

**Site Allocations (Homes & Jobs) Preferred Options Consultation -  
Site Allocations (Homes & Jobs): Preferred Options Volumes 1-13 Responses**

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
<b>Non Site Specific Comments</b>			
<b>Settlement Growth</b>			
<b>G1 - Brownfield / Windfall Housing</b>			
0021_2217 / 18089	Mrs K J Mason	Support	The use of Brownfield sites for additional housing is preferable.
0403_0403 / 20103	Mr J Wilkinson	Support	R10, R11, R23, R17, R1007, R1008 and R1009 are all on previously developed Brownfield sites and should be developed.
0415_0415 / 20174	Mr and Mrs R Clark	Support	R10, R11, R23, R17, R1007, R1008 and R1009 are suitable for development due to them been on previously developed land.
0432_0434 / 20270	Mr J Willby	Support	Development of Brownfield sites such as H1023, H1020, H110(1), H107, H109, H104, H105(1), H1004, H1026, H39, H1013, H1014 and H1021 should be supported.
0482_0485 / 13015	Ms T Waddington	Support	Support should be given to developing all the Brownfield sites around the district.
0488_1048 / 20478	Mrs P Ruddock	Support	Brownfield sites should be developed first.
0488_1048 / 20505	Mrs P Ruddock	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by HBC should be used for employment and housing.
0489_1032 / 20536	Ms J Olnier	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by HBC should be used for employment and housing.
0495_1029 / 20567	Ms B V Moss	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by HBC should be used for employment and housing.
0561_0568 / 13400	Ms J Goodhand	Support	Support should be given to the preferred options in Ripon as they are primarily using Brownfield sites.
0624_0634 / 13563	Mr M C Vickers	Support	Smaller scale development should occur on Brownfield sites throughout the district.
0658_0669 / 20623	Mr C Dye	Support	Existing vacant Brownfield sites should be used for development rather than green space.
0677_2346 / 20781	Mr P D Sutcliffe	Support	Harrogate Borough Council should only allow building on suitable Brownfield sites.
0679_0690 / 20822	Ripon City Council	Support	Support should be given for all Brownfield sites and those within the built area of Ripon.
0801_0823 / 21086	Mr J Olnier	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for employment and housing.
0820_0844 / 21320	Mr B Smith	Support	H104, H105(1), H1023, H109, H1020, H1014 and H110(1) all appear to be logical low-key 'in-fill' housing.
0848_0878 / 13822	Mr and Mrs G D and P J Marlow	Support	The most practical proposed areas of development would be the infilling of plots H106, H4A, H102, H1000, H4B, H1027 and H4c.
0874_2065 / 13987	Mr D Jones	Support	The only sites that should be developed should be the Brownfield, infill sites.
0967_1007 / 21607	Mr A Lister	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council for housing and employment are appropriate for development.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0972_1012 / 21707	Ms J Trewin	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
0973_1013 / 21748	Mr P Trewin	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
0978_1018 / 21829	Ms A Olnier	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
0979_1019 / 21870	Mr J Olnier	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
1031_1080 / 22182	Ripon Civic Society	Support	Brownfield sites should be developed as far as possible to prevent any encroachment into the countryside.
1035_1084 / 22328	Ms K Ruddock	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
1043_1093 / 22411	P A Olnier	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
1044_1094 / 22466	F I Coates	Support	Although the council has mandatory housing figures imposed on it by government, it should ensure that the development of Greenfield sites should be the last option.
1102_1157 / 22799	Mrs J Boyle	Support	H104, H105, H39, H1026, H1004, H107, H110, H1020, H1023, H1014, H1021, H1013 and H104 are all town centre/ Brownfield sites and therefore should be developed.
1153_1209 / 23449	Mr J Watson	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by HBC should be used for employment and housing.
1173_1230 / 23597	Barber Titleys - Spa Tennis Club	Support	Previously developed land in the district should be developed before Greenfield sites.
0452_0949 / 12837	Mr M Raddats	Object	Windfall sites should enable settlement growth to occur without having to use the Greenfield sites.
0474_0477 / 12900	D H Howland	Object	Brownfield sites in the centre of the town should be developed first. Possible site locations include the old cattle market or the old kitchens site on Stockwell.
0485_0488 / 12754	W H and E Urwin	Object	Brownfield sites should be considered for development before resorting to using Greenfield sites.
0497_0501 / 12816	B W Pacey	Object	It is appropriate to develop Brownfield sites for housing and industry. Development should also infill existing settlements rather than develop on the outskirts such as H32(1).
0675_0686 / 20772	Ms E Carlisle	Object	Building should not occur on Greenfield sites.
0812_0836 / 21213	Mr R Blades	Object	No Greenfield sites should be considered for development in Masham.
0883_0917 / 14058	Mr S Harper	Object	Existing land and buildings should be utilised to provide homes within the existing cartilage of the town. This would be a more sustainable form of settlement growth.
0916_0954 / 14200	Mrs C D Munoz-Ramos	Object	Development should not occur on any of the Greenfield sites mentioned by the council.
0918_0956 / 14210	Mr and Mrs W W and F R Evans	Object	All Brownfield sites should be considered for building in preference to Greenfield sites.
0938_2058 / 14377	Mr and Mrs A Goodyear	Object	The use of Brownfield sites should be considered first. This should be the limit of development in Harrogate up to 2025 and beyond.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1015_1060 / 14512	Mr J Wilson	Object	Brownfield sites should be developed before Greenfield. They have the advantage in that they are already in established locations and therefore have good access to local shops and services.
1243_1312 / 14985	The Occupier	Object	The council should continue to give priority to development on Brownfield sites. Many of the 'other' site options around Ripon are located on Greenfield sites and should not be allocated.
1254_1323 / 15054	Mr G Wright	Object	All the sites in Killinghall are Greenfield and good agricultural land.
1349_1426 / 17143	Mr and Mrs P Barry	Object	Brownfield sites should be developed before Greenfield sites.
1391_2313 / 17330	Mrs I McLaughlin McLaughlin	Object	Brownfield sites should be further utilised for housing.
1393_2457 / 17340	Mr E M O'Sullivan	Object	Brownfield sites should be developed first and preference given to the homeless and affordable housing provision.
1422_1504 / 24504	H Warren	Object	Killinghall seems to have a very large proportion of new houses which is detrimental to its village status.
1464_1550 / 15660	Ms D Fearn	Object	RL90, RL1041 and RL1021 should be developed before other sites in Burton Leonard as they are infill sites.
1539_1636 / 24942	Hutchinson and Buchanan - E B Brown Trust	Object	The allocation of 8% for Ripon is totally inadequate because Ripon needs to be supported if it is to continue as a viable centre for shopping, jobs, schools etc.
1689_1829 / 16831	Queen Ethelburga's Residents Association	Object	Harrogate needs more local housing but this needs to be restricted to current Brownfield sites, and also windfall sites when they become available.
0016_1533 / 10533	Mr P Mallalieu	Comment	Before any extensions to Cardale Park take place, utilisation of the large office block on the left hand side as you enter the site from the traffic lights should be made, as it is currently empty and has been for years.
0061_0767 / 10571	Mrs B Adams	Comment	Derelict and empty buildings could be brought back to life, there is no need to ruin Harrogate.
0065_1841 / 10573	Dr F A Marsh	Comment	Sites should be sought on brownfield areas. Also priority should be given to smaller sites which will have the least impact on existing communities.
0068_1830 / 10602	Mr R W Abel	Comment	Development should take place on brownfield sites. Priority should be given to smaller sites causing far less damage to the town and allow greater capacity for regeneration.
0221_2538 / 20288	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Comment	Windfall sites can not be relied upon to deliver the Borough's housing targets.
0225_1581 / 13207	Scott Wilson Ltd - Persimmon Homes (Yorkshire) Ltd	Comment	The Core Strategy recognises that there is insufficient available previously developed land to sustain housing development throughout the LDF period.
0313_2446 / 12980	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	If there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for economic development during the plan period, the employment allocation should not be proposed or retained.
0347_2241 / 19937	Harrogate Civic Society	Comment	Windfall sites should be taken account of in completions figures.
0506_0510 / 13249	Mrs J Waddington	Comment	The council should develop brown field sites before green field sites. This could be better accommodated in Harrogate rather than Knaresborough.
0528_0532 / 13319	Mr and Mrs A Crawley	Comment	Development should occur on previously developed brown field sites that will not have a large detrimental impact on the countryside.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0529_0533 / 13320	Mr M Harvey	Comment	Brownfield sites should be chosen ahead of Greenfield sites around the village of Tockwith.
0541_0545 / 13353	C P Newsome	Comment	Full use has not been made of all the Brownfield sites available in the district.
0565_0572 / 13405	Mr R Thomas	Comment	Brownfield infill sites such as in central Harrogate or H34 should be developed before rural Greenfield sites on settlement peripheries.
0578_0587 / 13437	Mr A Fields	Comment	New housing should not be built on Greenfield sites; instead they should be developed on existing Brownfield sites, such as the HYMAS Transport site.
0746_0761 / 14253	Tim Ellis - Mr T Aidron	Comment	In Ripon there is already sufficient previously developed land to meet the land requirement, therefore no greenfield land needs to be developed upon.
0814_0838 / 21232	Mr and Mrs C Greenwood	Comment	Small infill sites should be developed, as they would have a minimal impact on the surrounding area.
0831_0858 / 13765	Miss G Long	Comment	Only Brownfield sites should be designated as preferred options.
0860_0891 / 13891	Mr D Wright	Comment	Harrogate is not a large urban conurbation and therefore cannot sustain continuous urban sprawl into the countryside. Brownfield sites need to be fully maximised to provide the required additional housing.
0906_0943 / 14134	The Occupier	Comment	All the development should occur on small relatively small-scale Brownfield sites around the district.
1024_1071 / 14592	Mr J Kelly	Comment	Green field sites should be developed before Brownfield sites in the village of Tockwith.
1030_1079 / 24797	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie	Comment	Within the village there are several empty dwellings or agricultural buildings. Utilising these would allow increased housing development without harming the character of the vilage
1042_1091 / 22787	Mrs H G Hutchinson	Comment	In some cases it is not a good idea to develop Brownfield sites. To provide the necessary required infrastructure Greenfield sites can be more suitable.
1047_1097 / 22489	Ms V Yellen	Comment	A large concentration of social housing in one area should be avoided.
1119_1175 / 22949	Mrs S Wren	Comment	Only windfall housing sites should be considered in the village of Killinghall.
1218_1285 / 14838	Ms C M Smith	Comment	A more appropriate site for housing in Killinghall must be a Brownfield site such as the Drovers or a location near to the road and bus facilities.
1220_2149 / 14846	Killinghall Moor Conservation Group	Comment	Any new development should be located on Brownfield sites, along the railway lines to minimize traffic growth.
1237_1306 / 14941	Mr M Hurford	Comment	Brownfield sites should be developed before Greenfield sites. With this in mind and the possibility of Wensleydale Dairy closing, a study should be undertaken to look at the feasibility of using that land once vacated, for both housing and light industry to ensure employment.
1266_1335 / 15122	National Trust	Comment	New housing development should be contained within the development limits of Ripon and should not be allocated in outlying Greenfield areas.
1290_1363 / 15303	Mr R Yeoman	Comment	There are large areas in inner cities that are either dead ground or empty factories, which should be developed for housing before the use of any Greenfield sites.
1353_1430 / 17161	Mr A Clarke	Comment	Small Brownfield infill development should occur within the district.
1436_1519 / 15523	Mr M Andrews	Comment	The Greenfield sites H3, H32 and K2b should be left as a last resort, and only used when all other Brownfield options are exhausted.
1491_1585 / 15822	Mr C Skeels	Comment	Windfall sites will reduce the need to develop these large sites.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1514_1610 / 24112	A Sevi	Comment	Development should take place on sites that are brownfield
1555_1661 / 16151	Mr P A Wilson	Comment	Development should be concentrated on the redevelopment of existing properties and to keep new development to designated Brownfield sites.
1800_1961 / 17510	Vincent and Gorbng - Wolseley UK	Comment	It is likely that more housing will need to be allocated to Ripon as the third largest settlement in the Borough, particularly in the circumstance of their being obvious Brownfield opportunities.

## G2 - Infrastructure / Community Facilities

0025_2381 / 10506	Mr R S M Trantor	Support	Zone land near to strong North/South and East/West links such as the A1(M) and the A59 junction should be developed. Possibly along the lines of an eco-village which could attract significant government subsidies
0225_1581 / 13223	Scott Wilson Ltd - Persimmon Homes (Yorkshire) Ltd	Support	The North West sector of Harrogate will have the least effect on traffic congestion, road safety and amenity.
0272_1723 / 24562	Carter Jonas - Diocese of Ripon and Leeds	Support	The settlement is within easy reach of ripon city with good access to bus services and a range of facilities.
0330_0458 / 24616	Dr D Cleaver	Support	Roads are already full within Harrogate and the infrastructure cannot cope with the proposed influx of traffic, also health facilities will be impacted upon.
0340_2436 / 24292	Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw Parish Council	Support	The parish council consider a more suitable site would be to develop near the A61 on the south side of Harrogate between Pannal and Almsford Bank. There is high quality highway and rail services to Harrogate town centre and also to major adjoining cities of Leeds, Bradford and York.
0340_2436 / 24293	Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw Parish Council	Support	The parish council consider a more suitable site would be to develop near the A61 on the south side of Harrogate between Pannal and Almsford Bank. Properties here would appeal to Leeds/Bradford commuters due to their accessibility.
0408_2048 / 20116	Mr I Irving	Support	H104, H105, H39, H34, H102 and H1026 already have adequate existing infrastructure in place to accommodate new development.
0418_0419 / 20190	Mr G Lindsay	Support	If P6 and P2 were linked for access then it would ease traffic movements within the area.
0445_0447 / 20360	Mrs H Towers	Support	H39, H104, H105, H109, H107, H1026, H1004, H110(1), H1020, H1014, H1021 and H1013 are small appropriate sites that have good access to existing amenities and transport facilities.
0445_0447 / 20364	Mrs H Towers	Support	Development should be concentrated to the east of Harrogate, as it has good transport facilities.
0466_0470 / 20447	A B Welfare and Wildlife Trust	Support	Development should occur on the fields to the east of Stonefall cemetery, as it is close to the southern bypass.
0496_0799 / 20572	Mrs M Bubb	Support	Development should occur at the A59 at Flaxby, as it has excellent access to the A1 and M62.
0702_0714 / 13721	Mr D Walker	Support	K2b, K10 and K30 should be allocated for development before other sites. This is because they have good access to jobs, shops and services.
0805_0828 / 21130	Mr and Mrs C A Littlefair	Support	The south side of the town should be developed more as that is the area with the best access to jobs, road and rail network to Leeds.
1033_1082 / 22276	Ms J Oxborrow	Support	The Brownfield sites indicated by Harrogate Borough Council should be used for housing and employment.
1064_1115 / 14671	Ms P Wood	Support	Development could occur next to existing public transport facilities such as bus stops in the village.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1091_2252 / 22660	Pannal Village Society	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby/Hopperton area, as it is well served by the A1, A59 and the railway.
1104_1159 / 22820	Mr P Chan	Support	H32(1), H27(1), H31, H11, H4c, H4b and H102 should be developed as they have good access to local transport networks.
1160_1217 / 23485	Mrs R Kirby	Support	Harrogate Borough Council should be seeking sites that will deliver the infrastructure of road/rail that are capable of sustained growth to meet changing demand.
1241_1310 / 24740	Ms A Reece	Support	In support of development for sites at Jennyfields/Killinghall Moor. There is a range of good existing community facilities and good public transport.
1285_2421 / 15276	Mrs S Turner	Support	Development could be concentrated around Bilton because it could potentially utilise the railway line that runs through it.
1612_1745 / 23975	Carter Jonas - Swiers/Dearlove Addyman/Chippendale	Support	When calculating accessibility criteria the key measurement is the overall distance to travel to all community facilities. For Bilton an individual would have to travel an aggregate distance of 6480m, this is far less than 8740m from H3 penny pot lane and 8448m from H32(1) Cardale Park. Given that one of the governments key objectives is to reduce unnecessary mileage Bilton is more favourable for development.
2152_1558 / 24209	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Support	Development should be directed towards the south of Harrogate. Due to existing major business parks and access to infrastructure to enable commuting from Leeds/Bradford.
2152_1558 / 24210	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Support	It is more viable for public transport systems in urban areas due to the density of customers. It is essential to consider the detrimental impact on the road networks.
0004_2254 / 10465	Mr J D Parry	Object	The roads around the west of Harrogate are already severely congested.
0016_1533 / 10523	Mr P Mallalieu	Object	The west of Harrogate has no existing road / rail infrastructure to support development. There is no chance of mitigating this.
0025_2381 / 10503	Mr R S M Trantor	Object	There is inadequate road and rail infrastructure in place to the West of Harrogate. The planned developments to the Police college and Cardale Park will also create further congestion. There are also inadequate local amenities such as GP surgeries and primary schools to the West of the town.
0058_1069 / 10647	Miss F N Wilson	Object	The roads around the west of Harrogate are already severely congested.
0078_2376 / 10633	Mr and Mrs D E Bishop	Object	Development to the western sector of the town would place additional strain on the lanes and suburban roads which already carry an unacceptable traffic load. Planned homes would add both to commuting traffic and school traffic. Commuting traffic is very likely to increase along the local rat runs. Particularly Hilltop Lane and Lady Lane.
0078_2376 / 10637	Mr and Mrs D E Bishop	Object	Development at Cardale Park to the West of Harrogate will add to the commuting traffic, as it is unlikely that residents will live and work at the proposed site. Commuters are likely to travel to Leeds, Bradford or York which is the opposite way to the commuting residents, which will double the additional loads on the lanes.
0078_2376 / 10639	Mr and Mrs D E Bishop	Object	All primary and secondary schools in the district are full to capacity. I understand that no commitments have been made from NYCC to fund new schools or extensions.
0082_2348 / 10699	Mr S G Towers	Object	The infrastructure to the West of Harrogate is over congested with little rail provision.
0086_1066 / 10703	Ms J C Lightowler	Object	The roads around the west of Harrogate are already severely congested.
0119_1747 / 10942	Mr D E Ruddock	Object	The sewage treatment works are elevated from the proposed sites therefore making it expensive and difficult to upgrade the infrastructure of the area.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0143_2344 / 12420	Mr P G Neal	Object	Development growth around the South West of Harrogate specifically H32(1), H27(1), H32, H27, H77, H28 and RL500 will have a detrimental impact on the infrastructure and community facilities. This is because the roads are already unable to cope with existing traffic levels and local services are overstretched.
0219_0998 / 12506	The Ramblers' Association	Object	Many settlements in the group c list do not contain the necessary transport infrastructure needed to justify settlement growth, especially for affordable homes. These settlements include Askwith, Beckwithshaw, Bishop Thornton, Burnt Yates, Cundall, Goldsborough, Grantley, Grewelthorpe, Kirkby Overblow, Lofthouse, Markington, Melmerby, Minskip, North Stainley, Nun Monkton, Rainton, Ramsgill, Roecliffe, Sawley, Sicklinghall and Wath.
0259_2439 / 24491	Mrs S Wilson	Object	Regarding sites to the west of Harrogate H3, H25, H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77, RL500 most of the roads are already over used and congested at peak times. The local roads are little more than 'lanes' and cannot accommodate the current levels of traffic. Additional traffic will exacerbate this problem.
0259_2439 / 24495	Mrs S Wilson	Object	Regarding sites to the west of Harrogate H3, H25, H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77, RL500 there is a current lack of facilities for cyclists and existing car parking is problematic for residents i.e. the parking situation at Pannal station.
0259_2439 / 24496	Mrs S Wilson	Object	Regarding sites to the west of Harrogate H3, H25, H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77, RL500 the local community facilities are limited and schools are over provided.
0322_2335 / 24626	Mr R Brown	Object	Existing services are struggling to cope with the current population, any further development will exacerbate this problem.
0389_0389 / 20032	Mr E Snashall	Object	The current infrastructure in and around Harrogate would not be able to cope with the planned future settlement growth.
0392_0392 / 20044	Mr J Brook	Object	Housing cannot continue to be built without the necessary infrastructure been put in place to support it.
0423_0424 / 20223	Mr and Mrs P Easby	Object	The infrastructure in Killinghall would not be able to cope with the planned settlement growth of the village.
0423_0424 / 20225	Mr and Mrs P Easby	Object	At present, there is a good community spirit in Killinghall but this would be seriously stretched with the addition of the 200-300 extra residents occupying the 115 new planned dwellings.
0426_0428 / 20232	Mr L R Johnstone	Object	The proposed high level of settlement growth in Killinghall would have a large detrimental impact on the highway network.
0441_0443 / 20340	Ms S Smith	Object	Further development in the northeast sector of Harrogate would increase pressure on the already overburdened local services and infrastructure.
0442_0444 / 20342	Mr and Mrs I Reid	Object	All the proposed developments in Killinghall would require access to and from the already very busy Otley Road.
0442_0444 / 20344	Mr and Mrs I Reid	Object	Further development in Killinghall would increase traffic and lead to further congestion.
0442_0444 / 20345	Mr and Mrs I Reid	Object	Further development in Killinghall would make the junction with Ludd Lane an even greater hazard due to increased volume of traffic.
0451_0453 / 20395	Ms S Pilling	Object	H3, H2, H17, H19, H104, H105(1) and H25 would create an unacceptable increase in traffic along inadequate roads.
0459_0462 / 20405	Mr and Mrs R Prattley	Object	There will not be adequate infrastructure in place to cope with the planned high levels of settlement growth.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0463_0467 / 20434	Ms C Dodgson	Object	H3, H9, H8, H2 and H22 should not be developed. Jennyfields is already overpopulated with poor road access into town.
0468_0471 / 12911	Kirkby Malzeard Parish Council	Object	Settlement growth in Kirkby Malzeard is inappropriate because of its inadequate infrastructure such as its ancient sewerage system.
0486_1247 / 12781	Mrs L Eyre	Object	Extra housing is a requirement but it should be placed in Brownfield locations where facilities already exist.
0564_0571 / 13404	Mr C Meanley	Object	There are insufficient community facilities in the Jennyfield area of the town to be able to accommodate such a large proposed settlement growth.
0622_0632 / 13561	C Baxby	Object	The scale of the proposed settlement growth is ridiculous. The infrastructure of the town will not be able to cope and the extra-generated congestion will cause gridlock.
0634_0645 / 13599	Prof D Geldart	Object	Developing sites H4, H15, H100, H101, H102, H75, H72 and H23 would have a detrimental impact on the local highway network, through increased traffic levels.
0658_0669 / 20621	Mr C Dye	Object	The necessary infrastructure, such as improved rail provisions, healthcare and educational facilities should be put in place before large-scale settlement growth is permitted.
0675_0686 / 20770	Ms E Carlisle	Object	Development would put further pressure on services in the Harrogate area, such as doctor's surgeries and the hospital.
0676_2307 / 20773	Mr D A Nicholson	Object	Development should not occur to the west of Harrogate due to the impact it would have on the highway network.
0677_2346 / 20780	Mr P D Sutcliffe	Object	The infrastructure in place to the west of Harrogate is inadequate to cope with the proposed high level of developments.
0697_0709 / 24882	Mr and Mrs Briggs	Object	None of the preferred options are acceptable, as it would increase traffic levels to an unacceptable level.
0725_0920 / 13963	Mr and Mrs A Speight	Object	There is no employment in Skelton other than Newby Hall Estates therefore car ownership is essential. The bus service runs every 2hours and is not practical for people working 9-5pm. The nearest supermarket is far away and not on the bus route.
0755_0772 / 14375	Mr and Mrs P Ashfield	Object	There is not enough corresponding infrastructure, in terms of roads, schools, shops etc, to support the development of sites H3, H32/H32(1), H27(1).
0775_0793 / 20949	Mr and Mrs H Hodgson	Object	Otley road is already heavily congested around Killinghall as it is used as a strategic route between Lancashire and the northeast.
0781_0801 / 21042	C and F Pepper	Object	Killinghall's facilities of a Post Office and village shop are under threat of closure. This would disqualify it from been classified as a group B village.
0784_0805 / 15008	Ms M Hutchinson-Brown	Object	Cannot build any new homes without the infrastructure to support it.
0809_0832 / 21178	Mr and Mrs A Deaton	Object	Killinghall already experiences major traffic issues with Otley Road being used as a major thoroughfare between the northeast and Lancashire.
0825_0851 / 21375	Mr and Mrs P Fender	Object	Development in Killinghall would further exacerbate traffic congestion in the village.
0825_0851 / 21376	Mr and Mrs P Fender	Object	Development in Killinghall would be to the detriment of the existing infrastructure such as drains and sewage.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0825_0851 / 21377	Mr and Mrs P Fender	Object	Development in Killinghall would have a major impact on the village school, which is already full to capacity.
0844_0873 / 13809	Mrs J M Charlton	Object	The infrastructure in the West of Harrogate will not be able to cope with proposed levels of settlement growth. The schools are already full and the utilities and other facilities will not be able to cope.
0855_0885 / 13880	Mr D Thompson	Object	The road, train and cycle provisions are already inadequate and there are no plans to provide new facilities in direct correlation with the new build developments. The isolated nature of these sites will also contribute to isolated communities.
0925_0963 / 14261	Mr and Mrs J Walkowiak	Object	Harrogate does not have the necessary jobs, shops or services to be able to support any further substantial settlement growth.
0925_0963 / 14263	Mr and Mrs J Walkowiak	Object	There is inadequate infrastructure in place to the West of Harrogate to support further settlement growth. All the rail and bus provisions are to the East.
0932_0971 / 14336	Mrs J Welch	Object	The road system to the West of Harrogate will not be able to cope with the significant increase in road traffic and congestion.
0943_0982 / 14411	Mrs V Young	Object	There is inadequate provision of infrastructure and services to the West of Harrogate. The schools are already full and the roads will be gridlocked.
0943_0982 / 14412	Mrs V Young	Object	Otley road is not wide enough to accommodate the extra traffic generated from the proposed settlement growth to the West of Harrogate.
0946_0985 / 14424	Mr W Platt	Object	Harrogate has inadequate infrastructure in place to cope with the proposed population increase. The roads will become gridlocked and the local schools will not be able to accommodate any new children, as they are already full to capacity.
0951_0990 / 14438	R T Stamper	Object	The West of Harrogate is already gridlocked at peak times. Developing the site will further exacerbate this problem.
0957_0996 / 14459	Ms E Jones	Object	Otley road is already congested and would not be able to sustain any more traffic. There is also inadequate provision of services and facilities to sustain any further settlement growth in West Harrogate.
0974_1014 / 21789	Mr R Wensley	Object	No additional housing should be considered for Killinghall until the bypass is in place.
0974_1014 / 21791	Mr R Wensley	Object	The assumed facilities in Killinghall may not be there in the future.
