion of all or part of the land marked red on the enclosed map (land adjacent to Millfield House). I would be willing for the land to be developed for affordable housing. Amenities in Sawley include a pub, new village hall, transportation to the excellent local primary school in Grantley and to Ripon for secondary schools. The rest of the field could be made available.	DL57a	
2152_1558 / 26348	Burton Leonard Parish Council		See attached map for proposed changes to the development limit.	DL22d	
2152_1558 / 26856	Burton Leonard Parish Council		See attached map for proposed changes to the development limit.	DL22e	
2152_1558 / 26857	Burton Leonard Parish Council		See attached map for proposed changes to the development limit.	DL22g	

2152_1558 / 26858	Burton Leonard Parish Council	See attached map for proposed changes to the development limit.	DL22h
2152_1558 / 26859	Burton Leonard Parish Council	See attached map for proposed changes to the development limit.	RL1021

D4 - Development and infil limits – general comments

Respondents: 7

Reference	Name	Agent	Comment	Site	Option
0261_1899 / 25387	Barratt Homes	Barton Willmore Partnership	There are reservations whether the Council can deliver on RSS employment and housing requirements, and there is some ambiguity concerning the Council's land release strategy in relation to this. Until this land release strategy is finalised, the Council's consultation on Development Limits seems premature. We note that if it emerges that the Council can not deliver on RSS targets the development limits will need to be amended in line with any required land releases or allocations. It would therefore be helpful to explain this in the introduction.		
0267_1259 / 25249	Lands Improvement	Barton Willmore Partnership	The council must ensure enough land is included within the revised development limits in order to make sure a sufficient amount of land exists until then end of the development plan period.		
1030_1079 / 25816	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie		Kirk Hammerton Parish Council has no record an any consultation (during July and September 2007) and - prior to the publication of the recent consultation document - no knowledge of proposed changes in the village Development Limit, or of the HBC preferred options for development. The current proposal for an amended development limit appears to be an arbitrary alteration to accommodate the preferred options.		
1030_1079 / 25822	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie		Kirk Hammerton Parish Council has not been consulted prior to the development limits consultation.		
1540_1639 / 25644	Mr C T Knowles	Indigo Planning Ltd	Pockets of development in sparser areas of settlements not always adhering to the built environment, ribbon development and scattered groups of buildings also need consideration.		
1540_1639 / 25645	Mr C T Knowles	Indigo Planning Ltd	Guidelines provided in Appendix 1 do not provide a comprehensive methodology or assessment framework by which to determine the development limits.		
2152_1558 / 26345	Burton Leonard Parish Council		Whilst residents have previously opposed any changes to the existing development limits, we have now reached a general agreement that some extensions are necessary.		