0976_1016 / 21819	Ms C Whitworth	Object	Development already suffers from excessive amounts of traffic. Development should not occur until a bypass is built around the village.
0976_1016 / 21820	Ms C Whitworth	Object	The assumed facilities qualifying Killinghall as a group B village are questionable. The Post Office is closing and the school is already full and over subscribed.
0977_1017 / 21823	Mr D Rudland	Object	The Killinghall village road network is incapable of dealing with the current traffic demands let alone the increase in traffic produced by additional building.
0977_1017 / 21825	Mr D Rudland	Object	Access to the Killinghall village shop is severely restricted for many village residents who have to cross the A61.
0977_1017 / 21828	Mr D Rudland	Object	The proposed high scale of development in Killinghall would have a detrimental impact on the village school that would be too small to cope with the population influx.
0986_1026 / 21970	B Marsden	Object	The road infrastructure in Killinghall is already unable able to cope with the current level of traffic and congestion. Further development would exacerbate this.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0986_1026 / 21971	B Marsden	Object	There will shortly be no Post Office and no shop in Killinghall, which were part of the criteria for it been designated a group B village.
0993_1035 / 22035	Mr and Mrs D Petty	Object	Any proposed development in northwest Harrogate would exacerbate the current problems regarding traffic and congestion.
0997_1040 / 22079	Mrs M J Fear	Object	No housing should be built in Killinghall until a bypass is built to cope with the current 22000 vehicular movements through the village each day.
0997_1040 / 22082	Mrs M J Fear	Object	The existing facilities in the village of Killinghall would not be able to cope with the significant planned increase in population.
1005_1050 / 22169	Mrs K Hollow	Object	The proposed high level of settlement growth in Killinghall without the possibility of a by-pass in the near future would exacerbate traffic issues on the B6161.
1012_1057 / 14504	Mr M O Leathley	Object	Developing any site except RL1006 in Grewelthorpe would have a large detrimental impact on the already heavily congested highway network.
1018_1063 / 14541	S and D Morris	Object	Until a by-pass is built in Killinghall no houses or development should take place in the village.
1023_1070 / 14589	C and G Lambert	Object	Development between Harrogate and Beckwithshaw would destroy the current link with the countryside. It would also put extra pressure on the current grid locked roads and put extra pressure on all transport systems.
1034_1083 / 22319	Mr G Gibbs	Object	The southeast sector of Harrogate contains 3 of the main arterial routes for the town, 2 railway stations and most of the town's amenities including 2 large food stores. The sector should therefore have a higher allocation of development.
1034_1083 / 22322	Mr G Gibbs	Object	None of the necessary infrastructure needed to accommodate the new 2069 dwellings is planned in the district.
1034_1083 / 22323	Mr G Gibbs	Object	The schools are already oversubscribed and would not be able to cope with further development.
1034_1083 / 22324	Mr G Gibbs	Object	Skipton/ Wetherby Road is a constant traffic jam and the proposals to allocated most of the new housing to the west of Harrogate, which is the opposite side from all the major amenities would further exacerbate this.
1036_1085 / 22371	Mr J Brookman	Object	The proposed level of development in Killinghall would have a huge traffic impact on both Otley Road and Ripon Road. These roads are very busy at the best of times with large vehicles dominating both roads.
1036_1085 / 22372	Mr J Brookman	Object	The assumed facilities, which qualify Killinghall as a group B village may not be available, as the Post Office and village shop are threatened with closure and the school is already full to capacity.
1055_1106 / 22527	K Middleton	Object	H32(1), H39, H3 and H105(1) are all situated on the west side of Harrogate and all will substantially increase the traffic in the area.
1055_1106 / 22528	K Middleton	Object	Local schools in the west of Harrogate are already full and would not be able to accommodate the increased number of children from this level of development.
1055_1106 / 22529	K Middleton	Object	Facilities in the west of Harrogate are already overstretched and would not be able to cope with the proposed levels of development.
1066_1117 / 14678	Mr and Mrs M Pennock	Object	Killinghall already has serious traffic problems with currently more than 20,000 vehicles per day passing through the village. Developing the substantial allocated sites in the village would further exacerbate this problem.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1067_1118 / 24789	Mrs J M M Dowling	Object	All employment is East of the city, therefore development to the West of the city would increase traffic flow through the city from west to east.
1078_1129 / 14719	Mr and Mrs Burd	Object	H4A, H4B, H4C, H23, H101, H100, H1009, H1010, H15, H23 and H1020 should not be allocated for development. Skipton Road cannot take any more traffic as it is heavily congested and full to capacity.
1095_1149 / 22713	Dr M Lovell	Object	The planned growth of Killinghall would place pressure on the already oversubscribed school and the post office.
1103_1158 / 22808	Miss D Stephenson	Object	Development would have a detrimental impact on the two main roads in Killinghall, which already suffer from high levels of congestion.
1130_1186 / 23074	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Object	A 23% increase in housing in Killinghall would have a detrimental impact on the already heavily congested local highway network. The existing infrastructure would be inadequate to sustain this planned settlement growth.
1130_1186 / 23075	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Object	Killinghall is to lose its Post Office, which puts a question mark over the sustainability of the village shop.
1131_1187 / 23080	Ms J Dacombe	Object	Traffic volumes already cripple Killinghall, and sewerage and water systems are overloaded.
1134_1190 / 23037	S G Kelsey	Object	Integrated services and infrastructure should be built at the same time as housing and employment.
1135_1191 / 23041	Mr and Mrs D Macfarlane	Object	Killinghall already suffers from high levels of congestion. The existing road and service infrastructure would not be able to sustain the proposed density of housing.
1147_1203 / 23332	Mr R Fisher	Object	Sites H2, H17, H9, H8, H15, H100, H23, H101, H4c, H75, H4b, H102, H29, H1000, H400, H1010, H1009, H72, H76, H1007, H11, RL500, H27, H32, H25 and H37 are all outside the development limits of the town.
1152_1208 / 23398	Mr and Mrs A Kidd	Object	Killinghall already suffers from traffic problems that will only be exacerbated by the proposed allocations.
1152_1208 / 23400	Mr and Mrs A Kidd	Object	The infrastructure in the village of Killinghall is already under pressure and would not be able to support any further settlement growth.
1154_1210 / 23465	Mr and Mrs S Blaylock	Object	The two major roads running through the village of Killinghall are already clogged with traffic.
1155_1211 / 23474	Dr M D Rowbottom	Object	The road and rail infrastructure in and around Harrogate are already approaching full capacity.
1189_1248 / 23738	Mr P Dacombe	Object	Killinghall currently experiences an unacceptable environmental impact due to the existing volumes of traffic on the A61 and the B6161 without the addition of new housing.
1194_1254 / 23812	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Object	Large-scale housing development on the west side of Harrogate should not be permitted due to the lack of adequate infrastructure.
1217_1284 / 14831	D F Binder	Object	Killinghall has very few local facilities such as shops or access to any large employment opportunities.
1219_1286 / 14839	J and J Hewson	Object	Before any additional development is allowed in the village of Killinghall, the necessary facilities such as a bye-pass, car parking and shopping.
1226_1294 / 14872	G S Elliff	Object	No more development should be allowed to occur to the South West of Harrogate. The road infrastructure is inadequate and is already heavily congested and full to capacity.
1226_1294 / 14873	G S Elliff	Object	The infrastructure to the South West of Harrogate will not be able to cope with further settlement growth. The schools are already full to capacity Otley road is heavily congested.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1231_2130 / 14909	Mrs A J Thornton	Object	Development should not occur on Greenfield land to the South West of Harrogate, as this would spoil the nature of the town and the rural feel of the area.
1238_1307 / 14942	Dr A Stewart	Object	Killinghall does not have the road infrastructure to cope with an additional 115 more houses, as this would increase the traffic enormously.
1238_1307 / 14944	Dr A Stewart	Object	Killinghall is losing its Post Office and also the possibly its shop therefore there will be fewer services to accommodate the additional people.
1245_1314 / 15001	Dr G Brownlie	Object	The current infrastructure around Harrogate is currently overloaded and the Council will not have the sufficient funds necessary to improve them.
1245_1314 / 15003	Dr G Brownlie	Object	The Town needs a new secondary school now but all that is suggested is a new primary school, which is totally inadequate.
1247_1316 / 15012	Mrs C Russell	Object	The road infrastructure around Killinghall is already unable to cope with existing traffic levels. Further development will only exacerbate this further.
1247_1316 / 15013	Mrs C Russell	Object	The community facilities would not be able to cope with the proposed levels of settlement growth in Killinghall. The schools are already full to capacity.
1251_2441 / 15034	Mr D d'Arcy Thompson	Object	The preferred option allocations are inappropriate, as they do not have the necessary infrastructure to support them. The roads are congested, the schools are full to capacity and there are too few shopping facilities.
1254_1323 / 15057	Mr G Wright	Object	The A61 and the B6161 run through Killinghall and they are already overburdened with HGVs and the village has no hope of a bypass.
1257_1326 / 15064	Ms G Cook	Object	Further development would put more pressure on the already overburdened infrastructure of the town.
1258_1327 / 15066	M and K Price	Object	The current infrastructure will not be able to cope with the planned high levels of settlement growth.
1263_1332 / 15099	Dr A Irwin	Object	The infrastructure within Harrogate is struggling to cope with the current population levels and visitor numbers. This has led to very heavy traffic and overburdened coffee shops and restaurants.
1281_1352 / 15232	Mr and Mrs J Barnes	Object	If H3, H17, H19, H2, H25 and H37 were developed then it would lead to a substantial increase in traffic onto already heavily congested highways.
1290_1363 / 15307	Mr R Yeoman	Object	Before any development occurs there needs to be significant improvements to the local road, cycle and public transport networks.
1292_1367 / 15317	Mr I Mills	Object	Harrogate does not have sufficient levels of infrastructure to support the proposed levels of settlement growth.
1299_1375 / 15355	V Fero	Object	Harrogate's road, rail, bus and cycle provision cannot currently cope and this will only get worse with thousands of new homes.
1301_1377 / 15143	Mr H Priestley	Object	The increase in traffic will mean Harrogate will become grid locked. Schools are over crowded, where they going to be educated. G.P's, doctors and hospitals will be far too overcrowded.
1304_2442 / 15157	Mrs J M d'Arcy Thompson	Object	No mention is made of how the infrastructure will cope with the influx of cars and people. Roads will become further congested, schools overcrowded, medical facilities will buckle under the strain, and the crime rate will rise.
1305_1381 / 15166	Miss E A Thomson	Object	Development would damage the environment irreparably by bringing more cars to the area, roads are already unable to cope with existing traffic. There seems to be no thought as to where these people will work, shop and be educated.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1316_1393 / 23399	Mr and Mrs G Standerline	Object	Group B villages are described in the DPD as having the best access to jobs, shops and services. Long Marston only has one shop, a pet shop and an infrequent bus service.
1331_1408 / 17068	Mr and Mrs F Turner	Object	Killinghall has insufficient facilities to cope with the proposed high level of settlement growth.
1332_1409 / 17070	Mr and Mrs G Sanderson	Object	Killinghall has insufficient facilities to cope with the proposed high level of settlement growth.
1335_1412 / 17080	The Occupiers	Object	The local highway network would not be able to cope with the large proposed level of settlement growth. The infrastructure of the town will simply not be able to cope.
1342_1419 / 17107	V A Bailey	Object	Doctor's surgeries, schools, and the hospital are already overstretched in Harrogate. Infrastructure improvement would be necessary before any more housing is built.
1346_1423 / 17125	Mr and Mrs C Izitt	Object	Killinghall lacks the amenities for such a large increase in population. The village school is already full to capacity.
1362_1440 / 17174	Mrs P J Eldridge	Object	The local infrastructure is already over stretched and would not be able to cope with a further population growth.
1366_1444 / 17190	Mr W E Woods	Object	Development would create more gridlock, more pressure on transport systems and the general infrastructure of the area.
1367_1445 / 17194	Mr and Mrs M Jeyes	Object	Killinghall has school that is full to capacity, one shop, and a Post Office that is about to close.
1370_1448 / 17218	Ms G Ranzenberger	Object	There is inadequate infrastructure of shops, schools and services in Killinghall to support the proposed settlement growth.
1370_1448 / 17219	Ms G Ranzenberger	Object	The existing sewerage system in Killinghall would have to be examined and updated, as more homes would put a strain on the already antiquated system.
1373_1451 / 17230	Mr S Blunden	Object	The large-scale settlement growth proposals would have a detrimental impact on the local highway network.
1379_1457 / 24016	D and G E Cawthorne	Object	Development at Cardale Park and the B.T site would overstretch the local infrastructure of doctors and school services.
1379_1457 / 24017	D and G E Cawthorne	Object	The local roads in west Harrogate are already at saturation point and pollution from the traffic is very noticeable on Leeds Road, Leadhall Lane and Hookstone Road.
1391_2313 / 17329	Mrs I McLaughlin McLaughlin	Object	The infrastructure would not be able to cope with the massively increased traffic. Public transport and road infrastructure would have to be vastly improved before development occurs.
1393_2457 / 17338	Mr E M O'Sullivan	Object	Infrastructure improvements will have to be made to enable the town to cope with the proposed development. This will have to be provided by the developers.
1429_1511 / 15493	Mr and Mrs M Smith	Object	The town already suffers from gridlock and the ill effects of traffic that will be exacerbated by the proposed developments.
1431_1513 / 15500	Mr P J McCreanor	Object	The main sewage infrastructure that runs through Staveley and the surrounding villages should be upgraded before additional housing is built.
1440_1523 / 15543	J and J E Fender	Object	The village of Killinghall has very few amenities. The Post Office is about to close and it is doubtful whether the remaining shop will become viable.
1442_1525 / 15546	Ms J Stamford	Object	The infrastructure to the West of Harrogate would not be able to cope with the proposed high levels of settlement growth in the area.
1447_1530 / 15574	Ms P Tattersall	Object	Further development should not occur as Harrogate's infrastructure is already overstretched.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1456_1540 / 15614	Mrs E Whitaker	Object	Kirk Hammerton has poor access to local facilities and services such as a public house and a shop.
1456_1540 / 15616	Mrs E Whitaker	Object	Sustainable development, particularly for affordable housing ought to be situated in existing towns. They would then be near to shops, employment and places of entertainment.
1463_1549 / 15653	Mr and Mrs G M Woodman	Object	The existing local infrastructure in particular the highway network would not be able to cope with the increased pressure caused from the extensive development of Harrogate up to 2025.
1465_1551 / 15663	D N Swindlehurst	Object	Killinghall is an unsuitable village for settlement growth due to the overburdened highway infrastructure. The B road through the village is already extremely busy and it would not be able to cope with additional traffic.
1473_1562 / 15702	Mr and Mrs E Jackson	Object	The village of Killinghall has inadequate infrastructure in place to be able to cope with the proposed high levels of settlement growth.
1476_2390 / 15710	Miss H A McDermott	Object	Harrogate is already heavily congested and the proposed large-scale settlement growth will further exacerbate this.
1476_2390 / 15712	Miss H A McDermott	Object	There are no sites to development the necessary extra infrastructure such as schools that would be required to accommodate developments.
1477_2391 / 15715	Mrs J H McDermott	Object	Harrogate has already seen high levels of expansion and it does not have the necessary infrastructure in place to support any further growth.
1484_1575 / 15764	Ms C Carr-Whitworth	Object	The existing road infrastructure will not be able to cope with the increased levels of traffic generated by the proposed high levels of settlement growth.
1486_1577 / 15781	Sir James Crosby	Object	The extensive new community facilities that will need to be provided for the new developments may prove too expensive to be provided.
1489_1580 / 15797	Ms A Broadbent	Object	The local infrastructure in Killinghall will not be able to cope with the high levels of proposed settlement growth. The roads are already heavily congested and the schools and services are full to capacity.
1493_1587 / 15828	Mr and Mrs J Easton	Object	Any development in Knaresborough would have a detrimental impact on schools and education due to significant population growth.
1494_1588 / 15836	M Hall	Object	The current highway network in Killinghall will not be able to cope with the additional traffic caused from the substantial settlement growth. The village is already heavily congested.
1494_1588 / 15837	M Hall	Object	The village of Killinghall has not got the facilities or services to support a substantial population due to the recent closure of the Post Office and the probable lose of the village shop.
1498_1591 / 15850	W J and S Ratcliffe	Object	The current facilities that qualify Killinghall as a group B village are unlikely in the future, as the Post Office is to close, the school is already full, and the future of the only shop in the village is uncertain.
1501_1594 / 15872	Kristin	Object	The North West of Harrogate has very little existing amenities and services. There are no schools, supermarkets or services to support the existing population. The necessary infrastructure will have to be put in place before further housing is built.
1502_1595 / 15873	Mr J Loring	Object	Infrastructure would need to be put in place first to be able to accommodate the high levels of settlement growth.
1509_1605 / 15895	Mr A Cockerham	Object	H32 and H3 should not be developed as it would seriously affect the possibility of providing a viable relief road to bypass Harrogate on the West side in the future.
1544_1646 / 16088	Mr E Scott	Object	The already overburdened infrastructure in the Harrogate district would not be able to cope with the proposed high levels of settlement growth.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1561_1668 / 16186	A M Fountain	Object	The proposed Tesco supermarket location to the North West of Harrogate will significantly increase congestion in the area.
1565_1673 / 16203	Mr and Mrs B Armandary	Object	A bypass needs to be provided around Killinghall, this in turn would make the exit and entrance onto Moor Close safer.
1570_1679 / 16233	Mr S Moncrieff	Object	H3, H2, H17, H37, H32, H27, RL500, H9 and H15 are located to the North and West of Harrogate, which has poor access to local shops, services and general amenities.
1578_2196 / 16281	Mr J Glyn Jones	Object	The current infrastructure would not be able to cope with the government proposed expansion.
1582_1692 / 16285	Miss L Hyman	Object	The proposed developments would put increased pressure on the already over-stretched local infrastructure.
1596_2293 / 16351	Mr and Mrs R and M E Jones	Object	Harrogate's hospital and medical services are already overstretched and the local schools are full to capacity.
1618_1756 / 16470	Scotton Parish Council	Object	The infrastructure in the village of Scotton is totally inadequate to be able to support any further settlement growth.
1693_1835 / 16873	Miss K F Murray	Object	Settlement growth would place further strain on the medical and educational facilities in the town that are already full to capacity.
1697_1842 / 16887	Mr and Mrs N Abdessadak	Object	Before any development is to occur in the village of Killinghall, the road traffic and congestion issues will need to be alleviated.
1881_2168 / 21461	Mr R H Lynn	Object	Development in Killinghall would further exacerbate the already heavily congested high-speed local highway network.
1881_2168 / 21462	Mr R H Lynn	Object	The Council's proposals class Killinghall as a group B village on the basis that it possess certain facilities. Since the assessment the Post Office has closed and the future of the village shop is in doubt.
2210_2540 / 20723	Mrs P L Croft	Object	Development would place severe pressure on all transport systems. (8 signatures)
2210_2540 / 20724	Mrs P L Croft	Object	Development would overstretch educational and health facilities. (8 signatures)
0046_1554 / 10553	Mr D G Thorpe	Comment	Land located close to the junction of the A1 and the A59 is perfectly suited for access to Leeds and York by road and by rail.
0047_1555 / 10593	Mrs M Thorpe	Comment	Land located close to the junction of the A1 and the A59 is perfectly suited for access to Leeds and York by road and by rail.
0066_1677 / 10632	Mr N D Barugh	Comment	Priority should be given to smaller sites which should be chosen according to the ability of infrastructure and services. Clustering of sites should be around the A61 and the A1.
0071_1075 / 10680	Mrs V H McClellan	Comment	Sites should be selected in area where infrastructure can support the development.
0071_1075 / 10689	Mrs V H McClellan	Comment	Priority should be given to smaller sites which should be chosen according to the ability of infrastructure and services. Clustering of sites should be around the A61 and the A1.
0072_1076 / 10748	Mr C McClellan	Comment	Priority should be given to smaller sites which should be chosen according to the ability of infrastructure and services. Clustering of sites should be around the A61 and the A1.
0073_1364 / 10785	Mr N Zoltie	Comment	Priority should be given to smaller sites which should be chosen according to the ability of infrastructure and services. Clustering of sites should be around the A61 and the A1.
0074_1365 / 10881	Mrs L Zoltie	Comment	Priority should be given to smaller sites which should be chosen according to the ability of infrastructure and services. Clustering of sites should be around the A61 and the A1.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0077_0847 / 18118	Mr J K Nix	Comment	The proposed bypass around Harrogate should be built to relieve congestion in the town, which would be further exacerbated by the proposed settlement growth.
0093_1596 / 10839	Miss J Share	Comment	Priority should be given to smaller sites which should be chosen according to the ability of infrastructure and services. Clustering of sites should be around the A61 and the A1.
0099_1972 / 10907	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Any development within the district is naturally going to increase demand for energy and water.
0099_1972 / 10920	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	To enhance the economic role of the District, careful consideration to improving the transport infrastructure needs to be taken.
0156_1982 / 12292	Lister Haigh Ltd - Mr and Mrs J A Brown	Comment	There is more room for expansion to the North side of Pately Bridge, which would be more suited to accommodating a housing development.
0190_1518 / 10935	Mrs M Almond	Comment	The infrastructure of the town will not be able to cope as it is already grid-locked and congested.
0287_1731 / 24598	Carter Jonas - DS Estates Ltd	Comment	Recent large scale housing development within Harrogate have not been sympathetic towards the location of employment sites. This has resulted in a large amount of people having to commute the their jobs.
0287_1731 / 24599	Carter Jonas - DS Estates Ltd	Comment	There needs to be a suitably sized food retail outlet on the west of Harrogate
0313_1137 / 20873	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	The proposed changes to the RSS do not suggest any need for strategic business parks adjacent to railway stations in Harrogate.
0313_1137 / 20882	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	It is noted that the council has acknowledged a need to encroach into the countryside in order to deliver on its housing land requirements.
0322_2335 / 24625	Mr R Brown	Comment	Proposals should be placed in the outer area of harrogate with good bus and rail services for those who cannot commute further afield
0354_2507 / 12669	Mr N G Mernock	Comment	Settlement growth around Harrogate will increase demand for community facilities and put increased pressure on the local infrastructure.
0426_0428 / 20236	Mr L R Johnstone	Comment	Facilities should be developed in the village of Killinghall to stop residents having to travel into Harrogate, causing additional pollution.
0473_0476 / 13011	Mr K Walker	Comment	The only way to accommodate the proposed settlement growth would be to substantially increase the infrastructure of the district. This would have a detrimental impact on the overall character of the town.
0525_0529 / 13304	Mr L Hitchings	Comment	Developing such a large amount of houses will require additional transport requirements. Improved public transport and highways will be essential
0534_0538 / 13335	Mr and Mrs R Snelson	Comment	Investment in traffic solutions and infrastructure to anticipate and support the LDF should be made.
0548_0554 / 13368	Dr E N Marks	Comment	If housing development is to occur then the provision of the adequate infrastructure such as a bypass is essential.
0550_0556 / 24278	J I Thomson	Comment	Ripon requires major road and rail investment before any housing development takes place

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0556_0563 / 13391	Mr J P Baker	Comment	The development of sites RL133, RL73, RL1031, RL1085 and RL1085(1) will strain the existing infrastructure in place.
0562_2080 / 13401	Mr K Bradbury	Comment	Before any development occurs the necessary infrastructure of roads, schools, sewers and drainage will need to be in place.
0638_0649 / 25000	Mr D R Burcham	Comment	I would like to see a new swimming pool in Harrogate, and a new water sports centre at the old gravel pit in knaresborough.
0663_0674 / 20688	Mr M Collier	Comment	Harrogate council have the opportunity at H1071 or the BMW garage site to build sufficient car parking spaces for commuters, in turn encouraging the use of efficient public transport.
0775_0793 / 20952	Mr and Mrs H Hodgson	Comment	No further development should take place in Killinghall until a bypass has been provided.
0809_0832 / 21179	Mr and Mrs A Deaton	Comment	The village of Killinghall should be by-passed before any consideration is given to building of any sort as the infrastructure is overloaded.
0876_0909 / 21425	Dr M Goodfield	Comment	The suitability of road access and social infrastructure around sites H3, H17, H104 and H25 requires very detailed consideration and attention.
0877_0910 / 21437	Mrs S Goodfield	Comment	The suitability of road access and social infrastructure around sites H3, H17, H104 and H25 requires very detailed consideration and attention.
1026_1073 / 24818	Mr and Mrs S G Blackburn	Comment	Before any development in killinghall takes place a bypass must be built as the village has the only section of B road on the strategic route between the A1 from the NE and the A59/M65 to the NW
1030_1079 / 24794	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie	Comment	Bus/Rail services are not very accessible from the western side of the village
1030_1079 / 24795	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie	Comment	Need for more car parking at the station
1030_1079 / 24796	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie	Comment	Contrary to the DPD the village does not have a Pub, Food Store or Sports Hall.
1041_2111 / 22410	Knaresborough Town Council	Comment	Any growth in Knaresborough would require an expansion of King James' school, which may well be reaching capacity.
1042_1091 / 22789	Mrs H G Hutchinson	Comment	H2 and H17 should only be developed when a new road has been built.
1047_1097 / 22488	Ms V Yellen	Comment	Sites closer to existing employment areas should be developed first.
1134_1190 / 23028	S G Kelsey	Comment	Development should occur near to necessary existing services.
1142_1198 / 23211	Mr D Sykes	Comment	Development should occur within major cities that have large transport infrastructures in place and have Brownfield sites for development.
1161_1218 / 25007	CPRE	Comment	The hospital was built for around 80,000 people. The number of residents living within the district and the recent influx of eastern europeans has ment this figure as now doubled.
1161_1218 / 25075	CPRE	Comment	58 dwellings proposed for masham. Are the schools able to cope with the number of potential children
1189_1248 / 23739	Mr P Dacombe	Comment	Additional housing development in Killinghall should not be considered in principle without a bypass.
1195_1255 / 23818	Mrs B E Dunsby	Comment	No site should be developed without prior agreement with NYCC for provision of appropriate infrastructure.
1292_1367 / 15318	Mr I Mills	Comment	If housing development must occur then the necessary infrastructure must be put in place first including a Northern bypass to accommodate the increase in traffic.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1369_1447 / 17214	Mr R Edwards	Comment	If all the planned development to the west of Harrogate occurs then there will be a need for a by-pass.
1502_1595 / 15875	Mr J Loring	Comment	The framework mechanism should include the commitment of public sector funding to provide the infrastructure ahead of much of the development being considered.
1514_1610 / 24124	A Sevi	Comment	Sites should only be selected where infrastructure can support the development
1514_1610 / 24138	A Sevi	Comment	It is my opinion that development should take place on a number of smaller sites, this would be inkeeping with the town character. Sites should be chosen accordingly to the ability of infrastructure and services to meet the needs of the development. Typical clustering would be around the A61 for example H8, H9 and H15 and routes out to the A1 for example H11
1881_2168 / 21460	Mr R H Lynn	Comment	Hampsthwaite has a greater number of services than Killinghall and accordingly should be allocated a greater number of houses.
2152_1558 / 24212	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	The settlement has very poor public transport connections and so should not be classed as a group B village
2152_1558 / 24213	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	The settlement has very poor public transport connections which means the residents do not benefit from economic opportunities of Ripon and Harrogate, due to this the settlement should not be classed as a group B village
2152_1558 / 24214	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	Local roads within the settlement are inadequate for existing traffic. Many junctions have poor visibility and there are frequent accidents. ROSPA have identified the roads as some of the most dangerous in the country. Increasing traffic levels would add to congestion on local roads and on the A61.
2152_1558 / 24215	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	Many streets within the village have no pavements
2152_1558 / 24216	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	Whilst the village does have a major employer it must be noted that the nature of the business, a lorry haulage firm, means that due to the specialised nature employment opportunities are not open to all.

### G3 - Environment

0330_0458 / 24617	Dr D Cleaver	Support	Development on brownfield land will be of low quality and slowly start to erode the character of the town
0900_2402 / 21564	Ms E M Haxby	Support	The development of H1020, H110(1), H107, H109, H1026, H1013, H1021 and H1014 would not have a detrimental impact on the landscape.
1612_1745 / 23980	Carter Jonas - Swiers/Dearlove Addyman/Chippendale	Support	It is important to note that the councils comments regarding the characteristics of the walking environment for site H3 and H32(1) are "unpleasant" and "pass an industrial estate". Whereas Bilton's shorter journeys are more likely to encourage people out of their cars. Suggested that Bilton performs better than the two preferred options.
0004_2254 / 10468	Mr J D Parry	Object	The west of Harrogate is a rural gem which constitutes Special Landscape areas. Its proposed development would create urban sprawl. Residents would also lose areas of peace and tranquility.
0016_1533 / 10524	Mr P Mallalieu	Object	The character and landscape of the town attracts many visitors, development would destroyed this and reduce the quality of life.
0025_2381 / 10504	Mr R S M Trantor	Object	Development in the West of Harrogate would lose some Special Landcape Areas. This would not only be detrimental to the West of Harrogate but to the town as a whole.
0058_1069 / 10650	Miss F N Wilson	Object	The west of Harrogate is a rural gem which constitutes Special Landscape areas. Its proposed development would create urban sprawl. Residents would also lose areas of peace and tranquility.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0078_2376 / 10640	Mr and Mrs D E Bishop	Object	Development to the west of the town would collectively begin the obliteration of the attractive landscape setting of western Harrogate. To develop H32(1) and H27(1) HBC would be forced to abrogate the designation of this area as SLA. Disregard of the SLA and by reducing the Greenbelt will create untidy surroundings and suburban sprawl.
0082_2348 / 10698	Mr S G Towers	Object	Building houses to the West of Harrogate is madness. It has unfavourable weather conditions which suffers regular power cuts.
0086_1066 / 10706	Ms J C Lightowler	Object	The west of Harrogate is a rural gem which constitutes Special Landscape areas. Its proposed development would create urban sprawl. Residents would also lose areas of peace and tranquility.
0119_1747 / 10941	Mr D E Ruddock	Object	All the sites to the West of Harrogate will be at risk from flooding if developed with hard surfaces.
0203_1563 / 12644	Mr D S Langley	Object	H25, H27, H28, H32, H37, H77 are unsuitable for any development as they encroach into the Special Landscape Area. It can only blight and consequently downgrade adjacent areas of landscape.
0259_2439 / 24502	Mrs S Wilson	Object	Regarding sites to the west of Harrogate H3, H25, H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77, RL500 the west side of Harrogate is beautiful and relatively unspoilt. Some of the preferred options are designated SLA development would spoil the landscape
0393_2477 / 20051	Mr A Milsom	Object	H3, H32(1), H27(1), H1026, H9, H8, H22, H15, H101, H23, H106, H4a, H1000, H102, H29, H4b, H4c, H75, H400, H76, H72, H1007, H71, H1003, H11, H131, H14, H60, H21, H108, RL500, H28, H27, H32, HH25, H37, H19, H17 and H2 should not be developed on the grounds of unnecessary development of Greenfield sites.
0393_2477 / 20052	Mr A Milsom	Object	M6, M1002, M3, RL3(1), RL1085(1), RL98(1), RL1101, RL12, RL1141(1), RL1009, RL1015(1), RL106391), RL1034(1), RL1142, RL120, RL144, RL29a, RL1126(1), H7491), RL131, RL1086a(1), RL14(1), RL134, RL117, RL1147, RL1133(1), RL42(1), RL1046, RL1064, RL1151, RL1128(1), RL1145, RL553 and RL113(1) should not be developed on the grounds of unnecessary development of Greenfield sites.
0441_0443 / 20339	Ms S Smith	Object	Further development in the northeast sector of Knaresborough would have a detrimental impact on sites of special scientific interest around the lakes.
0444_2289 / 20351	Mrs S Cooper	Object	Development should not encroach into the green areas to the west of Harrogate, which has a definite green boundary.
0451_0453 / 20394	Ms S Pilling	Object	H3, H2, H17, H19, H104, H105(1) and H25 would have a detrimental impact on an open leafy aspect and quality landscape.
0459_0462 / 20406	Mr and Mrs R Prattley	Object	The building of new homes will inevitably result in an increase in CO2 produced from the manufacture of building materials to the inevitable increase in traffic on the highway.
0463_0467 / 20433	Ms C Dodgson	Object	H37, H25, H32, RL500, H15 and H23 should not be developed. They are in areas of natural beauty, which are enjoyed by ramblers and walkers.
0488_1048 / 12769	Mrs P Ruddock	Object	England is a small country that should not be overdeveloped. Leave some green open space for future generations.
0497_0501 / 12815	B W Pacey	Object	Every year this country loses approximately 27 square miles of its countryside to developments, such is the case in Harrogate.
0658_0669 / 20630	Mr C Dye	Object	Development of sites H32, H32(1), H27 and H27(1) would encroach into the countryside and have the effect of joining Beckwithshaw to Harrogate.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0665_0676 / 20695	Ms M A S Madden	Object	Development would put increased pressure on existing drainage and sewerage problems due to increased rainfall from due to climate change.
0677_2346 / 20778	Mr P D Sutcliffe	Object	Development on Green Belt and SLA's would destroy wildlife corridors, which link Harrogate to the open country on the western edge of town.
0677_2346 / 20779	Mr P D Sutcliffe	Object	Development should not be allowed to have a detrimental impact on the Pinewoods and the Birk Crag area.
0697_0709 / 24880	Mr and Mrs Briggs	Object	None of the preferred options are acceptable, as it would take away what little green space exists
0697_0709 / 24883	Mr and Mrs Briggs	Object	None of the preferred options are acceptable, as it would increase the level of unwanted vandalism in what is now a nice place to live
0755_0772 / 14367	Mr and Mrs P Ashfield	Object	Development of sites H3, H32/H32(1), H27(1) would cause the destruction of the beautiful landscape west of the town, the open landscape and green corridors would disappear.
0770_0788 / 14614	Mr A Harper	Object	We can expect more flooding in the future and so K19 K25, K2c and K24 are all far to close to the river.
0823_0848 / 21370	Mr G Hateley	Object	No further development should occur in the Green Belt to the north east of Harrogate or in-between Harrogate and Knaresborough.
0844_0873 / 13811	Mrs J M Charlton	Object	Building new estates between the outskirts of Harrogate and Beckwithshaw will cause environmental damage and destroy the town's link with the countryside.
0848_0878 / 13821	Mr and Mrs G D and P J Marlow	Object	The proposed developments in both the Northwest and Southwest sectors will encroach onto Greenfields and the countryside.
0868_2329 / 13959	Mrs G Askey	Object	The proposed settlement growth will destroy much of Harrogate's unique link with the countryside.
0895_2531 / 21495	Mr M Hill	Object	There should be no development on the Greenbelt at Calcutt or Thistle Hill.
0916_0954 / 14201	Mrs C D Munoz-Ramos	Object	It is the rural landscape and green areas make Harrogate a lovely town. They should not be developed upon.
0931_0970 / 14330	Mr G Binns	Object	The plans to develop Harrogate would wreak havoc in this beautiful countryside.
0943_0982 / 14410	Mrs V Young	Object	The proposed high levels of settlement growth to the West of Harrogate would destroy the town's special link with the countryside.
0958_0997 / 24848	Mr P A Gray	Object	The following sites are unsuitable for development H25, H27, H28, H32, H37 and H77. Development would encroach into the SLA and downgrade the local quality landscape.
0992_2268 / 22034	Mrs J Daly	Object	RL500, H28, H108, H14, H21 and H60 are all in special landscape areas and should be protected from development.
1016_1061 / 14533	Ms D Costar	Object	Crag Lane in Killinghall is a major recreational country lane where all the local dog walkers go, young children horse ride, cyclists exercise and it serves the local community well for country walks, being the start point for several public footpaths.
1022_1068 / 14586	Mr A Thornton	Object	Development should not occur on Greenfield land within South West Harrogate, as this will spoil the nature of the town and the rural feel of this area.
1025_1072 / 24836	Mr N Byron	Object	Plots RL60, RL29a and RL29b are all outside the development limit and will result in an unacceptable extension of the settlement into the greenbelt.
1067_1118 / 24790	Mrs J M M Dowling	Object	Water run-off caused by development within the west will exacerbate flooding in ripon.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1078_1129 / 14718	Mr and Mrs Burd	Object	Any development in the Bilton triangle is unacceptable as it is designated Green Belt and should remain this way.
1095_1149 / 22716	Dr M Lovell	Object	The green land in and around Killinghall would be impacted upon and lost forever-spoiling views coming into the village.
1122_2121 / 22966	Mr M P Laycock	Object	H28, H14, H3, H11, H1003, H77, H2, H17, H8, H9, H22, H15, H23, RL500, H1007 and H76 would all constitute unacceptable intrusion into the countryside.
1122_2121 / 22969	Mr M P Laycock	Object	The development of sites H4a, H4b, H4c, H102 and H75 would be detrimental to the character of the green wedge.
1130_1186 / 23076	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Object	The district council should continue to offer landscape protection to Killinghall's rural character, which not only provides a clear boundary between itself and Harrogate but unrivalled views of the Hambleton hills and lower Nidderdale.
1155_1211 / 23473	Dr M D Rowbottom	Object	The proposals to build high-density housing would be to the detriment of the environment.
1240_1309 / 14965	Mrs P Robinson	Object	Developing K1003, K1002, K2a and K1004 would be detrimental to the existing wildlife and rural amenity of the area due to increased littering.
1290_1363 / 15306	Mr R Yeoman	Object	The destruction of the Bilton triangle as housing would encroach into the countryside and destroy the current rural landscape of the area.
1297_1373 / 15350	Mr S Meek	Object	The inevitable destruction of precious green belt is an abomination too horrific to contemplate.
1298_1374 / 15352	F Eve	Object	The greenbelt surrounding the town must be saved because of its significance for wildlife and the town's quality of life.
1301_1377 / 15145	Mr H Priestley	Object	There will be more pollution which is a serious issue at the moment, this will greatly contribute to environmental damage. The countryside will be destroyed and this will be devastating.
1304_2442 / 15158	Mrs J M d'Arcy Thompson	Object	Development will cause much destruction and desolation to the greenfields that will have to be built upon.
1332_1409 / 17072	Mr and Mrs G Sanderson	Object	Development in Killinghall Hall would have a detrimental impact on the local landscape, which is currently a well used community amenity.
1335_1412 / 17078	The Occupiers	Object	Large settlement growth would have a detrimental impact on the countryside surrounding Harrogate.
1345_1422 / 17122	Mrs J Scott	Object	Development of houses to the area in the vicinity of Harlow Carr Gardens and the Pinewoods is inappropriate. The tourist attraction relies on this green countryside wedge and this green lung is a vital amenity for the local residents.
1372_1450 / 17225	Ms V Horrocks	Object	Harrogate's immediate access to the countryside is one of the most attractive features of the town, which development would destroy.
1373_1451 / 17229	Mr S Blunden	Object	Development would result in the permanent loss of landscape and natural beauty.
1391_2313 / 17328	Mrs I McLaughlin McLaughlin	Object	Development of H32, H77, H27(1) and H25 would completely destroy vast stretches of countryside and spoil a scenic approach into the town.
1440_1523 / 15542	J and J E Fender	Object	Over development of Killinghall would encroach into Greenfield sites.
1445_1528 / 15567	Mr J Matthews	Object	There is concern about the continual degradation of the natural countryside, which is transforming many delightful market towns and villages into large urban sprawls that feed into major towns and cities.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1447_1530 / 15576	Ms P Tattersall	Object	The town has seen deterioration in the quality of life due to green spaces being developed.
1463_1549 / 15654	Mr and Mrs G M Woodman	Object	The green areas surrounding Harrogate are already rapidly diminishing therefore open land should be preserved as much as possible in the district.
1474_1564 / 24439	M J Alderson	Object	H25, H27, H28, H32, H37, H77 are unsuitable for any development as they encroach into the Special Landscape Area.
1476_2390 / 15711	Miss H A McDermott	Object	Harrogate's increase in housing in recent years has already used up Greenfield sites and to take away further Greenfield sites would be criminal.
1477_2391 / 15716	Mrs J H McDermott	Object	To retain the Greenfield sites surrounding Harrogate should be an absolute priority. The town's natural beauty is its prime attraction and valued by residents and visitors alike.
1495_1589 / 15840	Mr and Mrs R Pearce	Object	Knaresborough has experienced vast areas of Greenfield sites disappear beneath ever growing urbanisation.
1504_1600 / 15877	Ms J Midson	Object	The building of about 3000 homes in the Harrogate area would destroy the link between the town and the surrounding countryside.
1693_1835 / 16871	Miss K F Murray	Object	Development would have a detrimental impact on the surrounding countryside. The skyline and landscape of the town would change forever.
1696_1840 / 16885	Mr and Mrs D Grant	Object	Development should not occur on Greenfield sites in the Harrogate district.
2210_2540 / 20721	Mrs P L Croft	Object	Development would lead to the destruction of Harrogate's special link with the countryside. (8 signatures)
2210_2540 / 20722	Mrs P L Croft	Object	Development would produce more cars; more gridlock and more CO2 emissions. (8 signatures)
2210_2540 / 20725	Mrs P L Croft	Object	Development would cause severe environmental damage. (8 signatures)
0071_1075 / 10687	Mrs V H McClellan	Comment	large developments will contribute to air pollution through additional car fumes.
0099_1972 / 10912	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Limited development should be allowed on the edge of settlements to ensure a prosperous and improving rural environment.
0099_1972 / 10914	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	New development should not be allowed unless it can be carbon neutral, or as close to this as possible.
0099_1972 / 10916	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Guidelines should regard the physical landscape of the area.
0099_1972 / 10922	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Where appropriate physical boundaries exist small alterations to the Green Belt boundaries should be made.
0190_1518 / 10936	Mrs M Almond	Comment	If further development occurs in the Harrogate District then our countryside will be gone for future generations.
0225_1581 / 13222	Scott Wilson Ltd - Persimmon Homes (Yorkshire) Ltd	Comment	Land to the North-west contains no greenbelt area and no Special Landscape Area designations. Development will therefore not have a big impact on the environment.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0354_2507 / 12668	Mr N G Mernock	Comment	Settlement growth will destroy the whole rural character of the town, and it will result in less tourism and less quality of life for all the current loyal residents.
0876_0909 / 21424	Dr M Goodfield	Comment	Sites H3, H17, H104 and H25 have significant issues with regard to boundary protection of green assets and long distance views.
0877_0910 / 21436	Mrs S Goodfield	Comment	Sites H3, H17, H104 and H25 have significant issues with regard to boundary protection of green assets and long distance views.
1104_1159 / 22819	Mr P Chan	Comment	Distant views from famous viewpoints such as the Pinewoods must be preserved if sites H3, H2 and H17 were chosen.
1514_1610 / 24113	A Sevi	Comment	Development should take place on sites that have no special landscape designation
1589_1700 / 16306	Mr J Craven	Comment	At the forefront of the decision making process and during every stage of the ensuing deliberations there must be an unswerving determination to maintain the special landscape areas.

#### **G4 - General / Other - unable to be attributed to G1-G3**

0027_0426 / 18112	Mrs M J Chappel	Support	A new village should be created near to the A1.
0069_2301 / 10618	Dr M A Clarke	Support	Eco development at Flaxby / A1 / A59
0073_1364 / 10794	Mr N Zoltie	Support	In support of all preferred options in Harrogate, except H32(1) and H27(1) as all sites fall within the natural town environs
0074_1365 / 10890	Mrs L Zoltie	Support	In support of all preferred options in Harrogate, except H32(1) and H27(1) as all sites fall within the natural town environs
0082_2348 / 10700	Mr S G Towers	Support	Building to the East of Harrogate would mean less power cuts and better drainage into nearby river systems.
0180_2445 / 19610	Mr A J Goodman	Support	An eco-village should be developed to the south east of the junction of the A1 and the A59.
0200_0936 / 19649	Duchy Residents Association	Support	Development should comprise of a new settlement in an area to the east of Knaresborough and in the region of the A1.
0201_2361 / 19681	Mr I G Cox	Support	H40, H31, H1019, H107, H1011, H51, H110(1), H1023, H34, H43, H18, H100, H1016, H15, H101, H1012, H1017, H1020, H1027, H106. H4a, H1000, H102, H4b, H4c, H29, H7, H1002, H39, H108, H1015, H1002, H1026 and H1004 should be developed as they are within the existing built up area of Harrogate.
0203_1563 / 12645	Mr D S Langley	Support	Ripon should accommodate a larger percentage of housing than the current forecast of 8 per cent. The area has good transport links and access to amenities.
0221_1278 / 19707	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	The northwestern edge of the built environment of harrogate is a sustainable location for new development.
0221_1278 / 19720	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	Based of the transport assessment the combination of sites H8, H9 and H22 are considered to have good access by public transport to Harrogate Town Centre.
0221_1278 / 19723	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	Based of the transport assessment the combination of sites H8, H9 and H22 are not considered to give rise to any unacceptable impacts in highway terms.
0221_1278 / 19726	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	Based of the transport assessment the combination of sites H8, H9 and H22 provide the opportunity to improve local transport infrastructure. Including the potential for improved public transport, cycle ways and the development of a Park and Ride facility.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0221_1278 / 19730	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	Development of sites H8, H9 and H22 relating to issues of wastewater treatment and sewerage infrastructure can be overcome with appropriate mitigation measures where relevant.
0221_2538 / 20285	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	The eastern edge of the built up area of Knaresborough is the most sustainable location for the new development in terms of public transport, access to services and relative lack of physical constraints to development.
0221_2538 / 20286	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	There is a high demand for affordable homes in the district, which could be partially met by the development of this site.
0225_1581 / 13224	Scott Wilson Ltd - Persimmon Homes (Yorkshire) Ltd	Support	There is potential to create easy access to good bus services in the North West sector of Harrogate.
0276_0273 / 12515	Carter Jonas - Messrs Heaton	Support	Development growth should be permitted in small settlements that are clearly coherent villages. Where limited expansion can make an efficient use of land with no substantial harm to the form and character of the settlement.
0276_0273 / 12517	Carter Jonas - Messrs Heaton	Support	The Local Planning Authority should provide for the reasonable needs of those people living in smaller settlements within Harrogate District, which is above all a primarily rural based district.
0283_1728 / 12518	Carter Jonas - Rudding Park Ltd	Support	Rudding Park should maintain its status as a major developed site within the Green Belt and, because of the potential job creation, should be allocated as an employment site within the LDF.
0291_1741 / 24547	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Support	Sites R2c and R2d could both be developed in isolation due to good access onto either Littlethorpe Lane or A61. If developed together they would provide 12.8ha around half of the total requirement of the City for the entire LDF timescale.
0291_1741 / 24554	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Support	Sites R2e, f, h, and I can be seen as a large extension to the ripon urban area
0330_0458 / 24615	Dr D Cleaver	Support	I do not agree with the policies. The development of a dormitory settlement should incorporate all of the new dwellings.
0330_0458 / 24618	Dr D Cleaver	Support	How can you expect the general public to plough through all the documents. How many houses are required in other authorities? We are again being measured by targets which as far as im concerned have been picked out of the air. If it is a strategic plan then first and foremost make sure there is the money available.
0340_2436 / 24291	Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw Parish Council	Support	The parish council consider a more suitable site would be to develop near the A61 on the south side of Harrogate between Pannal and Almsford Bank. Here there is extensive land availability and the land is better related to the urban area of Harrogate.
0348_2378 / 19973	Mr R C Barker	Support	H22 and H8 should be considered for development to the west of Harrogate.
0402_0402 / 20096	Mr P Wells	Support	H3, H104, H105, H1026, H39 and H27 should be supported for development.
0416_0417 / 20183	Mr and Mrs A Maclean	Support	A new sustainable town could be created close to Harrogate. This would allow for a strategic comprehensive approach to development in the district.
0420_0421 / 20198	Mr R Newby	Support	Support should be given for the development of the four preferred sites in Knaresborough.
0421_0422 / 20212	Dr B Dixon	Support	All the preferred options in Ripon should go forward for development.
0421_0422 / 20213	Dr B Dixon	Support	All the preferred options in the group B settlements should go forward for development.
0421_0422 / 20214	Dr B Dixon	Support	All the preferred options in the group C settlements should go forward for development.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0433_0435 / 20266	Mr M Wearmouth	Support	The proposed settlement growth in Killinghall is acceptable in principle.
0443_2074 / 20346	Mr and Mrs L and J Manning	Support	The development of the small-scale sites R10, R11, R23, R17, R1007 and R1008 would have a minimal impact on the City.
0444_2289 / 20359	Mrs S Cooper	Support	A new community should be developed on a Greenfield site with dedicated infrastructure.
0449_0451 / 20379	Ms A Scott	Support	Support should be given to the preferred options as they represent appropriate infilling.
0459_0462 / 20407	Mr and Mrs R Prattley	Support	Small and infill developments such as H109, H1014, H110 and H39 would not be over imposing and would be acceptable.
0463_0467 / 20431	Ms C Dodgson	Support	H1023, H7, H1020, H1002, H104, H110, H105, H40, H107, H1019, H109, H71, H34, H1004, H43, H1026, H18, H1022, H1012, H1015, H1016, H1006, H51, H1003, H1027 and H11 are all acceptable as they are small infill sites, which will not have a large detrimental impact on their surroundings.
0470_0473 / 13081	Ms P Manson	Support	All sites in Masham apart from M4 should be put forward for housing development.
0483_1642 / 24629	Arrowsmith Associates - Mr P B Ambler	Support	Spofforth is one of only two group B vilages that has no allocation, such a mistake is likely to be socially damaging
0486_0489 / 20456	Mrs L Eyre	Support	For the future growth of the district, then land to the east of Knaresborough in the region of the A1 should be developed, as it would have excellent transport links.
0488_1048 / 20509	Mrs P Ruddock	Support	A new eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough, alongside the A1. This would have good road and rail access.
0489_1032 / 20540	Ms J Olnier	Support	A new eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough, alongside the A1. This would have good road and rail access.
0495_1029 / 20571	Ms B V Moss	Support	A new eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough, alongside the A1. This would have good road and rail access.
0512_0516 / 13263	Mr P Hutchinson	Support	H9, H8, H22, H15 are good options for housing as they are in close proximity to A roads, local jobs, shops and facilitiy.
0513_0517 / 13264	Mr and Mrs R Beldon	Support	Kingsley drive field would be an ideal place to build new houses, as there is a great demand in Harrogate.
0517_0521 / 13273	Mr I Law	Support	I am in general agreement with the preferred option sites.
0523_0527 / 13299	Harrogate Design Group	Support	Development should occur around the Pannal area. This area already has excellent transport infrastructure in place, such as road, train and bus facilities.
0538_0542 / 13344	Mrs J Needham-Crane	Support	Development should occur at K30, K18 and K20.
0540_0544 / 13346	Mr and Mrs F Brimmell	Support	The preferred option sites in the Ripon Area are suitable for development.
0544_0550 / 20586	Mr B Derbyshire	Support	R10, R1007, R1008, R1009 and R17 should be allocated for development in Ripon.
0596_2068 / 13494	Mr D Brigham	Support	Support should be given for all the proposed sites in the North East and South East of Harrogate as they use Brownfield sites and are relatively small scale.
0602_0612 / 13512	Mr and Mrs R T Walton	Support	Development should occur along the A61 corridor at Pannal. The area already has excellent transport infrastructure in place to accommodate significant development growth.
0608_0618 / 13529	Mr I McDonald	Support	Support should be given to all the preferred sites in Ripon.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0611_0621 / 13537	Mr E L Clark	Support	Consideration should be given to developing RL1054, RL1060 and RL1007.
0615_2432 / 13547	Ms E A Hodgson	Support	Support should be given to the proposed development sites in the Harrogate District.
0652_0663 / 13628	Chris Robinson - Mr and Mrs Heaton	Support	RL2004, RL98a and RL2005 should be considered for future development.
0660_0671 / 20640	Mr and Mrs J Lawson-Brown	Support	An eco-town in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and west of the A1 should be developed.
0674_0685 / 20757	Mr T Dunk	Support	Large developments should be concentrated to the east where there is sufficient road and rail infrastructure.
0674_0685 / 20759	Mr T Dunk	Support	H104, H1023, H104, H105(1), H1026, H39, H1004, H109, H107, H1020, H110(1), H1004, H1014, H1021 and H1013 are acceptable developments, as they are within the existing built form and are unlikely to produce major additional strains on road and rail infrastructure.
0677_2346 / 20782	Mr P D Sutcliffe	Support	A new eco town could be built to the east of Knaresborough.
0680_0691 / 20827	Ms C Ray	Support	Housing should be built in infill spaces next to existing sites.
0682_0693 / 13659	Mr W Rich	Support	A new town should be built to the East of Knaresborough near to where the railway line intersects the A1.
0682_0693 / 13661	Mr W Rich	Support	If development has to occur in Harrogate then it should be located on the existing Yorkshire show ground, which is an eyesore and creates congestion.
0683_0694 / 13664	Mr J Wickens	Support	A new eco-settlement to the East of Knaresborough near to the A1 could be built to solve many of the current problems.
0691_0703 / 13692	Mr D Read	Support	There are areas to the outskirts of Harrogate, towards the A1 for instance that could sustain a new development.
0696_0708 / 24885	J Russell	Support	Preferred option should an intensive site on existing harrogate golf club, knaresborough road. There are too many golf courses in harrogate
0740_2417 / 24931	NYCC Business and Environmental Services	Support	This document does not contain any issues of significant concern for NYCC.
0746_0761 / 14262	Tim Ellis - Mr T Aidron	Support	All preferred option sites in Group C villages are not viable. The housing corporation puts a £5K cap per plot on the land, therefore these sites are not likely to ever be developed.
0753_0770 / 14334	Ms S Greaves	Support	In support of development within the settlement
0755_0772 / 14380	Mr and Mrs P Ashfield	Support	Suggested alternative development sites are H22, H43, H18, H15, H1016, H100, H1001. They all have far less impact on the landscape and are more suitable affordable housing sites. They do however all have infrastructure problems. Infrastructure plans and commitments are essential for any development.
0755_0772 / 14384	Mr and Mrs P Ashfield	Support	Land east of Harrogate between A1, A59 and the railway, east of A1 would have less landscape impact and currently has good existing facilities, notably road and rail.
0758_0775 / 14444	D McAleer	Support	In support of sites R1, R2a, R2b, R2c, R2d, R2e, R2f, R2h, R2i, R4a, R4b, R1000, all these sites are located to the East of the city. Development to the East would have the least impact in spoiling the environment.
0759_2352 / 14460	Mr I K R Brown	Support	The only option is to utilise areas in Ripon and Knaresborough where congestion is less.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0760_0777 / 14468	Mr R Beardshall	Support	I broadly agree with the sites chosen as being the least damaging
0765_0783 / 14531	Mr R M Bosworth	Support	We need to make sure the the town and district remains economically healthy and socially vibrant. New people and jobs are needed to sustain and grow. It is essential to create a diverse population, attracting young and lower income families, within an increasingly aging population.
0765_0783 / 14549	Mr R M Bosworth	Support	The proportion of residents with 'NIMBY' attitudes forget that their homes were built on land that was once 'greenfield', yet they continue to condemn young people and families to live in high density, brownfield developments far from local amenities and services. Please keep up the good work and push for the plans, I want to enjoy my latter years in a community that is vibrant and fun to be a part of.
0773_0791 / 20923	Mr J R Green	Support	H15 and H22 would be more suitable alternative sites for housing than H1023.
0774_0792 / 20944	Mrs C T Green	Support	H15 and H22 would be more suitable alternative sites for housing than H1023.
0776_0794 / 20976	Mr T Cameron-Jones	Support	Development should be concentrated to the south side of Harrogate in order to reduce the impact of Leeds commuter traffic on Harrogate centre.
0778_0797 / 21004	Mr L C Dowdall	Support	More sites should be allocated.
0779_0798 / 21008	D A Preston	Support	H28, RL500, H1015, H108, H1022, H39, H25, H104, H105 and H105(1) should be allocated for development, as they are near to existing development.
0779_0798 / 21011	D A Preston	Support	H27(1), H27 and H77 are better alternatives for development than H32(1) and H32.
0780_0800 / 21015	C G Bubb	Support	Development should occur at Flaxby near the A59, as it has excellent road access to the A1 and M62.
0790_0811 / 15055	Knox Valley Residents Association	Support	We have no objections to any of the preferred options as long as our concerns expressed regarding the SFRA are addressed.
0790_0811 / 15060	Knox Valley Residents Association	Support	We would like to endorse your reasons given in Volume 1 for not including H15, H100 and H1001.
0801_0823 / 21093	Mr J Olnier	Support	Development should be in the form of an Eco Town, as the town does not have many Brownfield sites.
0804_2163 / 13743	Mr D J Simpson	Support	Support should be given to sites, H2, H8, H9, H15, H17, H22, H23 and H27 as they would be natural infill developments.
0805_0828 / 21131	Mr and Mrs C A Littlefair	Support	A sustainable designed settlement should be developed near the A1.
0809_0832 / 21189	Mr and Mrs A Deaton	Support	If there were a need for more houses then it would make sense to build a new town with a new infrastructure capable of accommodating easy access to major roads and rail services.
0811_2249 / 21207	Mrs G A P Barker	Support	A site close to the A1 could be developed, which would offer better access to other towns in the region.
0821_0845 / 21350	A M Lax	Support	H29, H75, H40, H77, H108, H1015, H1022, H21, H14, H60, H19, H1019, H1002, H7, H400, H76, H1027, H1016, H34, H1012 and parts of H102 and H4a should be developed instead of H32(1), H27(1) and H3.
0822_0846 / 21351	Mr N Slevin	Support	H1014, H1021, H1013, H1004, H1026, H39, H105(1), H104, H109, H107, H110(1), H1020 and H1023 are effectively Brownfield infill sites.
0822_0846 / 21359	Mr N Slevin	Support	H1002, H7, H400, H76, H106, H1027, H1016, H34, H1012, H51 and part of H162, H29, H75, H40, H77, H108, H1015, H1022, H21, H14, H60, H19 and H1019 should go forward for development.
0822_0846 / 21360	Mr N Slevin	Support	Development could occur near to the A1.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0833_0860 / 13769	Mr T D Kent-Jones	Support	The preferred site options are acceptable, with the proviso that they are released as and when they are needed.
0847_2305 / 13815	Mrs L Corby	Support	Development could occur on Rudfarlington Farm on Wetherby Road.
0851_0881 / 13845	Mr K Birse	Support	H9, H8, H22, H43, H18 and H15 are all appropriate for development as they are close to jobs, shops and services.
0876_0909 / 21423	Dr M Goodfield	Support	Development of sites H3, H17, H104 and H25 seem the most appropriate in Harrogate.
0877_0910 / 21435	Mrs S Goodfield	Support	Development of sites H3, H17, H104 and H25 seem the most appropriate in Harrogate.
0893_2370 / 21482	The Rambler's Association	Support	H32(1), H27(1) and H27 offers the opportunity for a combined comprehensive development.
0899_0935 / 21518	Dr R Carnaghan	Support	A new settlement to the east of Knaresborough and in the region of the A1 should be developed. It would have excellent road and rail access.
0900_2402 / 21581	Ms E M Haxby	Support	Sites H4c, H4b, H102 and H29 in Starbeck should be developed. They have good road and rail links and are close to local amenities.
0918_0956 / 14218	Mr and Mrs W W and F R Evans	Support	The parish council own a field in Kirk Hammerton adjacent to the A59, which could be developed due to its close proximity with the railway station and the main road.
0930_1598 / 14301	Mr P J Pickburn	Support	There is no evidence for the requirement for employment in Kirk Hammerton.
0936_0975 / 14363	Mrs C Waddell	Support	K3, K4, K7, K9, K30 and K1008 should be allocated as the preferred option sites in Knaresborough.
0940_0979 / 14401	Mr P Lawrence	Support	Development should occur in Pannel, which offers good road and rail links to major employment destinations.
0958_0997 / 24843	Mr P A Gray	Support	A large site east of the A1 towards york should be considered
0967_1007 / 21649	Mr A Lister	Support	An eco town could be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and along side the A1.
0972_1012 / 21747	Ms J Trewin	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
0973_1013 / 21788	Mr P Trewin	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
0978_1018 / 21869	Ms A Olnier	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
0979_1019 / 21910	Mr J Olnier	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
0993_1035 / 22038	Mr and Mrs D Petty	Support	An eco village should be developed near Cattal as an alternative to the continuing spread of housing into the countryside around Harrogate.
0994_1037 / 22045	Mr D Haxby	Support	An eco town could be developed to the east of Harrogate/Knaresborough, as it has excellent communication links and would totally avoid over-development of Harrogate.
0998_1041 / 22094	Ms J Allan	Support	A completely new development at Cattal should be developed to help meet the necessary government targets.
1002_2359 / 22115	Mrs I Kiernan	Support	An eco-town should be developed close to the A1 and the railway network for commuters.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1003_1047 / 22192	Mr R W Bell	Support	Consideration should be given to the development of a totally new eco settlement to the east of Knaresborough using the existing infrastructure of the railway, A1(M), A59 and A168 as a foundation.
1033_1082 / 22316	Ms J Oxborrow	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
1034_1083 / 22320	Mr G Gibbs	Support	The southeast sector of Harrogate also offers good scope to expand settlements such as Follifoot, particularly Follifoot Ridge.
1034_1083 / 22321	Mr G Gibbs	Support	Developing housing to the southeast quarter of Harrogate would significantly reduce the impact on the other sectors of the town.
1035_1084 / 22369	Ms K Ruddock	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
1043_1093 / 22452	P A Olnier	Support	An eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and alongside the A1.
1045_1095 / 22470	Mr W Chambers	Support	The businesses in Bellevue terrace should relocate and the sites than used for more housing.
1073_1124 / 14709	Mr J R Taylor	Support	An eco-town near to the A1 should be developed as it has excellent bus, road and rail links.
1078_1129 / 14720	Mr and Mrs Burd	Support	Development should occur to the South of Harrogate near to Pannal as it is away from the town centre.
1079_1130 / 14725	Mr P L Goldsmith	Support	The flat land to the Southeast of the A1/A59 junction, adjacent to Hopperton should be developed as an eco-village. The site has excellent transport links and could become a sustainable community.
1082_2207 / 14744	Mr and Mrs L Bentley	Support	Development should occur next to the existing road and rail networks such as the A59, A1, and York to Leeds railway line triangle.
1091_2252 / 22661	Pannal Village Society	Support	The development of an eco village in the Flaxby area of the district would prevent many traffic problems on the west side of Harrogate and obviate the need to build on valuable landscape areas to the west side of the town.
1092_1146 / 22677	Mr and Mrs B Smith	Support	An eco village should be built in the Flaxby/Hopperton area, which is ideally placed with A59 and A1 accessible.
1107_2178 / 22867	Mr P J Stretton	Support	The building of an eco village in the Flaxby/Hopperton area would be a long-term solution to the housing problems in the district.
1114_1170 / 22921	Mrs B Towler	Support	Development of a new housing/employment area in the region of the A1, to the east of Knaresborough should be considered, as it has excellent road and rail links.
1117_1173 / 22938	C M Bramley	Support	Land near St James Retail Park in Knaresborough should be developed, as it would not have a big impact on traffic, social mix or schools.
1118_1174 / 22945	E A Cleal	Support	High-density housing should be developed in Knaresborough.
1122_2121 / 22970	Mr M P Laycock	Support	A greater proportion of the allocation should be located away from Harrogate town.
1126_2177 / 14795	Mr A Robinson	Support	An eco-village should be developed at Flaxby by the A1. The site has good transport links to the surrounding conurbations by both public and private transport modes.
1129_1185 / 14801	Mrs L Robinson	Support	An eco-village should be developed near Flaxby near the A1. This would be a better option than spoiling Harrogate with huge housing estates.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1147_1203 / 23325	Mr R Fisher	Support	Infill development should occur within the existing town area. This could be on the land currently occupied by Oakdale Golf Club, by Harrogate Town Football Club and the land between St John Fisher School and Hornbeam Park.
1147_1203 / 23331	Mr R Fisher	Support	A new eco village should be developed adjacent to the Donnelly site at Flaxby. It has an existing rail link and land allocated for employment.
1149_1205 / 23359	Mr and Mrs G Chapman	Support	Ripon should be allocated a higher proportion of development from the current 8% allocated to it in the core strategy.
1153_1209 / 23453	Mr J Watson	Support	A new eco town should be developed in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough, alongside the A1. This would have good road and rail access.
1155_1211 / 23475	Dr M D Rowbottom	Support	A new community should be developed to the West of Harrogate rather than the infill approach that is being proposed.
1160_1217 / 23493	Mrs R Kirby	Support	A new site to East/West of the A1 and south of the A59 should be developed. It would have excellent road and rail facilities and would be ideal for commuters to both Leeds and York.
1161_1218 / 25001	CPRE	Support	In agreement with the preferred options. There is sufficient land in sustainable locations to meet housing and employment targets
1165_1222 / 24244	Mr J F Gentle	Support	As an interim period of 2years sites H104, H105 and H1023 would be suitable for affordable housing, secure apartments and higher priced dwellings. Although there is concern for the existing road congestion.
1165_1222 / 24246	Mr J F Gentle	Support	In support of development at sites H107, H109, H110(1), H1014, H1020 would like to see affordable housing, secure apartments and higher priced dwellings.
1165_1222 / 24247	Mr J F Gentle	Support	In support of economic development at sites H27(1), H27, H32(1)
1165_1222 / 24248	Mr J F Gentle	Support	In support of development at sites H39 and H1026 for affordable housing and higher priced dwellings
1165_1222 / 24249	Mr J F Gentle	Support	In support of developmnet at H1004, H13 and H21
1176_1233 / 23705	Harlow Moor Drive/Lascelles Residents	Support	Harrogate Borough Council should facilitate the provision of an eco-village near Cattal with rail links to Harrogate stations and easy motorway access. (Petition, 76 signatures)
1177_2469 / 23611	Mr R Davies	Support	H39, H109, H107, H110, H1020, H1021, H1013, H1026, H1004, H27(1) and H32 should go forward for housing development.
1178_1235 / 23621	Mrs L Davies	Support	H39, H109, H107, H110, H1020, H1021, H1013, H1026, H1004, H27(1) and H32 should go forward for housing development.
1179_1236 / 23631	Ms S Davies	Support	H39, H109, H107, H110, H1020, H1021, H1013, H1026, H1004, H27(1) and H32 should go forward for housing development.
1180_1237 / 23641	Mr C Davies	Support	H39, H109, H107, H110, H1020, H1021, H1013, H1026, H1004, H27(1) and H32 should go forward for housing development.
1183_1240 / 23659	Ms P Prentis	Support	H39, H1026, H104, H105 and H1023 are all small developments that would not have an adverse impact on traffic.
1186_1244 / 23690	P A de Lacy	Support	Development of H8, H9 and H22 would make the development of H1023 unnecessary.
1188_1246 / 23724	Mr J Hodge	Support	A new development to the east of Knaresborough near the A1 could be developed. This could have the entire necessary infrastructure designed and built into the scheme.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1190_1249 / 23754	Mr S D Gill	Support	Future development in the district would be best met by the creation of a new settlement in an area to the east of Knaresborough and in the region of the A1.
1191_2209 / 23767	Mrs M Sonander	Support	An eco-village should be developed near to Cattal. A comprehensive new settlement could be built on this flat land.
1194_1254 / 23803	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Support	H1023, H107, H109, H1020, H1014, H110(1), H1013 and H1021 should go forward for housing development.
1194_1254 / 23804	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Support	H27(1), H1004 and H74(1) should go forward for employment development.
1194_1254 / 23805	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Support	Sites H7, H14 and H60 should be designated as preferred options.
1194_1254 / 23813	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Support	Major allocations of both housing and employment should be made within the Bilton triangle on the east of Harrogate but subject to providing two critical link roads.
1195_1255 / 23814	Mrs B E Dunsby	Support	All sites currently used for jobs should remain allocated for local jobs.
1196_1256 / 23819	Mr and Mrs M Jenkins	Support	The infrastructure in Kirk Hammerton can cope with the predicted growth.
1202_1265 / 23928	Ms K Morgan	Support	Development could occur to the east of the A1 as proposed by the group RASH.
1204_1267 / 24064	Mr P J Whittle	Support	Consideration should be given to the creation of an Eco-settlement to the east of Knaresborough in the vicinity of present transport routes of the A1 and A59.
1212_1275 / 24002	Mr J Branson	Support	All the Brownfield sites in the Northeast sector of Harrogate seem to be acceptable subject to the design been in harmony with their surroundings.
1220_2149 / 14847	Killinghall Moor Conservation Group	Support	The most obvious place for development is around Pannel and the South side of Harrogate as most traffic heads for Leeds in the morning.
1221_1288 / 14854	Mr and Mrs A D Miles	Support	An area to the North of Cruet Farm in Hampsthwaite could be developed as it has lain fallow for years and there have been many complaints about its untidy state.
1234_1302 / 14923	Miss M A Thorp	Support	Development in Masham should occur at Jamesons warehouse on Leyburn Road opposite Wensleydale garage.
1241_1310 / 24741	Ms A Reece	Support	In support of development for sites at Jennyfields/Killinghall Moor. There are already existing lower cost housing within the area and associated support for young families or families in need.
1241_1310 / 24760	Ms A Reece	Support	East of A1(m) towards York should be considered for a development site
1244_1313 / 14998	Mr J Tevlin	Support	An ideal location for large-scale development would be around the A59/ A1 junction, as it already has road and rail links.
1245_1314 / 15007	Dr G Brownlie	Support	A new settlement such as a new eco-village should be developed close to the A1. It has good infrastructure including a potential rail link and could include new community facilities.
1246_1315 / 24734	Dr R Reece	Support	In support of development at the following locations in Harrogate; Jennyfields, Killinghall Moor, Woodfield and Bilton. All the sites have good health and recreational facilities and good road access and public transport links to the wider district. There is adequate provision at local nursery and schools. There is a growing local infrastructure.
1246_1315 / 24739	Dr R Reece	Support	Eco-development east of knaresborough should be given due consideration above any of the preferred options

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1250_1319 / 15031	Mr C Glen	Support	An eco-settlement should be developed to the East of Knaresborough in the vicinity of present transport routes of the A1 and A59. A sustainable community could be developed around the railway lines and roads.
1251_2441 / 15036	Mr D d'Arcy Thompson	Support	A new town should be built to the East of the A1(M).
1253_1322 / 15041	Mr and Mrs C M Hall	Support	An eco-development could be developed near the A59 and A1. The site would have good access to major roads and a local railway station.
1258_1327 / 15072	M and K Price	Support	Development should occur to the South of Harrogate, as this would enable people to live within a close vicinity of a railway station and a bus route into the town.
1264_1333 / 15110	W R Goymer	Support	Development should occur in the form of an eco-settlement in the area of the A1 (M)/A59 junction. This would excellent rail, road and employment links.
1265_1334 / 15121	J Goymer	Support	An eco town should be developed near the junction of the A59 and the A1. It has good road and rail links and is close to centres of employment.
1281_1352 / 15240	Mr and Mrs J Barnes	Support	Sites H8, H22, H43, H18, H34, H106, H4a, H1000, H1019, H31, H40 and H11 should be developed as they are small in fill sites that have the necessary supporting infrastructure in place.
1283_1354 / 15265	Mr and Mrs G Molyneux	Support	Development would be better placed close to main road and rail infrastructures seen along the A59 area by the A1.
1284_2424 / 15266	Mr P Turner	Support	The Council owns the land at Rudfarlington Farm on Wetherby Road. This should be developed as it has excellent access to all the major transport routes.
1284_2424 / 15267	Mr P Turner	Support	An eco-town could be developed. This would help relieve some pressure that is already on the local services in Harrogate.
1285_2421 / 15274	Mrs S Turner	Support	An eco-town should be developed to alleviate the heavily pressured infrastructure and services in Harrogate.
1285_2421 / 15275	Mrs S Turner	Support	The council owned land at Rudfarlington Farm on Wetherby Road should be developed because it has good access to the bypass.
1298_1374 / 15353	F Eve	Support	An eco-town should be developed that meets all the demands of a modern urban area.
1299_1375 / 15356	V Fero	Support	A new site needs to be developed to incorporate homes, offices and new infrastructure, close to existing main road networks.
1309_1386 / 16948	Mrs K M Barley	Support	Development should occur behind the Whinfields estate. It has good drainage and good access.
1314_2239 / 16977	Mr and Mrs R and J Osborne	Support	Development should be concentrated close to the town centre to capitalise on all the amenities within the town.
1328_1405 / 17047	Mr J R Mills	Support	The allocations of sites in Ripon are within walking distance of the city centre and will not have a big impact on traffic congestion.
1341_1418 / 17104	Mr J Hemingway	Support	New homes should be built adjacent to the A658 near Flaxby. This would have good road and rail links, and new school facilities could be built here.
1341_1418 / 17105	Mr J Hemingway	Support	New homes should be built either side of the A61 near Pannal. This would have good road and rail links.
1349_1426 / 17149	Mr and Mrs P Barry	Support	An eco town could be developed close to the main arterial roads to the East, beyond Knaresborough, near to the A1 and M1 links.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1350_1427 / 17153	Mrs P Town	Support	Development should occur on sites that are not at risk from flooding or located under a national grid power line.
1353_1430 / 17164	Mr A Clarke	Support	Development should occur on the South side of Harrogate due to its ease of access to key commuter routes to employment destinations.
1362_1440 / 17176	Mrs P J Eldridge	Support	A new town should be developed, as this would not spoil Harrogate.
1372_1450 / 17227	Ms V Horrocks	Support	Development should occur along the A59 between Knaresborough and the A1. It has good access and a poor landscape quality.
1378_1456 / 17279	Ms J Knight	Support	It would be more environmentally friendly to build an eco-village outside the existing boundaries of the town.
1381_1459 / 17293	Mr M Hodges	Support	A large development should be located in the area of the intersection between Leeds/ Harrogate/ York railway line and the A1.
1391_2313 / 17332	Mrs I McLaughlin McLaughlin	Support	Plans to build on the Dunlop Latex Foam site in Pannal for employment use should be allowed due to its easy access to the A61 and the railway station.
1391_2313 / 17333	Mrs I McLaughlin McLaughlin	Support	Development should occur on land next to the A1, to the northwest of Cattal, next to the main York to Harrogate railway line.
1393_2457 / 17364	Mr E M O'Sullivan	Support	A large development should be planned to the east of Knaresborough, near the railway line, the A1 and A59.
1406_1637 / 15372	Hutchinson and Buchanan - Mr J L Umpleby	Support	Kirkby Malzeard has good access to shops, services and employment and the village could therefore sustain a relatively high allocation of new housing.
1407_1489 / 15383	Mr and Mrs J Mitchell	Support	If the Wreaks Mill site ever become available, then this would be the ideal site for a significant residential site.
1416_1498 / 15417	Mr M Knight	Support	An eco-village should be developed on the East side of Harrogate. This would allow good access to Leeds and enable development of links to the railway network and the A1.
1436_1519 / 15522	Mr M Andrews	Support	The council's list of preferred options represent the best compromise currently available.
1439_1522 / 15535	Mrs J Glen	Support	An eco-settlement should be developed to the East of Knaresborough in the vicinity of present transport routes of the A1 and the A59 Southern bypass.
1447_1530 / 15575	Ms P Tattersall	Support	A new village should be built to accommodate the large amount of new houses that need to be built in the district. This village could incorporate all the new necessary infrastructure and community facilities needed to support the population.
1449_1532 / 15587	Mrs K Holdsworth	Support	The area to the back of Victoria Terrace would be an ideal location for development due to its good access and set back location.
1449_1532 / 15588	Mrs K Holdsworth	Support	The area around the Winfield estate at the top end of the village would be an ideal location for development as it is away from the road and is surrounded by existing family housing.
1475_1565 / 15709	R M and F M Mayo	Support	The smaller sites H8, H22, H43, H18, H34, H40, H31, H1019, H11, H1000, H106 and H4A should be developed instead of one large site. These will have less environmental impact and there will be the existing supporting infrastructure.
1477_2391 / 15717	Mrs J H McDermott	Support	An eco-settlement should be developed to the East of Knaresborough near to the railway, A1 and A59.
1478_1569 / 15743	C D and G L Sanderson	Support	Development should occur to the South East of Harrogate near to the good rail and road links.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1491_1585 / 15821	Mr C Skeels	Support	A larger number of smaller sites such as H106, H4a, H40 and H1012 should be developed before larger sites.
1493_1587 / 15823	Mr and Mrs J Easton	Support	K2b, K3, K5 and K6 are the only sites that should be developed in Knaresborough. They have good access to the A658 and will not add to the existing congestion in the town centre.
1502_1595 / 15874	Mr J Loring	Support	One or more satellite communities could be considered within the North East sector as a whole, as an alternative to some proposed infill developments.
1509_1605 / 15900	Mr A Cockerham	Support	It would be environmentally preferable to develop the sites on H9, H8, H22, H43, H18 and H15, as this would give the possibility of using existing transport systems and good access to the amenities in the town.
1512_1608 / 24046	Ms L Hopkins	Support	In support of all development in the Jennyfield/Killinghall Moor and Woodfield & Bilton New Park area. The sites have good health and recreational facilities, good public transport and road links. Schools with good nursery provision are readily accessible. There is a growing local infrastructure of shops, businesses and services in these areas.
1513_1609 / 24058	Mr C Hopkins	Support	In support of all development in the Jennyfield/Killinghall Moor and Woodfield & Bilton New Park area. The sites have good health and recreational facilities, good public transport and road links. Schools with good nursery provision are readily accessible. There is a growing local infrastructure of shops, businesses and services in these areas.
1520_1616 / 24177	Miss C Perkins	Support	Kirk Hammerton Parish Council would like to suggest alternative development sites. Together the sites would create a second centre to the village enhancing the village environs and offering better community facilities. The sites suggested are RL1011, RL10 and RL2038.
1523_1619 / 15933	Dr and Mrs R A Litton	Support	An eco-village between Flaxby and Haywra Park should be developed. This would relieve pressure on Harrogate's overburdened existing infrastructure.
1526_1622 / 15939	Mr and Mrs G A Cole	Support	Consideration should be given to consolidating the Harrogate District target into one new village development.
1528_1624 / 15945	Gleeson Strategic Land	Support	Support should be given for the scale of allocations proposed for Knaresborough.
1528_1624 / 15946	Gleeson Strategic Land	Support	It would be better for the overall requirement to be allocated on a number of smaller sites rather than the concentration on the Manse Lane site.
1532_1629 / 15970	Ms E V Robinson	Support	New affordable housing should be developed in the village to enable local people to get onto the property ladder.
1532_1629 / 15982	Ms E V Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed because they have good access.
1533_1630 / 15990	Mr C P Robinson	Support	Support should be given for the development of affordable housing in the village of Burton Leonard.
1533_1630 / 16003	Mr C P Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed as they have good access.
1533_1630 / 16004	Mr C P Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed, as they have no drainage issues.
1535_1632 / 16006	Ms H E Robinson	Support	Support should be given for the development of affordable housing in the village of Burton Leonard.
1535_1632 / 16019	Ms H E Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed as they have good access.
1535_1632 / 16020	Ms H E Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed, as they have no drainage issues.
1536_1633 / 16037	Ms E A Robinson	Support	Support should be given for the development of affordable housing in the village of Burton Leonard.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1536_1633 / 16051	Ms E A Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed as they have good access.
1536_1633 / 16052	Ms E A Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed, as they have no drainage issues.
1537_1634 / 16022	Mr D Robinson	Support	Support should be given for the development of affordable housing in the village of Burton Leonard.
1537_1634 / 16035	Mr D Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed as they have good access.
1537_1634 / 16036	Mr D Robinson	Support	RL1021, RL1049, RL1041 and RL2056 should be developed, as they have no drainage issues.
1544_1646 / 16087	Mr E Scott	Support	Greenfield sites should be given incentives to be used for recreation and promoting biodiversity.
1549_1652 / 16131	Mrs A Clarke	Support	RL90, RL1041, RL1021 and Field House should be allocated for housing.
1550_1654 / 16132	Mrs J Hunt	Support	Development should occur towards the A1 for easy commuting to major employment hubs by existing rail and road networks.
1553_1658 / 24183	D B Coomber	Support	In support of all preferred options except for H32(1). The distribution and location of these sites are relative to immediate surroundings are logical priorities. Several NE and SE sector options are suitable for low cost housing.
1556_1662 / 16152	Mr G Saunders	Support	The principle that the local authority has to prepare a local development framework that identifies land to meet housing needs over the next 15 years is accepted.
1556_1662 / 16153	Mr G Saunders	Support	The principle that a small proportion of new housing must be in group B and group C villages and settlements is accepted.
1558_1664 / 16164	Barton Willmore Partnership - Mr D Ward	Support	There is a need to provide site allocations in order to identify specific sites where new homes and business premises could be built as part of the Harrogate Local Development Framework.
1561_1668 / 16189	A M Fountain	Support	New businesses, new houses and new affordable housing on H9, H8, H22, H43, H18 and H15 would mean people living there could potentially walk to work.
1561_1668 / 16190	A M Fountain	Support	A supermarket, a new school, GP's practice and amenity space all joined by paths and cycle paths on H9, H8 and H22, would improve the environment whilst providing much needed extra facilities for the often neglected West side of Harrogate.
1566_1674 / 16213	Ms A Taylorson	Support	Development should occur on land between Knaresborough and York, which is in easy reach of existing motorways and close to the existing rail network.
1567_1675 / 16214	Ms A Hunter	Support	All the site allocations are broadly acceptable except from RL500 and H32(1).
1569_1678 / 16232	Mr and Mrs A Dodd	Support	A new development of new housing and the necessary transport, schools, shops and services should be located to the East of Harrogate.
1573_1682 / 16267	Mrs M G Harling	Support	Development could occur in the Bilton triangle. The site could accommodate all housing types, recreational facilities and a new rail halt.
1577_1686 / 16272	K Crossland	Support	H9, H8 and H22 would provide GP practices and other amenities for the area.
1577_1686 / 16273	K Crossland	Support	H9, H8, H22, H43, H18 and H15 would provide new employment and affordable housing. This would potentially allow residents to walk to work therefore relieving congestion.
1581_1746 / 23993	Lister Haigh Ltd - Mr R J Thomas	Support	In support of development to the south of the B6162 and A59 as this would avoid cross town journeys and have easy access to main traffic routes and train stations. Roads are inadequate to the north, and the use of Skipton Road and Knaresborough Road diminished.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1584_1695 / 16292	Mr A Dixon	Support	Development within Birstwith should occur in the vicinity of the shop/Post Office and school. This would help to build balance into the distribution of the population.
1588_1699 / 16305	Mr P A Turner	Support	More high-density housing should be developed to keep Harrogate as compact as possible so as to minimise the increase in road traffic.
1597_1839 / 16353	J Buckingham	Support	An Eco settlement could be built to the East of the A1 with good public transport links to Harrogate for employment and shopping provisions.
1598_1710 / 16355	Miss B E Law	Support	The council should construct dwellings in tall tower blocks on such sites as Library Gardens, Pine Woods and the former gas works.
1601_1713 / 16364	Mrs J Hughes	Support	The council should ensure that the developments help to create a better social mix of housing types and tenures.
1612_1745 / 23940	Carter Jonas - Swiers/Dearlove Addyman/Chippendale	Support	It is considered that part of the Bilton Triangle could come forward without affecting the broad role of the area.
1613_1748 / 16394	Mr P Gaffney	Support	RL4, RL99 and RL113 are all in keeping with Darley's strip village nature, enhancing its landscape character.
1618_1756 / 16464	Scotton Parish Council	Support	Any development in the Parish in the immediate future and if any were to be approved it would have to be affordable dwellings.
1619_1757 / 16471	Mrs I M Carr	Support	General support should be given to the preferred options.
1677_1815 / 16757	Mr I Paxton	Support	Sites RL1100, RL1099 and RL25a could be developed for housing.
1689_1829 / 16832	Queen Ethelburga's Residents Association	Support	An eco-town in an area with good access and transport links could be built to the East of Knaresborough near to the A1.
1691_2397 / 16863	Mrs J Brankin	Support	K3, K5, K6, K1005 and K1006 would allow for a substantial amount of new housing and additional facilities such as schools, shops and services.
1694_1837 / 16874	Mr M Carter	Support	Jennyfields, Killinghall Moor, Woodfield and the Bilton new park are good areas to encourage first time homebuyers. It has good facilities and access to services.
1695_2175 / 16877	Ms B Carter	Support	Jennyfields, Killinghall Moor, Woodfield and the Bilton new park are good areas to encourage first time homebuyers. They have good transport links and access to facilities, schools and services.
1792_1950 / 17451	Mr M Grange	Support	H1001, H100, H101, H1010, H1009 and H76 all have merit to be developed.
1792_1950 / 17452	Mr M Grange	Support	H71, H1006, H1002, H7, H29, H4B, H1027, H1017, H106, H4A, H51, H110, H51, H1011, H11, H1017 and H21 all have merit for development.
0004_2254 / 10464	Mr J D Parry	Object	My fundamental concern relates to the recommendation that all future housing should be located to the West of Harrogate.
0004_2254 / 10466	Mr J D Parry	Object	Heavily congested roads around the Preferred Options to the West of Harrogate are causing dangerous rat runs. No safe cycle links.
0025_2381 / 10505	Mr R S M Trantor	Object	The words 'West of Harrogate' have not been used in any previous rounds of consultations. The recommendation was based on a document that was not in the public domain. This does not constitute an open, democratic, consultative process.
0058_1069 / 10646	Miss F N Wilson	Object	Disagrees that all of Harrogates development should be located to the west of the town.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0058_1069 / 10648	Miss F N Wilson	Object	Heavily congested roads are causing dangerous rat runs. No safe cycle links.
0061_0767 / 10566	Mrs B Adams	Object	I consider the plans to be the most destructive thing that could happen to a town of outstanding natural beauty such as Harrogate.
0073_1364 / 10858	Mr N Zoltie	Object	Development to the west of harrogate is in contradiction to the avowed policy of avoiding the coalescence of villages from harrogate. The rationale for not building in Pannal is for this reason, so not to observe the same for beckwithshaw is perverse.
0074_1365 / 10891	Mrs L Zoltie	Object	Development to the west of harrogate is in contradiction to the avowed policy of avoiding the coalescence of villages from harrogate. The rationale for not building in Pannal is for this reason, so not to observe the same for beckwithshaw is perverse.
0078_2376 / 10630	Mr and Mrs D E Bishop	Object	Not in support of any of the preferred options. Development should be small scale and widespread, without overloading any roads or facilities. The current options to the west of Harrogate would do the most damage.
0078_2376 / 10641	Mr and Mrs D E Bishop	Object	If development to the west were to take place this would be a unhappy reminiscent of the appalling mistakes of the past. One would have hoped that the current council would at least have had the gumption to hang on to the bits of nice landscape that remian after the dreadful depredations of their predecessors.
0086_1066 / 10702	Ms J C Lightowler	Object	Disagrees that all of Harrogates development should be located to the west of the town.
0086_1066 / 10704	Ms J C Lightowler	Object	Heavily congested roads are causing dangerous rat runs. No safe cycle links.
0119_1747 / 10940	Mr D E Ruddock	Object	The H32, H32(1), H27 and H77 are inappropriate development. All these sites to the East of Harrogate have inadequate infrastructure in place such as drainage facilities.
0143_2344 / 12421	Mr P G Neal	Object	Development growth around the South West of Harrogate specifically H32(1), H27(1), H32, H27, H77, H28 and RL500 is unsustainable. This is because the railway link is located on the opposite side of the town.
0180_2445 / 19606	Mr A J Goodman	Object	H32(1), H3, H27(1), H39, and H1026 should not be developed as they would change the character of Harrogate forever.
0186_1102 / 19613	Mr B W Thornley	Object	The development of H32(1), H32, H27(1), H27 and H77 would constitute encroachment into SLA's. They also have poor access to public transport and would result in further congestion on the inadequate local highway network.
0237_1543 / 12871	Bishop Monkton Parish Council	Object	It is not appropriate to build affordable homes in a single estate of 13 homes. This contradicts paragraph 8 of the 2007 'Homes for Local People'.
0244_2388 / 19751	Yorkshire Forward	Object	The proposed approach to distribute 21.5% of new housing within a wide range of small villages and open countryside may constitute unsustainable development.
0244_2388 / 19752	Yorkshire Forward	Object	Yorkshire Forward is concerned that the distribution of development being proposed through the LDF will not support ongoing renaissance activity in the district.
0259_2439 / 24480	Mrs S Wilson	Object	Regarding sites to the west of Harrogate H3, H25, H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77, RL500 there is concern as to all future housing being developed in the west of Harrogate
0259_2439 / 24505	Mrs S Wilson	Object	Regarding sites to the west of Harrogate H3, H25, H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77, RL500 I question whether housing proposed here would meet the needs of local people trying to get onto the property ladder. House prices are already high I do not believe these developments would provide a solution.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0271_1736 / 24590	Carter Jonas - DMB Ltd	Object	Neither of the preferred options B12 and B11(1) are deliverable housing sites. The council will have to rely on available sites such as B5.
0313_1137 / 20861	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Object	There is evidence of a deficiency in allocating land for both employment and new homes.
0313_1137 / 20862	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Object	There is an insufficient amount of sites that have been identified at the preferred options stage of the DPD.
0313_1137 / 20863	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Object	There are inconsistencies between the Core Strategy and other DPDs in respect of the settlement hierarchy.
0313_1137 / 20866	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Object	The council has not used the SHLAA as evidence to its Site Allocations Preferred Options.
0315_0899 / 12633	Harrogate Branch Labour Party	Object	Most of the development in the last 25 years has been concentrated in the North of Harrogate. This has put a huge strain on the local services and facilities. For this reason H3, H17, H2, H9, H8, H22, H15, H23, H75, H1010, H1009, H72, H400, H76 and H1007 should not be developed.
0319_0548 / 12967	Mr R F Fendley	Object	The best form of settlement growth would be to spread it across a large number of smaller sites therefore spreading the strains of development.
0322_2335 / 24627	Mr R Brown	Object	We must say NO to further development. We cannot stand back and watch the government destroy the town and country
0325_1370 / 12972	Mr M Pinkney	Object	It is ridiculous to expect the West of Harrogate to cope with the extra population growth that will occur from the planned developments.
0374_1722 / 24659	ID Planning - Ripon City AFC	Object	Regarding sites R10, R11, R17, R1008 and R1009 In light of technical difficulties associated with bringing the site forward the sites preferred option status should be reconsidered.
0375_1282 / 13012	Mrs H L Ives	Object	Settlement growth in South West Harrogate such as H37, H25, H27, H77 and RL500 should not be developed. This is because the general area has a distinct lack of amenities and inadequate infrastructure provision.
0389_0389 / 20033	Mr E Snashall	Object	Harrogate does not have the employment opportunities available for any new residents, who will have to commute outside of the district for work.
0391_0391 / 20040	Mr I Hick	Object	Research carried out locally in Boroughbridge clearly identified that there is not a demand for 160 houses and the removal of a valuable employment site.
0395_2087 / 13061	Mr and Mrs R and G Smith	Object	H37, H25 and H105 should never be built on, as the necessary facilities and infrastructure are not in place.
0422_0423 / 20218	Mr J Greep	Object	There are serious reservations about the inclusion of sites H32, RL500 and H39 as preferred options.
0423_0424 / 20224	Mr and Mrs P Easby	Object	The roads in Killinghall are extremely busy and dangerous. The proposed high level of settlement growth in the village will exacerbate this.
0439_0441 / 20330	Mr K G Powell	Object	Local people should be given priority for new housing over people who were born outside of the region.
0441_0443 / 20338	Ms S Smith	Object	No more development should occur in the northeast sector of Knaresborough.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0441_0443 / 20341	Ms S Smith	Object	Further development of northeast Knaresborough would lead to an increase in crime and general anti-social behavior.
0442_0444 / 20343	Mr and Mrs I Reid	Object	Access roads are in close proximity to the primary school, thus creating more danger to the children and parents.
0445_0447 / 20362	Mrs H Towers	Object	H32(1), H32, H27(1), H27, H28 and RL500 have poor access as the A61 is unsuitable for high levels of traffic. The area already has problems with surface water drainage and the schools are full to capacity.
0452_0949 / 12834	Mr M Raddats	Object	The majority of the preferred options are located to the West of Harrogate. This will increase the demand for local facilities and increase traffic levels. Development should be more evenly spread across the town.
0463_0467 / 20435	Ms C Dodgson	Object	Development should not occur on the urban fringe of Harrogate, as continued expansion would be at the cost of rural open spaces.
0473_0476 / 13010	Mr K Walker	Object	The Harrogate district is already overloaded and gridlocked. The further developments would only further increase this.
0485_0488 / 12755	W H and E Urwin	Object	Settlement growth around Beckwithshaw and Harrogate would merge the two settlements.
0485_0488 / 12758	W H and E Urwin	Object	It is inappropriate to propose the majority of the development in South-West Harrogate than in other areas.
0488_1048 / 20476	Mrs P Ruddock	Object	Planners should put a stop to the conversion of several small affordable units into large homes. This loss of affordable homes should not be allowed to continue.
0488_1048 / 20477	Mrs P Ruddock	Object	Hundreds of houses should not be built in the Harrogate wider area.
0489_2437 / 12812	Ms J Olnier	Object	Plans to build houses and offices on Greenfield sites in Harrogate are unsustainable.
0489_2437 / 12813	Ms J Olnier	Object	Developing H32, H32(1), H27 and H27(1) will have a detrimental impact on valuable farmland and countryside.
0489_2437 / 12814	Ms J Olnier	Object	The argument that more office space is needed to the South-West of Harrogate so that people can work locally is flawed.
0496_0799 / 20575	Mrs M Bubb	Object	The development of H27(1), H28, H32, H77, H31, H11, H14 and H60 would completely ruin the village way of life in nearby Beckwithshaw. The existing roads and services would not be able to cope.
0507_2064 / 13253	Mr P E Doyle	Object	Harrogate and the district is already over developed. Instead of further settlement growth the council should concentrate on improving existing housing stocks , leisure facilities and transport infrastructure.
0509_0513 / 13255	Mrs F DeNero	Object	Harrogate is already overcrowded and congested and further development would exacerbate this.
0536_0540 / 13338	Mr B Martin	Object	The scale of the proposed developments is too large. It is not down to residents to suggest alternatives sites but this high-density large-scale housing proposal is inappropriate for the area.
0539_0543 / 13345	I Balgue	Object	Large scale development should not occur in Knaresborough. The town has not got the necessary infrastructure to accommodate further settlement growth, which should be concentrated in large conurbations.
0540_0544 / 13347	Mr and Mrs F Brimmell	Object	Development sites in the Ripon area that extend into the countryside such as sites R6, R32, R9, R34, R1000 and R4b are inappropriate.
0541_0545 / 13352	C P Newsome	Object	Developing sites H32, H32(1) and RL500 would infill greenfield land and cause the amalgamation of Harrogate with Beckwithshaw.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0559_0566 / 13397	Mrs M Runcorn	Object	Development of the Sites H25, H32 and H32(1) is inappropriate development as it will destroy an area, which is a green lung between Harrogate and Beckwithshaw.
0570_0578 / 13411	Mr P Fountain	Object	Boroughbridge will not be able to sustain such a high level of settlement growth. The roads are already congested, there are insufficient sports facilities and the public transport provision is inadequate.
0572_0581 / 13417	Mr P H Metson	Object	The large number of proposed development would spoil the character of Harrogate. Development is proposed at too high a density, which will place too much pressure on existing infrastructure.
0575_0644 / 20578	Mr and Mrs G Threadgold	Object	The area of allocated employment land in Boroughbridge appears to be greatly reduced.
0575_0644 / 20581	Mr and Mrs G Threadgold	Object	There does not appear to be enough employment site allocations in the plan. This will result in Harrogate becoming even more of a commuter town for Leeds.
0580_0589 / 13443	Mr A Fogg	Object	There is not adequate infrastructure in place to be able to cope with such an unprecedented level of settlement growth.
0580_0589 / 13444	Mr A Fogg	Object	Traffic levels and congestion are already at high levels along the A61 and B6161. This will only be exacerbated through high levels of settlement growth.
0586_0595 / 13460	Mr M Hodgson	Object	Support should be given to any development that will not increase the traffic levels along Skipton and Ripon Road.
0596_2068 / 13495	Mr D Brigham	Object	None of the sites in the North West or South West of Harrogate should be allocated. This is because there is inadequate infrastructure in place and the roads are already congested.
0599_0608 / 13504	Dr and Mrs J D Seddon	Object	The proposed development of Harrogate will destroy its overall character, which currently distinguishes it from other areas and makes it such an attractive place to live.
0603_0613 / 13513	Mrs H Hudson	Object	The village of Hampsthwaite has already seen extensive development. The village should not become over developed and an extension of Harrogate town.
0607_0617 / 13525	Mr N Windle	Object	Access to RL1085, RL1085(1), RL133 and RL73 is inadequate and dangerous as the narrow roads need significant widening.
0608_0618 / 13530	Mr I McDonald	Object	All the 'other' options in the Ripon area should not be developed. They would have a detrimental impact on Ripon's infrastructure and built heritage.
0618_0628 / 13550	Mr S L Wigg	Object	The scale of proposed development is inappropriate and will encourage inward migration from Leeds and Bradford.
0634_0645 / 13594	Prof D Geldart	Object	The suggested high levels of settlement growth are inappropriate for the district as there is no need for an additional non-skilled labour force.
0640_0651 / 13611	Mr J Green	Object	Harrogate is unable to sustain a significant population growth. The highway network and facilities are already full to capacity.
0658_0669 / 20626	Mr C Dye	Object	Sites H109, H1011, H110, H110(1), H51 and H1012 would not be viable due to the already high levels of congestion.
0665_0676 / 20693	Ms M A S Madden	Object	The proposed development of 115 new dwellings in Killinghall would have a large detrimental impact on the highway network.
0665_0676 / 20694	Ms M A S Madden	Object	The proposed allocation of development in Killinghall is grossly disproportionate to other similar B villages in the district.
0674_0685 / 20756	Mr T Dunk	Object	Large-scale development should occur to the west of Harrogate due to the lack of services, increase in traffic and damage to the environment.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0675_0686 / 20769	Ms E Carlisle	Object	Support should not be given for the proposal to build a large number of new houses in the Harrogate area.
0676_2307 / 20775	Mr D A Nicholson	Object	Development should take place to the east of Knaresborough and either side of the A1. The site has good road and rail access to nearby conurbations.
0677_2346 / 20777	Mr P D Sutcliffe	Object	Large-scale settlement growth should not be allowed to the north west of Harrogate.
0682_0693 / 13658	Mr W Rich	Object	Settlement growth should not occur in Harrogate because its existing infrastructure will not be able to cope.
0683_0694 / 13663	Mr J Wickens	Object	Harrogate should not be over developed, as it would ruin the character of the town and the views to the Yorkshire Dales and North York Moors.
0687_0698 / 13685	Miss S A Cross	Object	Developing the sites H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77 and RL500 would have a detrimental impact on the landscape, highway network, road safety and urban sprawl in the area.
0688_2219 / 13686	Mrs E Cross	Object	Developing the sites H27, H27(1), H32, H32(1), H77 and RL500 would have a detrimental impact on the landscape, highway network, road safety and urban sprawl in the area.
0689_0700 / 13689	Mrs J Clarke	Object	Developing the West of Harrogate will ruin the attractive countryside located there. The area also has insufficient facilities and infrastructure to accommodate significant development growth.
0690_0701 / 13690	Mr S Gibbin	Object	The proposals to develop huge sways of greenfields sites are fundamentally unsound. There is not a general shortage of housing but a shortage in affordable housing, which has increased due a rise in single occupancy and buy to let properties.
0691_0703 / 13691	Mr D Read	Object	The high levels of development will destroy the fabric of what makes Harrogate so special. It will also lead to the merging of Harrogate with other settlements.
0692_0704 / 13693	Mr D Thompson	Object	None of the preferred options should be developed. The roads are inadequate to cope with the influx of traffic and the character of the town will be changed forever.
0694_0706 / 24888	Ms L Longbottom-Smith	Object	Object to sites H2, H9, H8 and H22 they already ajoin a densely populated area and have rural views
0696_0708 / 24886	J Russell	Object	Development of sites H27(1), H32(1), H27, H75, H32 and RL500 would reduce amenity value of the western countryside. Roads are currently used by cyclists, walkers and horseriders. Development would cause a loss of valuable countryside. The council and members should be thinking more strategically and introducing policies such as Green Lanes to retain quiet roads.
0697_0709 / 24881	Mr and Mrs Briggs	Object	None of the preferred options are acceptable, as it would disrupt our lives with noise and dirt
0697_0709 / 24884	Mr and Mrs Briggs	Object	Housing is not an option it is just a way of making money. It is common knowlegde that where you have low cost housing you get trouble. Therefore you will need more police patrols which means more expense again
0704_0716 / 13726	Mr and Mrs E W Cropper	Object	Sites RL5, RL1035, RL1063(1), RL1091 and RL1120 should not be put forward for housing. A strong case has not been made for additional affordable housing in Kirkby Malzeard.
0705_0717 / 13734	Mr D Rimington	Object	There is no real need for additional housing in the district. The only reason for the additional house building is due to political policies. Settlement growth would lead to increased pressure on services, increased traffic and increased crime.
0707_0719 / 24960	Ms A Czernic	Object	There should be no preferred options as the areas are built up and will not accommodate additional homes. The schools are full and there is insufficient public trasnport. The alternative would be to consider an eco village.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0722_0735 / 13902	Mrs N Webster	Object	An area of land to the North of Cruet farm in Hampsthwaite has been derelict for some time, can this site not be developed?
0725_0920 / 13956	Mr and Mrs A Speight	Object	In Skelton the local primary school will see a probable increase from 35 to 63. As a recent application for an additional classroom was rejected, I fail to see how the school will cope.
0728_0741 / 14045	Ms S L Beasley	Object	Throughout the LDF no reference has been made to the Hampsthwaite Village Plan 2006, which highlights that the only development that was necessary within the village was a small group of affordable homes for local needs. Infact on total 48 dwellings have been allocated within Hampsthwaite, this is excessive and not consistent with the Village Plan 2006.
0729_0742 / 14056	Mr C Helliwell	Object	Suitable development site alternatives for Burton Leonard would be RL115, RL114 and RL110, as they all have good accessibility.
0734_0747 / 14197	Ms G Hovell	Object	Birstwith 'alternative options' - I strongly oppose this option. The option will exceed the requirement within the village. Road safety along Nidd Lane would be compromised. In heavy rain the fields absorb much of the run-off, building on this land will exacerbate this problem. Pavements only run to town on the East side of the road, as the development is on the West there would be a severance issue. Development would alter the character and appearance of the village
0739_0752 / 13910	A T McCamley	Object	An area of land to the North of Cruet farm in Hampsthwaite has been derelict for some time, can this site not be developed?
0755_0772 / 14376	Mr and Mrs P Ashfield	Object	Within sites H3, H32/H32(1), H27(1) there are no assurances about the suitability and quality of the proposed housing. A suitable standard would mean a modest house would cost £250k which first time buyers cannot afford. These additional houses would attract people from North Leeds and North Bradford to move to the area, they would remain working in West Ridings and so increase the amount of commuters within the area.
0755_0772 / 14379	Mr and Mrs P Ashfield	Object	Development of affordable housing at sites H3, H32/H32(1), H27(1) would destroy the characteristics of the area.
0758_0775 / 14448	D McAleer	Object	Developing to the West of the city will cause serious problems of traffic congestion i.e. only two access points to mallorie Park / Cottage Hill.
0760_0777 / 14466	Mr R Beardshall	Object	I disagree with the need for housing on the scale that has been suggested.
0760_0777 / 14476	Mr R Beardshall	Object	Development at sites H2 H3 H22 H11 H32 H27 H37 H8 H9 H15 H1001, H100, H1016, H23, H101, H4b H4c H75 H102 H1000 H29 H1010 H1009 H72 H1007 H25 would all be a threat to the landscape, due to the loss of existing or potential farm land which I feel will become increasingly valuable for food production within our lifetimes.
0763_0781 / 14497	Mr M Wilson	Object	What are the councils views on the proposed development between Beckwithshaw and Cardale Park? The extra traffic will create high levels of noise pollution and ruin the village. The council needs to be fair and not just pick on easy targets around the village.
0766_0784 / 14559	Mr H T Moss	Object	Housing should only be allowed if there is an overwhelming shortage of housing for local people who work in the Harrogate area. Developments should be of affordable housing. The council needs to police Harrogate's Landlords that allow excessive occupant levels of migrant workers and charge over the odds.
0770_0788 / 14618	Mr A Harper	Object	K20 and H1007, these sites should be protected green belt land to stop the coalescence of Starbeck and Knaresborough
0775_0793 / 20948	Mr and Mrs H Hodgson	Object	The village of Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other group B villages.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0775_0793 / 20957	Mr and Mrs H Hodgson	Object	21.5% of new dwellings should not be built in the villages and countryside.
0775_0793 / 20958	Mr and Mrs H Hodgson	Object	Killinghall has already experienced large settlement growth and therefore should not be allocated such a large proportion now.
0775_0793 / 20959	Mr and Mrs H Hodgson	Object	The vast majority of the new residents would use a car to travel to work and shops. It would therefore add more congestion onto the roads around Killinghall.
0776_0794 / 20977	Mr T Cameron-Jones	Object	The current proposals for residential sites place too great a burden on the west side of the town.
0781_0801 / 21041	C and F Pepper	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of development compared to other group B villages.
0784_0805 / 15006	Ms M Hutchinson-Brown	Object	Development in the area of Harlow Carr, Pannal and Penny Pot would increase traffic to a horrendous level the roads would be seriously grid locked. A suggestion would be to measure the carbon emissions at 4.55pm whilst walking down West Park on a weekday.
0784_0805 / 15009	Ms M Hutchinson-Brown	Object	If 50% is to be affordable homes, the inhabitants will not be able to afford a car, so how will people get to work? A site adjacent to where I live was developed at 50% affordable homes and this increased levels of crime, rubbish and drug dealing.
0788_2358 / 24972	Mr P N Staples	Object	It is unfair that 88% of the developments proposed are on the Western side of the town, This is not of balance, when one considers that the land on that side has a higher landscape value to that on the East.
0792_0814 / 15063	A E and M Howes	Object	How many blows can one village take, no post office, no bypass and now this. Please explain how a small village can cope with all this extra traffic. We realise future development and growth is unavoidable but the level of proposed growth compared to other villages seems unfair.
0793_0815 / 15073	Mrs B Jackson	Object	Killinghall has been proposed too much development compared to other settlements.
0799_0821 / 15131	T and M Drury	Object	Homes are now to be built on the Cruet Farm site, if more houses are to be built, it would seem reasonable to utilise the fields adjacent at site RL1112 and RL37C.
0805_0828 / 21132	Mr and Mrs C A Littlefair	Object	The Post Office in Killinghall has now closed and this will affect the sustainability of the village for new development.
0805_0828 / 21141	Mr and Mrs C A Littlefair	Object	Development to the north side of Harrogate would increase traffic congestion within the town, as most jobs are located to the south within the major conurbations of Leeds, York and Bradford.
0812_0836 / 21221	Mr R Blades	Object	Masham has been allocated a disproportionate amount of development, which will have a detrimental impact on the character of the town.
0817_0841 / 21244	D R Atkinson	Object	In group B villages it is evident that excessive development has been allocated fro Killinghall compared to others.
0817_0841 / 21251	D R Atkinson	Object	Killinghall has already seen large settlement growth over the past few years. It should not therefore continue to be allocated large amounts of housing.
0825_0851 / 21372	Mr and Mrs P Fender	Object	The proposed scale of development proposed for Killinghall is disproportionate to other group B villages.
0825_0851 / 21373	Mr and Mrs P Fender	Object	All the proposed sites in Killinghall should be opposed.
0825_0851 / 21374	Mr and Mrs P Fender	Object	The proposed large-scale development of Killinghall would destroy its strong sense of community.
0831_0858 / 13766	Miss G Long	Object	The existing infrastructure will simply not support any further developments in and around Harrogate especially large scale sites such as H22, H8, H9 and H2.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0832_0859 / 13768	Ms S Ferguson	Object	No development should occur on Greenfield sites. The infrastructure and road networks would also not be able to cope with large-scale settlement growth in Harrogate.
0833_0860 / 13772	Mr T D Kent-Jones	Object	H40, H14, H60 and RL500 are unacceptable for development.
0837_2205 / 13789	Mr C M Brough	Object	Settlement growth on such a large scale is unsustainable. The town's road system and its infrastructure is incapable of supporting the plans for development.
0840_0869 / 13798	Mr A Underwood	Object	Settlement growth will further exacerbate the traffic on the surrounding highway network especially the B6161. Schools, hospitals and the feel of the town will be unduly harmed.
0843_0872 / 13808	Mr E Jeffs	Object	Killinghall cannot sustain any further large-scale settlement growth. The levels of traffic and congestion are already high around the village.
0844_0873 / 13810	Mrs J M Charlton	Object	The West of Harrogate is already heavily congested and the proposed developments will further exacerbate this.
0848_0878 / 13820	Mr and Mrs G D and P J Marlow	Object	The proposed major developments to the West of Harrogate would be inappropriate as country lanes and minor B roads service them, with no direct access to schools and services.
0852_0882 / 13850	Mr and Mrs I Wood	Object	Killinghall is receiving a disproportionate allocation of new housing. Too many new homes are proposed for the village.
0853_0883 / 13857	Mr J Colgan	Object	It is inappropriate to develop land in between Kirk Deighton and Wetherby. The two settlements should remain separate and not be in filled with development.
0854_0884 / 13863	P J Hodgson	Object	Harrogate is already under pressure on many fronts. The highway infrastructure will not be able to cope with predicted future settlement growth. The same will be true for the school and health facilities.
0855_0885 / 13879	Mr D Thompson	Object	The proposed high levels of settlement growth will totally devastate the district. The town's unique architecture, spatial pattern and green infrastructure will be destroyed by these urban extensions.
0855_0885 / 13881	Mr D Thompson	Object	The chosen sites are incoherent 'add ons' to an otherwise successful urban framework. The proposed sites lack imagination and sensitivity required to safeguard the Harrogate District's unique character.
0859_0890 / 13892	B Daymond-King	Object	No more housing development should be built in Harrogate as the current infrastructure such as the roads and schools will not be able to support it.
0865_0897 / 13945	The Occupiers	Object	Harrogate will not be able to absorb the housing demands that central government place upon it without addressing the inadequate infrastructure and lack of services first.
0868_2329 / 13958	Mrs G Askey	Object	Development on such a large scale will have a detrimental impact on the already congested highway network. The schools are already full to capacity and the other services will not be able to cope.
0868_2329 / 13960	Mrs G Askey	Object	Binging in social housing schemes on such a large-scale will be detrimental to the whole town as there may be an increase in anti-social behaviour.
0875_0908 / 14006	Mr A Smith	Object	H32, H77, H27(1) and H25 should not be developed.
0876_0909 / 21426	Dr M Goodfield	Object	Justification should be made for the allocation of 48% of proposed development for Harrogate. The size of the existing settlement should not dictate future settlement growth patterns.
0877_0910 / 21438	Mrs S Goodfield	Object	Justification should be made for the allocation of 48% of proposed development for Harrogate. The size of the existing settlement should not dictate future settlement growth patterns.
0879_0913 / 14023	Mr and Mrs K Brien	Object	Further development should not occur around Cardale Park. The roads are already congested, with parked cars also causing road safety issues. Further development would exacerbate this further.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0883_0917 / 14057	Mr S Harper	Object	The vast majority of the residents of Harrogate are against the proposed high levels of settlement growth. It would compromise and destroy the unique character of the town.
0883_0917 / 14059	Mr S Harper	Object	Development should occur in the form of a new town at Thorpe Arch, therefore not destroying existing communities.
0886_0921 / 14067	Mr and Mrs J Lord	Object	Killinghall village currently experiences unacceptable traffic levels and the school and sewerage capacity are already full to capacity.
0888_0923 / 14074	Ms R Singh	Object	Harrogate does not need any more large-scale development. It would create more traffic, pointless environmental damage and overstretched public services.
0890_0925 / 14093	Marton cum Grafton Parish Council	Object	The process of consultation was fundamentally flawed. This was due to the non-exclusive survey that was used to define housing need was liable to be double counted.
0890_0925 / 14094	Marton cum Grafton Parish Council	Object	The Parish Council is unaware of any need for rented homes in Marton Cum Grafton.
0890_0925 / 14096	Marton cum Grafton Parish Council	Object	The village of Marton Cum Grafton should not be categorised as a group B village. It has not got the services or facilities to accommodate the categorisation.
0892_0928 / 14104	Mr J R Floyd	Object	The Southwest of Harrogate has already experienced significant settlement growth and cannot sustain any more significant growth.
0894_0930 / 21488	Mr and Mrs T Albrecht	Object	H107 and H109 will detract greatly from the character of central Harrogate and its conservation area, create more hazards for pedestrians and drivers alike, and have an adverse effect on property values for existing residents.
0895_2531 / 21496	Mr M Hill	Object	There should be no development on the fields along Boroughbridge road next to Piccadilly Motors. This would act to merge the village of Scriven into Knaresborough.
0901_0938 / 14110	D Simpson	Object	The existing infrastructure and services of Harrogate will not be able to cope with further settlement growth.
0902_0939 / 13917	Miss E Rhodes	Object	An area of land to the North of Cruet farm in Hampsthwaite has been derelict for some time, can this site not be developed?
0910_0947 / 14170	Ms C Stevenson	Object	Sites H27(1), H27, H32(1), H32, H77 and RL500 in Southwest Harrogate should not be allocated for development. They all have poor access to jobs, shops and services, and the transport network will not be able to cope.
0918_0956 / 14208	Mr and Mrs W W and F R Evans	Object	Kirk Hammerton does not meet the criteria for class B village. It has poor access to local food stores, the pub is one mile out of the village and it does not have a sports hall.
0918_0956 / 14214	Mr and Mrs W W and F R Evans	Object	The allocation of housing in Kirk Hammerton is too high, as it will constitute a rise of 27.3%. Also some smaller properties should be developed to allow local residents to downsize within the village.
0919_0957 / 14222	Dr and Mrs T J Gough	Object	The high levels of proposed settlement growth are inappropriate. All the open spaces, small areas of woodland and large gardens are being developed and Harrogate is starting to lose its distinctive character.
0924_0962 / 14258	Mrs K Barker	Object	Killinghall has insufficient shops, services, school places and road infrastructure to be able to sustain further large scale settlement growth.
0925_0963 / 14264	Mr and Mrs J Walkowiak	Object	Any settlement growth should occur within close proximity to existing road and rail links located to the South of Harrogate. A new town between Harrogate and Harewood could be a possibility.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0926_0965 / 14268	Mr T Best	Object	Development in Killinghall is totally disproportionate compared to other group B villages. The scale of proposed development in the village should therefore be reduced.
0928_0967 / 14275	Mr C Thompson	Object	The proposed large-scale settlement growth will destroy Harrogate's special link with the countryside and will cause severe environmental damage. It will also cause more traffic noise and pollution.
0931_0970 / 14328	Mr G Binns	Object	The proposed development proposals are excessive and would be to the detriment of all Harrogate residents.
0931_0970 / 14331	Mr G Binns	Object	Developing such a large site in such a short time period is unsustainable. Other similar sized sites in Harrogate have taken over 300 years to organically develop.
0937_0976 / 14374	Mr and Mrs Wallace	Object	The land at RL14, RL14(1) and RL1014 should be turned into a village green with grazing while retaining the existing trees and hedgerows.
0939_0978 / 14387	Mrs J D Walker	Object	There is not a demand for additional affordable homes in Kirkby Malzeard, as there are already eight new developments.
0942_0981 / 14409	Ms C Cotton	Object	A new eco-village could be built in-between Harrogate and York.
0948_0987 / 14429	Mr T McGrath	Object	The proposed levels of development would be inappropriate in one location or even across the district as a whole. The impact on roads, traffic, services and general integration of people living within a neighbourhood will be detrimental, even destructive.
0956_0995 / 13923	R Fletcher	Object	An area of land to the North of Cruet farm in Hampsthwaite has been derelict for some time, can this site not be developed?
0974_1014 / 21790	Mr R Wensley	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionately high number of houses compared to other group B villages.
0976_1016 / 21818	Ms C Whitworth	Object	The proposed development of 150 dwellings in Killinghall, a village of 450 dwellings is excessive.
0976_1016 / 21821	Ms C Whitworth	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of dwellings compared to other group B villages in the district.
0976_1016 / 21822	Ms C Whitworth	Object	None of the proposed sites in Killinghall are suitable for development, each having their own problems.
0977_1017 / 21827	Mr D Rudland	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other similar villages, such as Hampsthwaite, Tockwith and Kirkby Malzeard.
0986_1026 / 21968	B Marsden	Object	In allocating 21.5% of development to the villages the core strategy will have a disproportionate effect on some of the villages. Urban areas of Ripon and Knaresborough should be given a larger allocation.
0986_1026 / 21969	B Marsden	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of development, and it would increase the size of the village by over 20%.
0987_1027 / 21992	Miss D Campey	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other group B villages.
0996_1039 / 22058	Mr and Mrs R Richardson	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of houses compared to other group B villages.
0997_1040 / 22080	Mrs M J Fear	Object	The numbers of houses proposed would increase the size of the village by one quarter and greatly alter its character.
0997_1040 / 22081	Mrs M J Fear	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other group B villages.
1002_2359 / 22156	Mrs I Kiernan	Object	The local authorities ability to manage such large density housing and business projects has to be questioned.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1003_1047 / 22191	Mr R W Bell	Object	Opposition should be expressed for the majority of the proposals for the site allocations in the north west and south west of Harrogate.
1005_1050 / 22168	Mrs K Hollow	Object	The proposed level of development for Killinghall will put the village on the brink of losing its rural status.
1006_2188 / 22458	Ms L Kempson	Object	New housing should be distributed throughout the area. This could include a proportion of the Manse Farm site, K3, K5, K6, K1001, K9 and K7.
1010_1055 / 14473	V Hammond	Object	The proposed settlement growth would be wholly inappropriate and would damage the district irrevocably. The inevitable need to build on open countryside would not only destroy areas of outstanding beauty but will also create isolated communities at risk of major social problems.
1016_1061 / 14530	Ms D Costar	Object	Killinghall should not have such a large percentage of housing allocated to it. The local highway network would not be able to cope with the extra traffic generated from development.
1016_1061 / 14532	Ms D Costar	Object	Killinghall does not have adequate provision of local shops and services for the new developments.
1018_1063 / 14540	S and D Morris	Object	Killinghall is due to receive a disproportionate number of houses compared to other group b villages. Killinghall is located on a main road and has many traffic problems making it unviable for further development.
1023_1070 / 14590	C and G Lambert	Object	At the present time it is extremely difficult to access Otley Road at peak hours. Developing South West Harrogate would further exacerbate these issues.
1024_1071 / 14602	Mr J Kelly	Object	New housing will be required in Tockwith, however the current proposals would have a serious impact on the amenity of the village conservation area.
1028_1077 / 14608	Mr R A Adderley	Object	Bilton is already overcrowded therefore sites H15, H100, H1001, H1016, H13 and H101 should not be developed now or in the future.
1034_1083 / 22318	Mr G Gibbs	Object	Out of the 2069 dwellings identified within the preferred site options for A settlements only 20 dwellings have been identified in the southeast sector of Harrogate.
1036_1085 / 22370	Mr J Brookman	Object	The proposed number of dwellings in Killinghall is disproportionate to other villages of the same size.
1055_1106 / 22532	K Middleton	Object	The expansion of the town into the surrounding countryside would create a larger urban area. Further development would threaten Harrogate remaining as a very popular tourist attraction.
1066_1117 / 14681	Mr and Mrs M Pennock	Object	Killinghall has been designated with a disproportionate allocation of housing that seems to be excessive. It will change the character of the village and exacerbate existing problems.
1069_1120 / 14687	Mr and Mrs M Jordan	Object	No further affordable housing should be built in Kirkby Malzeard as eight have already been built. Further development should also not occur until the sewerage system has been upgraded.
1089_1143 / 22593	Hutchinson and Buchanan - Exors of D Hope	Object	The proposed release of 400 houses per year is not sufficient to meet the needs of the Harrogate District throughout the plan period.
1089_1143 / 22594	Hutchinson and Buchanan - Exors of D Hope	Object	There are insufficient houses in the Harrogate district already leading to high house prices and a consequent shortage of affordable homes.
1089_1143 / 22595	Hutchinson and Buchanan - Exors of D Hope	Object	The specific allocation of only 8% of the total number of houses within the Ripon area is totally inadequate.
1089_1143 / 22596	Hutchinson and Buchanan - Exors of D Hope	Object	Ripon should have more houses allocated to it to ensure that it continues as a viable centre for shopping jobs, schools and services.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1094_1148 / 22711	Mr S M Woodhead	Object	This is a shortsighted approach that attempts to satisfy one government directive irrespective of any detrimental consequences to the people who are already residents of the town.
1095_1149 / 22712	Dr M Lovell	Object	Increasing the village by another 115 houses would alter the community to its detriment. The village would become too large and would more than likely be seen as another area of Harrogate rather than its own entity.
1095_1149 / 22714	Dr M Lovell	Object	Local residents would not occupy the increased number of affordable housing in the countryside/villages. The majority would be filled with the overflow from Harrogate.
1095_1149 / 22715	Dr M Lovell	Object	The proposed development sites in Killinghall would adversely affect the existing residents living conditions due to increased traffic and effect on the landscape.
1095_1149 / 22717	Dr M Lovell	Object	50% of the proposed new houses in Killinghall could dramatically alter the feel of the village. House prices could fall and it could lead to an increase in crime.
1096_1151 / 14758	Killinghall Parish Council	Object	The proposed level of settlement growth for the village would radically alter the character of the village. The village facilities and services will not be able to accommodate this high level of growth.
1096_1151 / 14773	Killinghall Parish Council	Object	The proposed level of settlement growth in Killinghall is not sustainable. The highways are heavily congested, the sewerage and drainage will not be able to cope and there is poor access to jobs, shops and services.
1097_1152 / 22743	Mr and Mrs D Emmett	Object	The volumes of local and through traffic in Killinghall are already at very high levels for a village and no additional housing should even be considered without a Killinghall bypass.
1097_1152 / 22744	Mr and Mrs D Emmett	Object	An additional 115 houses added to an existing stock of 450 houses in Killinghall would forever change the character of the village.
1097_1152 / 22745	Mr and Mrs D Emmett	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other similar sized villages.
1103_1158 / 22807	Miss D Stephenson	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other group B villages.
1129_1185 / 14799	Mrs L Robinson	Object	Queen Ethelburgas housing estate should not be used as precedence as the site was only developed because it was previously the site of a school.
1130_1186 / 23072	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Object	Harrogate has been allocated too great a share of future development and Boroughbridge too small a share.
1130_1186 / 23073	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate number of new dwellings compared to other villages. It would result in a 28% increase in the number of residential houses in the village.
1130_1186 / 23078	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Object	A new build of 115 dwellings in Killinghall would be a 28% increase.
1131_1187 / 23079	Ms J Dacombe	Object	Killinghall has been allocated an unreasonable number of dwellings in comparison to other group B villages.
1134_1190 / 23035	S G Kelsey	Object	Large concentrations of social housing should be avoided.
1135_1191 / 23044	Mr and Mrs D Macfarlane	Object	The allocation of housing to Killinghall is disproportionate compared to other similar sized villages.
1135_1191 / 23054	Mr and Mrs D Macfarlane	Object	The whole of the proposals for Killinghall are unsustainable.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1142_1198 / 23212	Mr D Sykes	Object	Schemes to allow people to buy a proportion of a market priced house are flawed, as it would never allow them to move up the property ladder. Affordable housing should be built in cheaper areas therefore allowing first time buyers to be able to buy the whole property.
1150_1206 / 23363	Mr and Mrs R Pearce	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of new dwellings compared to other similar group B villages.
1152_1208 / 23396	Mr and Mrs A Kidd	Object	The proposed allocation of housing for Killinghall is disproportionate to other group B villages. To add a further 20% of housing would change the shape and identity of the village.
1152_1208 / 23401	Mr and Mrs A Kidd	Object	The village of Killinghall already suffers from flooding problems.
1154_1210 / 23466	Mr and Mrs S Blaylock	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other similar group B villages.
1154_1210 / 23467	Mr and Mrs S Blaylock	Object	H8, H9 and H22 are all unsuitable for development.
1154_1210 / 23468	Mr and Mrs S Blaylock	Object	There seems to be far too much intense housing proposed for the Bilton Triangle.
1165_1222 / 24250	Mr J F Gentle	Object	The following sites should not be considered for development H1004, H13 and H21
1166_1223 / 23534	Miss F Jagger	Object	H17, H19, H37, H25, H32, H27 and H77 should never be considered for development.
1167_2514 / 23546	Ms H Brandom	Object	H17, H19, H37, H25, H32, H27 and H77 should never be considered for development.
1168_1225 / 23558	Ms S Little	Object	H17, H19, H37, H25, H32, H27 and H77 should never be considered for development.
1169_1226 / 23570	Mrs A S Vere	Object	H17, H19, H37, H25, H32, H27 and H77 should never be considered for development.
1189_1248 / 23736	Mr P Dacombe	Object	Many residents in Killinghall were not made aware of the 2007 consultation.
1189_1248 / 23737	Mr P Dacombe	Object	Killinghall has had a disproportionate allocation of housing compared to other similar villages.
1194_1254 / 23809	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Object	H3, H104, H105, H39 and H1026 should not be allocated for housing development but should be retained as employment land.
1199_1262 / 23843	Mrs J C Lowes	Object	Traffic implications of such large-scale developments are horrendous, particularly on Otley Road.
1208_1271 / 23976	Mrs A Shovelton	Object	A significant population increase would be detrimental to the attractiveness of Harrogate for tourism.
1210_1273 / 23998	Mrs S E Findlay	Object	Concern should be expressed with the proposed area of development that lies closest to the Duchy Estate.
1213_1276 / 24006	Mr M Warden	Object	H32, H32(1) and H3 are all unsustainable for residential development due to the lack of shops and services.
1216_1283 / 14829	A D Patrick	Object	The proposed allocations are inappropriate to the character of Harrogate.
1217_1284 / 14830	D F Binder	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other similar sized villages.
1217_1284 / 14833	D F Binder	Object	The proposed high levels of settlement growth for Killinghall would spoil the whole character of the village.
1217_1284 / 14834	D F Binder	Object	Summerbridge should receive some of the housing that is allocated for Killinghall as the proposed distribution of housing is disproportionate.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1219_1286 / 14841	J and J Hewson	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing compared to other similar settlements. The village has the extremely busy A61 and the B6165 road running through it and they are extremely dangerous roads.
1222_1289 / 14856	Mr and Mrs Greensword	Object	The proposed level of settlement growth for Harrogate is inappropriate. The educational, health and road infrastructure will not be able to cope. There are not enough jobs in the town to sustain the current population, which has lead to high levels of congestion from commuters.
1230_1298 / 13929	Ms J Woolley	Object	An area of land to the North of Cruet farm in Hampsthwaite has been derelict for some time, can this site not be developed?
1238_1307 / 14943	Dr A Stewart	Object	There is a disproportionate allocation of housing in Killinghall that will distort the village.
1238_1307 / 14947	Dr A Stewart	Object	The proposals for Killinghall go against the Council's own policy of 'infill' only in the villages.
1240_1309 / 14958	Mrs P Robinson	Object	The council should tell the Government that no more housing is needed in Knaresborough.
1240_1309 / 14963	Mrs P Robinson	Object	No development should occur between Harrogate and Knaresborough such as sites K20, K1005 and K1001, as the two towns should keep their separate identities.
1242_1311 / 14981	L Chandler	Object	The West of Harrogate has a disproportionate allocation of development for Harrogate, which has poor access to the main access routes to the employment hubs of Leeds and Bradford.
1243_1312 / 14986	The Occupier	Object	Ripon should as a matter of principle adopt a local policy of minimum development. Ripon City Partnership continues to promote the idea of a big population growth in the City, which is against the general popular feeling in the City.
1243_1312 / 14988	The Occupier	Object	Any development to occur in Ripon should be sympathetic to its vernacular heritage. Therefore new development should be in keeping and enhance the built environment.
1244_1313 / 14996	Mr J Tevlin	Object	Development in Harrogate should be limited to small developments only spread around the town.
1245_1314 / 15004	Dr G Brownlie	Object	The impact of 3000 houses will produce further cars and the suggestion that people will use public transport is questionable. This would have a detrimental impact on the local highway network.
1245_1314 / 15005	Dr G Brownlie	Object	Harrogate is a unique town that depends very much on the tourist trade. The imposition of more cars, the paucity of existing parking will drive this lucrative trade away.
1246_1315 / 24735	Dr R Reece	Object	Object to development at the following sites in Harrogate RL500, H25, H27, H28, H32, H37 and H77. The areas deisgnated as SLA's should remain unchanged. Development should not take place on the sites. More footpaths could be opened within the areas. The local infrastructure could not support the influx of vehicles. Access to the main arterial road of the A61 is poor. Limited local facilities and poor public transport to Harrogate.
1247_1316 / 15010	Mrs C Russell	Object	The village of Killinghall is already big enough and does not require any additional housing.
1248_1317 / 15014	Montpellier Estates	Object	The preferred site allocations do not provide sufficient pipeline of development and they are not in the most appropriate locations.
1254_1323 / 15056	Mr G Wright	Object	Killinghall has had an unfair quota of new housing compared to other settlements and this would ruin the character of the village.
1256_1325 / 15062	Ms A Sansom	Object	Settlement growth would lead to more traffic congestion, encroachment into the surrounding countryside, over-stretched facilities and, overall damaging affect on tourism and the conference trade.
1263_1332 / 15100	Dr A Irwin	Object	The police are unable to cope with the current levels of crime in the town due to the urban form of the town. Further urban sprawl would exacerbate this further.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1266_1335 / 15123	National Trust	Object	No development should occur in greenfield sites that will have an impact on the setting of the Fountains Abbey and Studley Royal World Heritage site.
1271_1340 / 15160	Mr G Howard	Object	Hampsthwaite has already seen a large amount of development growth over the past 10 years.
1276_1347 / 15206	Mr G Goulding	Object	Development should not be made available for the temporary needs of itinerant globetrotters. Perhaps a register of long term intent is possible.
1277_1348 / 15210	Tockwith with Wilstrop Parish Council	Object	Tockwith parish council accepts the need for more houses but a more sequential approach with smaller numbers of houses being better able to be assimilated into the village.
1293_1368 / 15327	Tockwith Residents Association	Object	The proposed levels of settlement growth for Tockwith are far too large. The infrastructure of the village would have to have major upgrading and the character of the village would change forever.
1296_1372 / 24761	Mr J Blackett	Object	There should be no new development in Burton Leonard as it will change the character of the village and there is insufficient infrastructure to accommodate possible expansion.
1297_1373 / 15349	Mr S Meek	Object	The level of proposed settlement growth is too high. No consideration has been given for the environmental consequences and the pressure on an already stretched and burdened infrastructure.
1298_1374 / 15351	F Eve	Object	No more development should occur in Harrogate because the roads are already congested, the schools full and the hospitals overburdened.
1299_1375 / 15354	V Fero	Object	None of the preferred sites should be developed as the proposed density will undoubtedly produce sites where housing will have an overbearing impact on the existing housing stock.
1300_1376 / 15357	Miss S Mansoori-Dara	Object	Harrogate does not need further development, as it will inevitably lead to social and economic problems.
1301_1377 / 15147	Mr H Priestley	Object	The tourist trade will be affected, can we afford to turn our backs on our towns bread and butter.
1302_1378 / 15149	Miss L J Thompson	Object	As someone born and brought up in Harrogate I totally object to everything in this plan. I do not think the plans will be beneficial in any way. More cars and people will bring further chaos. Environmental damage will be awful. Schools and hospitals will not cope. There are not enough jobs. The developments will all be of horrible little houses. It looks as though these plans will ruin Harrogate.
1303_1379 / 15152	Mrs R Mansoori-Dara	Object	Plans are ridiculous, will ruin Harrogate, will bring far too many people for the facilities to cope with. Roads and schools are full. Don't like the plans to build on Green Belt.
1304_2442 / 15155	Mrs J M d'Arcy Thompson	Object	There will be no future for Harrogate if even a fraction of the development takes place. Graceless ugly boxes would be the nemesis of Harrogate. This town is an elegant, attractive and pleasant place to live. Democracy should prevail and I am exercising my right.
1305_1381 / 15168	Miss E A Thomson	Object	I do not feel there is a need to ruin Harrogate and its tourist trade by inflicting huge developments, which will bring lots of other problems.
1308_1384 / 16928	Mr and Mrs C Boyd	Object	Greenfield sites should not be developed in group c villages.
1312_1389 / 16972	Mr and Mrs R Kirk	Object	The majority of the development is proposed for the West of Harrogate. This is unsustainable, as the area has not got the adequate infrastructure to accommodate development.
1321_1398 / 17017	Mr B Stockburn	Object	Knaresborough has been allocated a disproportional amount of new housing at 14% whilst Ripon has only been allocated 8%.
1323_1400 / 17021	Mr T M Kessel	Object	Kirk Hammerton Parish Council has not been properly consulted about the site allocations in the village. The proposed number of affordable housing is also too high for the village, as it has very limited shops and services to sustain them.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1329_2512 / 17060	Mrs I Ladigus	Object	Harrogate is already saturated and does not need further development.
1331_1408 / 17067	Mr and Mrs F Turner	Object	The village of Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of houses compared to other villages.
1332_1409 / 17069	Mr and Mrs G Sanderson	Object	The village of Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of houses compared to other villages.
1332_1409 / 17071	Mr and Mrs G Sanderson	Object	Development of the proposed site allocations in Killinghall would have a detrimental impact on the local highway network, which is already dangerous and congested.
1335_1412 / 17079	The Occupiers	Object	Harrogate would not be able to accommodate an additional 10,000 people.
1335_1412 / 17081	The Occupiers	Object	Tourism constitutes a large percentage of the town's economy and this would be threatened if the large proposed settlement growth goes ahead.
1338_1415 / 17086	Mr and Mrs G Ramsden	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate percentage of houses compared to the other villages in the district.
1342_1419 / 17106	V A Bailey	Object	There is not a demand for additional properties in Harrogate, as can be proven by the numerous 'for sale' signs outside properties.
1346_1423 / 17123	Mr and Mrs C Izitt	Object	The village of Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of housing in comparison to other villages.
1346_1423 / 17124	Mr and Mrs C Izitt	Object	Development in Killinghall would further exacerbate the existing problems with traffic and congestion in the village.
1353_1430 / 17162	Mr A Clarke	Object	Harrogate's infrastructure and services would not be able to cope with the proposed levels of settlement growth. Houses should only be built if jobs are created as well.
1354_1431 / 17165	Miss L H Hyman	Object	The proposals for future development in Harrogate are inappropriate for all the reasons stated by R.A.S.H.
1362_1440 / 17175	Mrs P J Eldridge	Object	The provision of affordable homes will not be affordable enough for the population who needs it.
1367_1445 / 17193	Mr and Mrs M Jeyes	Object	The proposed scale of development in Killinghall is too large.
1369_1447 / 17213	Mr R Edwards	Object	Housing demand is reaching an unsustainable level. Policies to reduce population levels should be sought instead.
1370_1448 / 17215	Ms G Ranzenberger	Object	The proposed large percentage settlement growth in Killinghall would have a large detrimental impact on the local highway network, which is already heavily congested.
1372_1450 / 17226	Ms V Horrocks	Object	The Beckwithshaw Road and Pennypot Lane entrances to the town are both breathtaking approaches, which should not be altered by development.
1373_1451 / 17228	Mr S Blunden	Object	Development would have an impact on the high value landscape, which provides nesting sites for increasingly threatened birds.
1384_1462 / 17309	Ms C Townson	Object	The sites along Straight Lane should not be developed. The road is too narrow to accommodate the extra-generated traffic.
1386_1464 / 17310	Ms C Leary	Object	The proposed developments in Harrogate would destroy the town's special link with the countryside and put severe pressure on the local infrastructure.
1388_1466 / 17316	Ms A Balsdon	Object	Harrogate does need any extra houses for its indigenous inhabitants. The houses must therefore be to house new residents that the town does not want.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1391_2313 / 17331	Mrs I McLaughlin McLaughlin	Object	Plans to build on Cardale Park for employment use should not be allowed due to the detrimental impact it would have on the local highway network.
1393_2457 / 17363	Mr E M O'Sullivan	Object	The northwest sector of Harrogate is not suitable for the proposed mass developments.
1397_1476 / 17384	Mrs S Mines	Object	Know is a unique area that should keep its separate identity from nearby Killinghall.
1398_1477 / 17397	Mr and Mrs P Bushell	Object	Development should not be allowed in-between Bilton and Knox.
1399_1478 / 17398	Mr M Sage	Object	Further settlement growth in Harrogate would further exacerbate the issues regarding insufficient infrastructure and inadequate facilities.
1401_1481 / 15358	Mr C J Shovelton	Object	If Harrogate increases its population then it will lose its feeling of spaciousness and relaxation that is vital to the tourist industry.
1401_1481 / 15362	Mr C J Shovelton	Object	Harrogate does not have the transport or other infrastructure to cope with the proposed increased settlement growth.
1405_1487 / 15368	Mr M Davies	Object	Killinghall has been allocated a disproportionate amount of dwellings in comparison to other similar locations.
1410_1492 / 15384	Ms A Sloan	Object	Development should not occur in the Burn Bridge area, as it would spoil the environment and add to the already heavily congested highway network.
1418_1500 / 15437	Mr D Coidan	Object	Great Ouseburn should be reclassified from a category C to a category B village.
1422_1504 / 24510	H Warren	Object	H22, H8, H9, H2, H17, H37, H32 and H27 are outside present boundary lines and would allow much encroachment into otherwise rural areas.
1429_1511 / 15492	Mr and Mrs M Smith	Object	The planned housing developments would destroy the unique character of Harrogate. It will destroy the rural landscape that surrounds it and spoil the town as a tourist destination.
1429_1511 / 15494	Mr and Mrs M Smith	Object	All the local amenities are already over stretched in the town and this will be further exacerbated by the proposed developments.
1431_1513 / 15502	Mr P J McCreanor	Object	The proposed level of housing for Staveley is unsustainable.
1432_1514 / 15508	Mr P Moss	Object	The development of sites H2, H17, H8, H9 and H22 would really create a nightmare for traffic control.
1440_1523 / 15539	J and J E Fender	Object	The scale of the proposed settlement growth in Killinghall is totally unacceptable and most disproportionate in regards to other villages.
1441_2290 / 15544	Mr and Mrs C Osborne	Object	The proposal to development housing to the West of Harrogate in order to provide affordable housing is flawed.
1441_2290 / 15545	Mr and Mrs C Osborne	Object	The infrastructure presently in place will not allow for any development as set out in the Core Strategy.
1443_2199 / 15551	Mrs C Patterson	Object	Building on sites H32, H2, H3, H17 and H27 would destroy the character of the area. This would not be natural settlement growth but a result of political will.
1447_1530 / 15573	Ms P Tattersall	Object	No extra housing should be built in the future.
1454_1538 / 24539	M J M Planning - SOCA (Save Our Conservation Area)	Object	None of the Preferred Options should go forward.
1455_1539 / 24485	Mr D Whitaker	Object	None of the Preferred Options should go forward.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1456_1540 / 15613	Mrs E Whitaker	Object	The Council should not classify Kirk Hammerton as a class B village because it is confusing the village with the parish of Kirk Hammerton, which extends far outside of the village development limits.
1456_1540 / 15615	Mrs E Whitaker	Object	Kirk Hammerton has been allocated 3.5% of the total new housing allocation for Harrogate District, which is disproportionate.
1456_1540 / 15617	Mrs E Whitaker	Object	Most people in the new developments will commute to work and they would then have a detrimental impact on the local highway network.
1458_1542 / 24520	Mr and Mrs A Brooks	Object	The distribution of housing between Harrogate and Ripon is disproportionate and should be addressed. Just because Harrogate is bigger doesn't mean it should keep getting bigger.
1465_1551 / 15662	D N Swindlehurst	Object	The number of new dwellings proposed in the village of Killinghall is outrageous compared with other villages in the region.
1473_1562 / 15701	Mr and Mrs E Jackson	Object	The proposed level of settlement growth in Killinghall is far too large in relation to the size of the existing village.
1473_1562 / 15703	Mr and Mrs E Jackson	Object	Killinghall is a village with a good sense of community, which may not be maintained if the large-scale settlement growth occurs.
1476_2390 / 15713	Miss H A McDermott	Object	Many of the town centre's shop units have empty dwellings above them. These could be re-developed for the first time buyers.
1476_2390 / 15714	Miss H A McDermott	Object	An eco-settlement could be developed to the East of Knaresborough, near the railway, A1 and A59.
1484_1575 / 15768	Ms C Carr-Whitworth	Object	H2, H3 and H17 would increase the size of the new settlement near the Army College, putting strain on the road network and other support services.
1485_1576 / 15775	Ms M Hayes	Object	The proposed high levels of settlement growth would have a detrimental impact on Harrogate. The roads are already congested and the schools are full to capacity.
1486_1577 / 15777	Sir James Crosby	Object	Placing the majority of new housing in one sector of Harrogate will only accentuate social divide.
1486_1577 / 15778	Sir James Crosby	Object	Most of the sites seem to have been discarded in favour of two large locations on the Western edge of the town (32 (1) and H3). Any large-scale development is intrinsically high risk and it is hard to mitigate such risk.
1489_1580 / 15796	Ms A Broadbent	Object	There appears to be a disproportionate number of homes proposed for Killinghall compared with other Group B villages.
1493_1587 / 15829	Mr and Mrs J Easton	Object	Any development in Knaresborough would have a detrimental impact on the local highway network. The roads are already heavily congested and dangerous.
1494_1588 / 15838	M Hall	Object	The village of Killinghall has had a disproportionate allocation of preferred sites compared to other group B villages.
1497_1590 / 24458	Mr and Mrs A Parker	Object	Preferred model for development would see the development of a larger number of smaller sites across a wider number of areas of Harrogate. This would spread the impact of additional demands on existing facilities, ensure that amenities were available to the new development and increase transport sustainability.
1498_1591 / 15845	W J and S Ratcliffe	Object	The allocation of housing in Killinghall, at 23% of the total housing for villages is totally disproportionate to other group B villages in the district.
1499_1592 / 15864	Mr G Weaver	Object	Any development to the North of Harrogate is inappropriate, as it will increase traffic congestion in the town from the majority of commuters travelling south.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1499_1592 / 15865	Mr G Weaver	Object	Development should occur to the South of Harrogate on the A61 at Pannal and towards the viaduct. There are good transport facilities and are located close to secondary schools and community facilities.
1503_1597 / 15876	Mr A Cheesbrough	Object	The proposed developments in Harrogate would place unsustainable pressure on many services including schools, hospital, and dental and community facilities. It would also have a detrimental impact on traffic congestion in the town.
1509_1605 / 15901	Mr A Cockerham	Object	Development should be kept within existing settlement boundaries. Development should not constitute urbanisation to provide corridor access to the Leeds Metropolitan District.
1510_1606 / 15906	Mr A Allanson	Object	In 2006 Hampsthwaite produced its village plan and 75% of respondents wanted either no further development or low cost housing for local needs as the Cruet Farm development is providing them.
1511_1607 / 15907	Ms S Kidd	Object	The new housing schemes to the West of Harrogate should not be developed. They would have a detrimental impact on the existing landscape, congestion levels and strain existing services.
1517_1613 / 15911	Mr R Drury	Object	The village of Hampsthwaite has seen a lot of recent development. Any further development would lead to the village losing its unique identity to urbanisation.
1534_1631 / 24182	Mr A P Coral	Object	Development would be better located towards the A1 as there is sufficient land and excellent road links
1540_1638 / 16053	Indigo Planning Ltd - Mr C T Knowles	Object	The process was the subject of a limited consultation exercise.
1540_1638 / 16054	Indigo Planning Ltd - Mr C T Knowles	Object	The process clearly lacked the rigorous application of the selection process.
1540_1638 / 16055	Indigo Planning Ltd - Mr C T Knowles	Object	It is unclear as to how the Local Planning Authority has considered information provided in consultation responses, and how this has been reflected in the selection process.
1540_1638 / 16056	Indigo Planning Ltd - Mr C T Knowles	Object	The development of R11, R17, R1008 and R1009 would result in the loss of employment land.
1540_1638 / 16057	Indigo Planning Ltd - Mr C T Knowles	Object	R10 and R17 have been allocated as preferred options despite the fact that, in relation to residential development, both sites have not passed the Exception Test, as required in PPS25.
1553_1658 / 24185	D B Coomber	Object	Disagree with development at the following sites in order of preference H106, H34, H1016, H40, H1012, H27, H77, H1017, H1011, H51. Not developing these sites would minimise outward extension.
1565_1673 / 16204	Mr and Mrs B Armandary	Object	Killinghall has had a disproportionate allocation of housing in comparison to other villages in the district. The addition of a further 100 dwellings would increase the size of the village by 20%.
1565_1673 / 16205	Mr and Mrs B Armandary	Object	The village of Killinghall has a poor provision of services to accommodate the proposed population growth.
1569_1678 / 16231	Mr and Mrs A Dodd	Object	More infill development will place unreasonable strain on local resources throughout the district. Development would lead to nothing other than long-term detriment to current residential areas.
1570_1679 / 16234	Mr S Moncrieff	Object	H3, H2, H17, H37, H32, H27, RL500, H9 and H15 are located to the North and West of Harrogate, which already has a heavily congested highway network.
1570_1679 / 16235	Mr S Moncrieff	Object	H3, H2, H17, H37, H32, H27, RL500, H9 and H15 are located to the North and West of Harrogate. The area has no safe cycle lanes or footpaths on many of the roads.
1570_1679 / 16236	Mr S Moncrieff	Object	Significant investment in infrastructure, such as the Northern and Western relief roads needs to occur to support the proposed high levels of settlement growth.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1570_1679 / 16237	Mr S Moncrieff	Object	H3, H2, H17, H37, H32, H27, RL500, H9 and H15 are located on the key gateways to the town and any significant development will have a huge impact on the character and personality of the town.
1571_1680 / 16238	Ms H Jackson	Object	The village of Hampsthwaite has recently seen a large increase of 7% of new homes been built in the village. RL1141(1) would constitute an additional 14% settlement growth.
1573_1682 / 16254	Mrs M G Harling	Object	No development should occur that extends Jennyfield Drive, as this would constitute an unattractive and unacceptable rat run.
1575_1684 / 23983	Miss C Woodham and Mr J Bond	Object	Don't ruin Harrogate by building on every square inch. People should not sell their land for development just to make money. Roads are already full to capacity and cannot cope with extra traffic. Instead of adding houses to an already overrun town, why not create new villages next to a better road system eg A1.
1576_1685 / 16271	B and A R Thomas	Object	The proposed high levels of settlement growth in the district are unacceptable. The current infrastructure would not be able to cope.
1579_1688 / 16283	Mr and Mrs Simpson	Object	The proposed developments in Killinghall would exacerbate the already heavily congested highway network. The sites also have poor access to shops, schools and services.
1582_1692 / 16284	Miss L Hyman	Object	Harrogate is a desirable location due to its pleasant locality, proximity to the countryside and low-density housing. The proposed developments would spoil this.
1592_1704 / 16320	Mr and Mrs Hyman	Object	The proposed planned settlement growth of Harrogate is inappropriate for a spa town.
1596_2293 / 16349	Mr and Mrs R and M E Jones	Object	The scale of the proposed settlement growth, particularly around Cardale Park is inappropriate for Harrogate.
1596_2293 / 16350	Mr and Mrs R and M E Jones	Object	Development would not be appropriate around Cardale Park due to the heavily congested highway network in the vicinity.
1597_1839 / 16352	J Buckingham	Object	The scale of the proposed settlement growth for Harrogate is totally inappropriate.
1598_1710 / 16354	Miss B E Law	Object	The proposed large-scale development of Harrogate is inappropriate.
1689_1829 / 16830	Queen Ethelburga's Residents Association	Object	The town would not be able to sustain any further settlement growth, as the current infrastructure is already full to capacity.
1690_1831 / 16859	Mr and Mrs J MacWhirter	Object	Hampsthwaite does not have the facilities to cope with a large development.
1693_1835 / 16870	Miss K F Murray	Object	The high levels of proposed settlement growth would destroy the character of the town, which relies upon it for tourism.
1693_1835 / 16872	Miss K F Murray	Object	Further settlement growth would have a detrimental impact on the local highways and bus provisions due to increased traffic and congestion.
1697_1842 / 16886	Mr and Mrs N Abdessadak	Object	The proposed building of 318 dwellings within Killinghall is inappropriate for the current scale of the village.
1800_1961 / 17519	Vincent and Gorbing - Wolseley UK	Object	R17, R23 and R1007 are located on major routes into the City Centre that would dissuade cycling and walking. They also have poor access to jobs, shops and services.
1814_2027 / 17942	Lister Haigh Ltd - Mr and Mrs C Smithson	Object	No development should occur in Killinghall.
1881_2168 / 21459	Mr R H Lynn	Object	The proposed allocation of houses for Killinghall is disproportionate compared to other neighbouring villages, such as Hampsthwaite.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
2209_2539 / 20720	Residents Against Spoiling Harrogate	Object	A petition "against the proposed housing development at Cardale west Otley Road between Harlow Hill and Beckwithshaw." (186 signatures)
2210_2540 / 20726	Mrs P L Croft	Object	Development would damage Harrogate's role as a leading centre for tourism and conferences. (8 signatures)
0004_2254 / 10469	Mr J D Parry	Comment	I believe the overall plan for affordable housing to be flawed. The above average house prices in Harrogate will mean that people will be unable to afford their own property, even with the support of the Local Authority or Housing associations.
0016_1533 / 10527	Mr P Mallalieu	Comment	A new Eco-Village should be considered on land to the East and West of the A1 and South of the A59. Road and Rail facilities already exist, ideal to travel between Harrogate and Leeds, would be very sustainable.
0023_1135 / 23105	Mrs S Emsley	Comment	In support of a new eco towns in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and west of the A1.
0024_1136 / 23150	Mr D Emsley	Comment	In support of a new eco towns in the Flaxby Moor area to the east of Knaresborough and west of the A1.
0058_1069 / 10651	Miss F N Wilson	Comment	I believe the overall plan for affordable housing to be flawed. The above average house prices in Harrogate will mean that people will be unable to afford their own property, even with the support of the Local Authority or Housing associations.
0066_1677 / 10634	Mr N D Barugh	Comment	If a large development is required then a bid should be made to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community. The most appropriate site being adjacent to the A1/A59 junction.
0071_1075 / 10690	Mrs V H McClellan	Comment	If a large development is required then a bid should be made to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community. The most appropriate site being adjacent to the A1/A59 junction.
0072_1076 / 10749	Mr C McClellan	Comment	If a large development is required then a bid should be made to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community. The most appropriate site being adjacent to the A1/A59 junction.
0073_1364 / 10786	Mr N Zoltie	Comment	If a large development is required then a bid should be made to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community. The most appropriate site being adjacent to the A1/A59 junction.
0074_1365 / 10882	Mrs L Zoltie	Comment	If a large development is required then a bid should be made to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community. The most appropriate site being adjacent to the A1/A59 junction.
0081_1292 / 10670	Mr and Mrs D Brice	Comment	A new 'eco' area near major transport infrastructure such as the A1 and A59 would be a preferred option.
0085_2459 / 10757	Mr and Mrs N Howard	Comment	An alternative strategy should be to build a new settlement at the A1/A59 intersection. Land to the East of the A1 and to the South of the A59 would provide an ideal site with its good transport links.
0085_2459 / 10758	Mr and Mrs N Howard	Comment	The council could look at a more even distribution of new development sites, rather than concentrating on the West of the town.
0086_1066 / 10707	Ms J C Lightowler	Comment	I believe the overall plan for affordable housing to be flawed. The above average house prices in Harrogate will mean that people will be unable to afford their own property, even with the support of the Local Authority or Housing associations.
0093_1596 / 10840	Miss J Share	Comment	If a large development is required then a bid should be made to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community. The most appropriate site being adjacent to the A1/A59 junction.
0095_0926 / 10795	Mrs H G Brown	Comment	Options should be explored to the East of the A1 and South of the A59. Rail and road facilities already exist with easy access to Leeds and the motorway.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0096_0702 / 10799	Liberal Democrat Group HBC	Comment	The preferred option would be to identify more smaller sites around the periphery of the existing developed area of Knaresborough. These would have the necessary infrastructure in place to support them.
0096_0702 / 10803	Liberal Democrat Group HBC	Comment	Instead of creating two large developments to the West of Harrogate, many smaller sites could be used around the periphery of the town.
0096_0702 / 10808	Liberal Democrat Group HBC	Comment	Instead of creating two large developments to the West of Harrogate, many smaller sites could be used around the periphery of the town.
0099_1972 / 10913	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	There should be provision for open space in new developments to ensure over-intensive development does not occur.
0099_1972 / 10915	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	New development should be of the highest architectural standard.
0099_1972 / 10917	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Isolated new houses in the countryside may be justified if it is of the highest quality, in terms of architecture and landscape design.
0099_1972 / 10919	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Many of the residents of the Harrogate District can not be classed as local. Therefore it may be appropriate for houses to be sold into an unrestricted market.
0099_1972 / 10921	Lister Haigh Ltd - Trustees of W Trustees of Wilfred Appleyard Trust	Comment	Over-intensive development should be avoided in all areas.
0114_2444 / 10923	Mrs L W Goodman	Comment	The junction of the A1/A59, to West of Hopperton and the South of Allerton is an ideal location for an eco village. An excellent road system is already in place for people who wish to commute to work.
0143_2344 / 12422	Mr P G Neal	Comment	Development should occur near to the rail links to the East of Harrogate near to the A1, which would allow easy access.
0156_1982 / 12293	Lister Haigh Ltd - Mr and Mrs J A Brown	Comment	Large sites should be developed as they will be able to hold a higher density of housing.
0161_2274 / 10933	Mr and Mrs D. Silkstone	Comment	New housing and employment should be created through the building of a new town. The necessary new infrastructure can then be provided accordingly
0179_1703 / 10949	Mr S O'Rourke	Comment	Build an eco town by the A1. This has a rail link and a grant from the national government could be possible.
0190_1518 / 10934	Mrs M Almond	Comment	No extra housing should be built in Harrogate. We are already a large enough town that does not need to expand.
0200_0936 / 19665	Duchy Residents Association	Comment	Sites H15 and H22 would be more suitable for the initial tranches of housing sites than H3.
0203_1563 / 12639	Mr D S Langley	Comment	Settlement growth on sites to the East of the A1 should be given consideration.
0221_2538 / 19737	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Comment	The SDCS Policy SG1 confirms that Greenfield land is needed in order to meet the Borough's housing allocation set by the FPCRSS.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0225_1581 / 13221	Scott Wilson Ltd - Persimmon Homes (Yorkshire) Ltd	Comment	The Northwest sector of Harrogate should be identified as an area of search for growth in the Core Strategy.
0227_1836 / 13033	Emery Planning Partnership - Kebbell Homes	Comment	Bishop Monkton should be reclassified as a Group B settlement as it is able to accommodate significant development growth.
0238_1720 / 13075	Government Office for Yorkshire & the Humber	Comment	The soundness of the DPD will be dependent on the spatial strategy in the submitted Core Strategy being declared sound.
0238_1720 / 13076	Government Office for Yorkshire & the Humber	Comment	There are concerns about the legibility of the document, it is excessively lengthy, and would expect the submission DPD to be more concise, user friendly and accessible to the public in general.
0238_1720 / 13077	Government Office for Yorkshire & the Humber	Comment	The DPD will have to demonstrate that sites have been allocated on a robust and credible evidence base. The use of land studies, i.e. SHLAA and Employment Land Reviews will help further augment the evidence base.
0238_1720 / 13078	Government Office for Yorkshire & the Humber	Comment	It is important that a rigorous self-assessment of the DPD is carried out prior to submission so that a 'sound' DPD is submitted.
0251_2475 / 12650	Mr M Garthwaite	Comment	Better use can be made of the areas at Flaxby Moor to develop business opportunities, which has access to rail infrastructure.
0252_1485 / 12660	Smiths Gore - Church Commissioners for England	Comment	The share of housing proposed for Ripon at 8% is too low and does not reflect the role of the City as a principal town as defined in the RSS. It is considered that this figure should be increased to at least 13%.
0252_1485 / 12661	Smiths Gore - Church Commissioners for England	Comment	It is inevitable that the housing land requirements for Ripon will have to be increased and that Greenfield allocations will be required to meet such increases.
0252_1485 / 12665	Smiths Gore - Church Commissioners for England	Comment	Development to the North of the City is considered most appropriate, as there are fewer constraints both natural and man-made.
0253_1844 / 25103	Barton Willmore Partnership - Crest Nicholson	Comment	From DCLG guidance the SHLAA is supposed to act as an evidence base for allocating sites within the Site Allocations DPD. The SHLAA was produced in parallel. Due to this the Site Allocations DPD should be withdrawn until the required evidence base has been produced.
0253_1844 / 25104	Barton Willmore Partnership - Crest Nicholson	Comment	Appendix C states a timescale until 2025, should this not be inline with the RSS timeframe of 2026?
0253_1844 / 25105	Barton Willmore Partnership - Crest Nicholson	Comment	Completions within Appendix C has included holiday cottages/chalets, these are infact classified under Use Class C1 and not C3 which applies to residential dwellings
0253_1844 / 25106	Barton Willmore Partnership - Crest Nicholson	Comment	HBC has not provided sufficient evidence for including windfal estimates within the first 10 years of the housing trajectory
0273_1753 / 24606	Barber Titleys - Mr P Garforth	Comment	There is a 137 dwelling shortfall within pateley bridge. The settlement has been identified has having 3% of the district total, the likely amount is 0.8% up until 2025.
0274_1724 / 13065	Carter Jonas - Duchy of Lancaster	Comment	A simple calculation based upon a minimum density of 30 dwellings per hectare suggest that at least 221 hectares of new housing land will need to be found between 2008 and 2025 in the Harrogate District.
0281_1729 / 24602	Carter Jonas - Rudding Estates Ltd	Comment	Follifoot was originally designated as an inset settlement within the west yorkshire green belt, this enabled modest open market residential development. HBC decided to remove this status and wash over the settlement.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0286_1743 / 24524	Carter Jonas - Zetland Estates	Comment	The council admits that there are some uncertainties about the timing of the preferred option sites coming forward. Some of this uncertainty may rise from the potential for subsidence from gypsum dissolution. Other sites by their very name for example the former gas works and goods yard would suggest ground contamination issues. All of these issues affects the viability and developability of the site and not necessarily whether they are available.
0286_1743 / 24525	Carter Jonas - Zetland Estates	Comment	A number of the preferred options are identified as being within the conservation area or adjoining protected buildings. This could constrain the level of development required to achieve development. Such matters will affect the economic of such a site.
0286_1743 / 24526	Carter Jonas - Zetland Estates	Comment	Bringing sites forward where the development economics are marginal, the council may have to compromise on its ability to deliver such benefits as open space or more importantly affordable homes.
0286_1743 / 24527	Carter Jonas - Zetland Estates	Comment	Preferred option sites in Ripon that are affected by Gypsum, have ground contamination issues and that have marginal viability costs, all contribute towards the council not having a sufficient five year land supply. We therefore disagree with the council that an urban extension to the city is not appropriate. We take the view that the council should consider the release a strategic area of the edge of the city.
0291_1741 / 24532	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Comment	The council admits that there are some uncertainties about the timing of the preferred option sites coming forward. Some of this uncertainty may rise from the potential for subsidence from gypsum dissolution. Other sites by their very name for example the former gas works and goods yard would suggest ground contamination issues. All of these issues affects the viability and developability of the site and not necessarily whether they are available.
0291_1741 / 24533	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Comment	A number of the preferred options are identified as being within the conservation area or adjoining protected buildings. This could constrain the level of development required to achieve development. Such matters will affect the economics of such a site.
0291_1741 / 24534	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Comment	Bringing sites forward where the development economics are marginal, the council may have to compromise on its ability to deliver such benefits as open space or more importantly affordable homes.
0291_1741 / 24535	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Comment	Preferred option sites in Ripon that are affected by Gypsum, have ground contamination issues and that have marginal viability costs, all contribute towards the council not having a sufficient five year land supply. We therefore disagree with the council that an urban extension to the city is not appropriate. We take the view that the council should consider the release a strategic area of the edge of the city.
0295_1740 / 13007	Carter Jonas - Mr N Wilson	Comment	Although Grewelthorpe is classed as a Group C settlement it has got enough local facilities to be reclassified as a group B village.
0300_1956 / 12903	Barber Titleys - Mr N Thompson and Mr and Mrs M Atkinson	Comment	Policy SG1 states that 21.5% of new housing should be provided for in the villages and countryside. This should be increased to at least 25%.
0300_1956 / 12904	Barber Titleys - Mr N Thompson and Mr and Mrs M Atkinson	Comment	Kirkby Malzeard has been identified as a group B village and the village in the North Western part of the district as a local rural centre. A significant housing allocation is therefore needed in the village.
0302_1754 / 12877	Barber Titleys - Mr and Mrs M Atkinson	Comment	Policy SG1 is unsound on the basis that the 21.5% figure is too low. At least 25% of the housing requirement should go to the villages and countryside.
0302_1754 / 12878	Barber Titleys - Mr and Mrs M Atkinson	Comment	Kirkby Malzeard is identified by the core strategy as a group B village and is therefore an appropriate location to meet the need for additional new housing.
0313_2446 / 12974	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	Harrogate district will have to increase its employment land allocations from 21.4 ha to 25.9 ha fro the period up to 2021. This is specified in the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for Yorkshire and the Humber.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0313_1137 / 20850	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	Harrogate has an extremely limited Brownfield land supply to accommodate additional floor space for both housing and employment. A review of Green Belt boundaries is required to facilitate growth in the Borough.
0313_1137 / 20854	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	There is evidence of a deficiency in allocating land for both employment and new homes.
0313_1137 / 20864	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	Pannal should feature as part of Harrogate as per Policy SG1 of the Core Strategy submission draft to ensure conformity.
0313_1137 / 20865	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	Pannal is a highly sustainable location due to its excellent transport links. It should therefore be allocated more preferred options.
0313_1137 / 20867	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	The site allocations DPD should be withdrawn until the council has adequately undertaken the required evidence based work in relation to the SHLAA.
0313_1137 / 20877	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Comment	Pannal should feature as part of Harrogate as per Policy SG1 of the Core Strategy submission Draft to ensure conformity, and, that the site allocations preferred options DPD be updated accordingly.
0314_1479 / 12689	Paul Sharpe Associates - Tesco Stores Limited	Comment	The preferred sites identified for housing must be truly available, or are capable of being made available to meet the projected housing requirement over the plan period.
0322_2335 / 24623	Mr R Brown	Comment	Smaller development sites should be considered. Surely the Jennyfields estate is not the councils vision for future housing developments
0322_2335 / 24624	Mr R Brown	Comment	Providing a mix of market and affordable housing is nothing more than misguided socialist government ideology
0325_1370 / 12973	Mr M Pinkney	Comment	A new village with the necessary infrastructure and services that is needed should be built at Buttersyke Bar or the East of Knaresborough near the A1.
0328_1850 / 19935	Environment Agency	Comment	Any planning applications that fall within Flood Zone 2 or 3 will be expected to be accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) appropriate to the nature and scale of development proposed.
0336_1346 / 13074	Natural England (Yorkshire and Humber)	Comment	Natural England has got limited resources to fully respond fully to every consultation. Overall the DPD is generally fit for purpose, and closely follows Government advice.
0340_2436 / 24296	Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw Parish Council	Comment	Due to the recent upgrade of the A1 to motorway standard, the parish council fail to understand why Flaxby Moor industrial park does not feature on any development plans. Most major towns and cities have out of town industrial parks to reduce congestion in the urban area.
0347_2241 / 19936	Harrogate Civic Society	Comment	The 48% of the housing allocation for Harrogate should not be totally inflexible.
0347_2241 / 19938	Harrogate Civic Society	Comment	The use of trajectories should be encouraged to control phasing of development.
0347_2241 / 19939	Harrogate Civic Society	Comment	Support should be given for the preferred housing sites to supply a mix of market and affordable dwellings. It is essential to secure as much affordable housing as possible on each site.
0347_2241 / 19940	Harrogate Civic Society	Comment	Flooding and drainage are growing problems, partly due to weather conditions, and exacerbated by increasingly intensive coverage of the land with buildings and hard surfaces.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0354_2507 / 12667	Mr N G Mernock	Comment	Continued significant settlement growth around the periphery of Harrogate will have a detrimental impact on the town.
0354_2507 / 12670	Mr N G Mernock	Comment	Development should occur near Flaxby at the York side of the A1/A59 junction. An eco-village could be developed, which has good road and rail links.
0357_2482 / 13070	Local Government Yorkshire And Humber	Comment	The Yorkshire and Humber Assembly has been consulted on the site allocations DPD and wishes not to comment on this document.
0361_1959 / 12688	White Young Green Planning - Barker Business Park	Comment	The Employment Land Review (2006) makes reference to a spatial theme for Ripon and Melmerby, which mentions that efforts should be made to build on the economic opportunities of Northern market towns on the A1/A19 corridor.
0363_1566 / 12671	Mr S V Dawes	Comment	The councils decision to concentrate developing the majority of new houses and businesses to areas in the West of the town as unsound.
0363_1566 / 12678	Mr S V Dawes	Comment	It would be more sustainable to build new homes and businesses to the East of Knaresborough as there is already road and main rail infrastructure in place.
0388_0387 / 20023	Miss E M Barclay	Comment	The general consensus of local business owners in Ripon is that Ripon needs to develop economically by providing affordable and well accessed industrial and retail business units.
0393_2477 / 20045	Mr A Milsom	Comment	The excess of dwellings constructed over and above the number the number allocated in the previous Harrogate plan need to be taken into consideration.
0394_0394 / 20058	Mr C A Theobald	Comment	Any development in Ripon would need to be in keeping with the existing housing styles and density.
0402_0402 / 20102	Mr P Wells	Comment	There is already too much employment land allocated in the district. Large blocks remain empty at Cardale and Hornbeam Park.
0428_0430 / 20242	Ms J Hicks	Comment	Developers should be encouraged to build sheltered housing or assisted housing in Upperdale for the elderly residents who own their own properties in the area.
0446_0448 / 20366	Ms S Read	Comment	All small sites are appropriate apart from H32(1), H27(1) and H1021.
0449_0451 / 20390	Ms A Scott	Comment	The riverbed should be dredged to help reduce flood risk in Ripon.
0452_0949 / 12838	Mr M Raddats	Comment	New development should occur on a new site to the East of Knaresborough, near the railway, the A1 and the A59. This would provide the opportunity to build an exemplary eco-settlement.
0472_0475 / 13102	Mrs S Morris	Comment	Long Marston has got very little local services and facilities and poor public transport provision. This will mean that residents that do not have access to a car will feel isolated.
0475_0478 / 12845	Jetsam Art and Design	Comment	A new affordable or competitively affordable new village development should be built in the Bilton Triangle. This is presuming that it is sculpted around the areas conservation area.
0475_0478 / 12846	Jetsam Art and Design	Comment	A new affordable or competitively affordable new village development should be built in the West Pannel. This is presuming that it is sculpted around the areas conservation area.
0475_0478 / 12847	Jetsam Art and Design	Comment	A new affordable or competitively affordable new village development should be built to the East of Knaresborough.
0475_0478 / 12848	Jetsam Art and Design	Comment	A new affordable or competitively affordable new village development should be built in Bishop Monkton.
0480_0483 / 13026	Mr and Mrs French	Comment	The best location for development would be in the Pannel and A61 corridor, which will give easy access to Leeds by car, bus and train.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0481_0484 / 13113	Lambert Smith Hampton - National Offender Management Service	Comment	The National Offender Management Service (NOMS) state that the DPD should identify potential prison sites. This is especially relevant due to the ever-increasing prison population and overcrowding in the current institutions.
0481_0484 / 13114	Lambert Smith Hampton - National Offender Management Service	Comment	New prisons have the potential to have a substantial and beneficial impact on the economy of a local area. New jobs are created on the site, and then goods and services are then purchased in the community.
0491_2438 / 12867	Mr R Ruddock	Comment	A better form of settlement growth would be to spread smaller developments across the district. Sites H77, H25, H37, H19, H21, H60 and H14 would be more appropriate than H32(1) and H27(1).
0501_0505 / 13237	Mr D Wilson	Comment	All sites should be built for the rental market, as open housing is creating socially unsustainable areas, where people are being priced out of the market.
0506_0510 / 13248	Mrs J Waddington	Comment	All the sites are suitable for housing and employment except for K2b.
0514_0518 / 13265	Mr P R Watson	Comment	The policy of building affordable homes in rural villages is flawed. Affordable homes should be located on good public transport routes with good accessibility to jobs, shops and facilities.
0529_0533 / 13321	Mr M Harvey	Comment	House building should occur to the West of the village rather than in the locations chosen.
0552_0558 / 13377	Mr K Simpkins	Comment	If the irreplaceable green fields are to be sacrificed, then it should be for small low cost starter homes for local couples.
0554_0561 / 13380	The Rambler's Association	Comment	Developments should take account of existing footpaths and bridleways. They should be designed to maintain the integrity of the Footpath and bridleway networks.
0554_0561 / 13381	The Rambler's Association	Comment	Development of Sites R6, R4b, M3, RL1085, and RL1113(1) should ensure the integrity of the Footpath and Bridleway networks.
0555_0562 / 13385	Mr and Mrs D Naylor	Comment	New development should be concentrated around the A61 corridor. This would already have excellent transport infrastructure in place.
0562_2080 / 13402	Mr K Bradbury	Comment	A new town should be built around Menwith Hill moor to enable the adequate provision of necessary infrastructure needed for such a large development.
0566_0573 / 13406	Mr N Hunt	Comment	All the preferred sites should contain an element of affordable housing.
0567_0574 / 13407	Mr G Whyte	Comment	Settlement growth should be evenly spread throughout Harrogate and the surrounding district.
0569_0577 / 13410	Mr G Craggs	Comment	The residents of Boroughbridge should be allowed to decide the future of their town rather than inflicting what the council feel is politically expedient.
0572_0581 / 13418	Mr P H Metson	Comment	The proposed use of energy saving devices such as using ground heat source and grey water collection should be included in all developments. Communal space and other facilities such as refuse collection and bicycle storage should be planned at the design stage of developments.
0575_0644 / 20579	Mr and Mrs G Threadgold	Comment	If Boddys works in Boroughbridge is relocated then there is a great opportunity to increase access to the river and marina, which could be expanded.
0588_0597 / 13471	Mr J Vallance	Comment	Sites RL1018, RL1093, RL1094, RL1095 and RL1096 should be put forward for Development. All these sites have got good access and are within the built envelope.
0589_0598 / 13474	Mrs H Vallance	Comment	Sites RL1018, RL1093, RL1094, RL1095 and RL1096 should be put forward for Development. All these sites have got good access and are within the built envelope.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0594_0603 / 13490	Ms J Evans	Comment	The proposed developments should provide a mix of housing types and tenures with a significant provision reserved for local people. Locals find it hard to buy properties in the district and as a result they should be given a helping hand onto the property ladder.
0612_0622 / 13544	Mr C Hodgeon	Comment	Any planned developments should be accompanied by adequate access provision.
0617_0627 / 13549	Tomrods Ltd	Comment	The designated for industrial development is inadequate for the needs of the district. More sites will need to be developed to stop companies stagnating or moving out of the area.
0620_0630 / 13557	Mr A Fields	Comment	Sites RL1021, RL1049, and RL90 are more appropriate sites for development as they have good site access.
0627_0637 / 13570	Mr and Mrs K Barker	Comment	Staveley is already in need of a bypass to accommodate the large amount of vehicular movement, in particular from Hymas. The firm should be relocated near to the A1.
0628_0638 / 13623	Mr and Mrs J Hartley	Comment	Staveley is already in need of a bypass to accommodate the large amount of vehicular movement, in particular from Hymas. The firm should be relocated near to the A1.
0634_0645 / 13595	Prof D Geldart	Comment	There is a direct correlation between population size and crime rates. If the town continues to grow then the overall crime percentage will rise with it.
0635_0646 / 13604	Mrs Gilby	Comment	Development should be located to the South of the City, which has good access to transport links and adequate drainage facilities.
0639_0650 / 13609	Mr and Mrs Walton	Comment	The HYMAS haulage firm should be moved from Burton Leonard to an industrial estate. Housing could then be located on their existing site.
0653_1484 / 13629	Arrowsmith Associates - David Holmes Properties Ltd	Comment	The village of Birstwith should receive more development than the proposed 1.3% of new housing in rural villages when it has 5% of the overall population.
0658_0669 / 20622	Mr C Dye	Comment	The increased housing stock should be for the benefit of local people and their families rather than for people from outside the district.
0658_0669 / 20624	Mr C Dye	Comment	Any new development should be of appropriate scale and design to be in keeping with the existing locality.
0660_0671 / 20644	Mr and Mrs J Lawson-Brown	Comment	Land in Oakdale including that currently used, as a private golf club should be developed in place of site H3.
0669_0680 / 20731	Mrs King	Comment	Before future properties are built in Masham the sewerage and drainage situation urgently needs updating and improving, as its outdated and cannot cope at present.
0671_0682 / 20742	Harrogate Granby Congregation	Comment	The council should protect all sites to which the CFX Policy currently applies rather than override them for whatever reason.
0671_2166 / 26852	Harrogate Granby Congregation	Comment	The council should protect all sites to which the CFX Policy currently applies rather than override them for whatever reason.
0674_0685 / 20758	Mr T Dunk	Comment	The consequences of increased traffic volume on main routes will be congestion and pollution, and on minor 'rat runs' will be more accidents, injuries, and fatalities.
0710_0722 / 13778	Mr N Coutts	Comment	Local need in Summerbridge and Dacre Banks for affordable housing is questionable. Why encourage young families to live in villages, when local roads are busy enough and will only increase peoples carbon footprint.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0725_0920 / 13944	Mr and Mrs A Speight	Comment	Within Skelton there is a total of 120 dwelling. 40 of these dwellings are owned and rented by the villages only employer, Newby Hall Estate. 7 other dwellings within the village are owned by the Housing Association. Skeltons rental market is close to 50% and as such could almost be described as a deprived village. The development of 12 affordable houses would create an imbalance further in favour of the rented market, this is in contrast to government to guidelines of a balanced mix of housing stock. There are no sites available for mixed market and affordable within the settlement, and so the only option in development terms is for 100% affordable rural exception sites.
0746_0761 / 14256	Tim Ellis - Mr T Aidron	Comment	All of the preferred options in the Group B villages (Birstwith - Follifoot) are too small to make viable.
0746_0761 / 14259	Tim Ellis - Mr T Aidron	Comment	The vast majority of the preferred options in the Group B villages Long Marston - Tockwith) are too small to make viable, with exception of 150 dwellings at Tockwith.
0746_0761 / 14265	Tim Ellis - Mr T Aidron	Comment	Harrogate needs to build outside the development boundary to satisfy their housing numbers. The high affordable housing threshold makes many sites less viable.
0751_0768 / 24932	Mr and Mrs I White	Comment	Sites H3, H2 and H17 should be included for development purposes as they are adjacent to already developed land and the impact of their loss to the rural areas would be minimal.
0778_0797 / 21002	Mr L C Dowdall	Comment	The Yorkshire Show Ground should be utilised for sporting facilities.
0779_0798 / 21014	D A Preston	Comment	Development should occur in new towns instead of causing congestion and chaos in existing towns.
0786_0807 / 15040	Mrs A Watson	Comment	At around 5pm every evening the road from Cardale Park to Lady Lane and onto Hill Top Lane and down to Burnbridge becomes extremely busy with rush hour traffic. The roads are too narrow and winding. How are the roads going to cope with the traffic when the proposed homes are built. The infrastructure will not cope.
0791_2227 / 24981	Mr and Mrs R and M E Ward	Comment	The Council should evaluate the establishment of an ECO village in the Flaxby/Hopperton/Cattal area.
0804_2163 / 13755	Mr D J Simpson	Comment	A new settlement should be built around the good transport infrastructure of the railway line and the A1(m) at Flaxby.
0814_0838 / 21233	Mr and Mrs C Greenwood	Comment	If agricultural land has to be developed then lower quality land should be used first.
0831_0858 / 13763	Miss G Long	Comment	Development should occur in the form of an Eco-Village to the East of Knaresborough near to the A1, A59 and the railway.
0842_0871 / 13806	Mr and Mrs T and A Jones	Comment	The full allocation of housing and employment land could be met by developing a new eco-settlement in the South East quadrant of the land at junction 47 of the A1M with the A59.
0862_0893 / 13904	Mr H A Pickard	Comment	An eco-village to the East of the A1, West of the A168 and south of the A59 could be developed. It will have excellent transport infrastructure and will not have a detrimental impact on existing settlements.
0863_0895 / 13940	Miss R Jones	Comment	An eco-village should be developed near Flaxby along the A1.
0872_0905 / 13983	I G Lomas	Comment	The government's policy is to ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure, with the priority for development being on previously developed land.
0875_0908 / 14008	Mr A Smith	Comment	An eco-town could be developed in-between Flaxby and York, near the A1 and railway line.
0876_0909 / 21448	Dr M Goodfield	Comment	Northern Harrogate has seen enough development in the last 5 - 10 years. The south and east should therefore be developed where road access is easier.
0886_0921 / 14070	Mr and Mrs J Lord	Comment	Killinghall is being allocated a disproportional number of houses for a group B village of approximately 450 dwellings, which is other a 25% increase.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0892_0928 / 14108	Mr J R Floyd	Comment	The approach of finding numerous separate sites around the district is flawed. A new eco-town should be built instead.
0893_2370 / 21472	The Rambler's Association	Comment	There appears to be an under provision of employment land. The only new Greenfield provision is site H27(1).
0905_0942 / 14122	Dr T M Willshaw	Comment	Development should be spread throughout the district on smaller more sustainable sites than large-scale urban extensions.
0951_0990 / 14439	R T Stamper	Comment	Development should occur near to the A1 where traffic can get on the A1 quickly and efficiently.
0958_0997 / 24849	Mr P A Gray	Comment	The proportion of development allocated for harrogate (48%) is unfair, when you compare the amount of development to take place in ripon (8%).
0966_1006 / 21583	Mr and Mrs P Rix	Comment	There is an identified need for bungalows for elderly people in the Village of Pannal/Burn Bridge. The village is separate from Harrogate and so the amount and type of homes for local people should be geared to the identified needs of Pannal.
0968_1008 / 21679	Mr D Smeaton	Comment	Consideration needs to be made for spreading the housing more evenly over Harrogate.
0968_1008 / 21680	Mr D Smeaton	Comment	Affordable housing should be developed near to town centres for the ease of access to local facilities and amenities.
0969_2385 / 21657	Mrs J Blayney	Comment	Consideration needs to be made for spreading the housing more evenly over Harrogate.
0969_2385 / 21658	Mrs J Blayney	Comment	Affordable housing should be developed near to town centres for the ease of access to local facilities and amenities.
0986_1026 / 21984	B Marsden	Comment	There is scope for the burden of development to be shared more evenly amongst the villages, in particularly with Kirk Hammerton, Green Hammerton, and Hampsthwaite.
1012_1057 / 14498	Mr M O Leathley	Comment	Land usage for employment should be prioritized, and land designated for housing should be aligned by percentage directly proportional to employment expansion. Therefore if employment expansion in Ripon were 8%, then housing expansion should also be 8%.
1016_1061 / 14534	Ms D Costar	Comment	A more extensive review of Brownfield sites in Killinghall should occur before proceeding with the current majority Greenfield preferred options.
1022_1068 / 14587	Mr A Thornton	Comment	Radical alternatives should be given due consideration, such as to the East of the A1, which has good communication links and could support the development of a large village.
1024_1071 / 14603	Mr J Kelly	Comment	A smaller site on Brownfield land should be developed to the West of Tockwith between Prince Rupert Drive and Marston Moor Business Park.
1026_1073 / 24817	Mr and Mrs S G Blackburn	Comment	The total number of proposed dwellings in killinghall is one quarter of the current siz of the village.
1030_1079 / 24792	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie	Comment	The proposed figure for housing development within the countryside is 21.5%, how or where is this justified? Does the total population of the vilages/countryside represent 21.5% of the total population of the district?
1030_1079 / 24793	Mr and Mrs S and J Lawrie	Comment	Some additional sites have been included within the most up to date DPD. These sites that were not within the Summer 2006 consultation document have a far less detailed site schedule and description, also they may not have had as many supports/objections logged against them. Due to this the entire consultation seems to be seriously flawed.
1057_1108 / 22566	L Hopkins	Comment	H104 and H105 should be considered as one site when consideration to the 50% affordable housing requirement.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1059_1110 / 14631	Mr J Duckworth	Comment	There are no potential development sites in Harrogate as most developable land has been taken up already. The moorland of Skipton Road would be a useful alternative option.
1059_1110 / 14638	Mr J Duckworth	Comment	There are no potential development sites in Harrogate as most developable land has been taken up already. The moorland of Skipton Road would be a useful alternative option.
1062_1113 / 14657	Ms J Owen	Comment	The development of an eco-settlement to the East of Knaresborough should be given very serious consideration.
1077_2069 / 14717	Mr R Newman	Comment	Any new development must logically be along the railway line so as not to generate significantly more traffic. The most obvious area is around Pannel railway station.
1081_1132 / 14730	Mrs J Kirk	Comment	Before any more affordable housing is built in Kirkby Malzeard it needs to be established whether there is a demand or need for them.
1085_1139 / 14750	Crimple Valley Garden Centre	Comment	Small-scale housing developments should be favoured instead of large-scale developments. This would allow housing to be built next to existing local amenities, facilities, communities and infrastructure.
1104_1159 / 22818	Mr P Chan	Comment	Any new houses should be sympathetic to existing adjacent properties.
1104_1159 / 22821	Mr P Chan	Comment	The lifeblood of Harrogate is tourism and conferences. It will not remain attractive for these activities if the character and beauty of Harrogate are destroyed.
1113_2389 / 22901	Ms M Sutcliffe	Comment	An overlarge development would adversely affect traffic, sewage, and the demand on council services.
1114_1170 / 22924	Mrs B Towler	Comment	Cardale Wood must not be developed as it could form part of a future extension to the R.H.S Harlow Carr.
1130_1186 / 23077	Killinghall Village Protection Group	Comment	The Council would be justified in going back to the Government to say that the allocation for the Harrogate district as a whole is far too large.
1150_1206 / 23379	Mr and Mrs R Pearce	Comment	A greater allocation of development could occur in Birstwith to help reduce the need to build a disproportionate number of houses in Killinghall.
1151_2338 / 23389	Mr and Mrs K Lowe	Comment	H27(1), H27 and H32 would be less offensive than H32(1).
1156_1212 / 14816	Peacock And Smith - Reed Boardall Cold Storage Ltd	Comment	Additional employment land is needed in Boroughbridge given the clear emphasis which is now being placed upon the concept of 'food clusters' within the A1/A19 corridor.
1156_1212 / 14817	Peacock And Smith - Reed Boardall Cold Storage Ltd	Comment	The two employment options of B12 and B11(1) will not provide enough necessary employment land needed in the town as they are both currently in employment use.
1156_1212 / 14828	Peacock And Smith - Reed Boardall Cold Storage Ltd	Comment	Any objective assessment should quite properly favour sites B17 and B18 over any or all of sites RL39, B100, B1000 or B3.
1158_1242 / 23483	Mr K Frizelle	Comment	There is currently a chronic shortage of employment land in Harrogate. More land needs to be allocated for warehousing and distribution.
1161_1218 / 25005	CPRE	Comment	The CPRE feel there is need for the allocations to be justified against the need for housing and employment
1161_1218 / 25006	CPRE	Comment	Large quantities of housing have been allocated in settlements where the parish have indicated that there is not the need for such quantities. We are rather mystified as to why the figures that have been supplied have not been considered.
1161_1218 / 25008	CPRE	Comment	In full support of providing affordable homes within the district.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1161_1218 / 25009	CPRE	Comment	Unemployment in the district is low, we therefore question whether there is such a need for employment land
1161_1218 / 25010	CPRE	Comment	It is clearly evident that a great deal of the districts housing is now being occupied by Eastern europeans, this has worsened the situation for local residents.
1165_1222 / 24243	Mr J F Gentle	Comment	The best solution for harrogate is the development of a new town
1176_1233 / 23704	Harlow Moor Drive/Lascalles Residents	Comment	Harrogate Borough Council should reduce its allocation of housing land in South-West Harrogate thus limiting traffic increases on Harlow Moor Drive and Road. (Petition, 76 signatures)
1194_1254 / 23806	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Comment	There are currently an insufficient number of smaller business units available for rent or purchase in Harrogate town area to meet demand and expected growth in the local economy.
1194_1254 / 23807	Harrogate Chamber of Trade and Commerce	Comment	It is vital that land currently used for employment is retained for employment unless it is clearly too small or isolated to be suitable for modern day business requirements.
1199_1262 / 23840	Mrs J C Lowes	Comment	All western boundary expansion should be resisted, as this would constitute urban sprawl.
1199_1262 / 23841	Mrs J C Lowes	Comment	Greenfield sites on the outskirts of town should be protected as high priority.
1199_1262 / 23842	Mrs J C Lowes	Comment	Very large housing developments are likely to repeat past mistakes of deterioration into 'sink' estates.
1199_1262 / 23844	Mrs J C Lowes	Comment	Harrogate Borough Council should not implement the 'one size fits all' house building programmes imposed by national government.
1201_1264 / 23921	Mrs J Tankard	Comment	H27, H60 and H11 should be developed before H1004.
1204_1267 / 24090	Mr P J Whittle	Comment	H74(1) and the sustainable site east of Knaresborough suggested by RASH have been identified as the only railway station based opportunities and should be considered instead of H27.
1210_1273 / 23999	Mrs S E Findlay	Comment	Land at the spa tennis club and the two Yorkshire water sites on Harlow Moor Road are preferable for development.
1213_1276 / 24008	Mr M Warden	Comment	Auction mart and Hutton Bank must be retained for commercial use.
1213_1276 / 24011	Mr M Warden	Comment	The A1(M) will not bring huge demand for employment allocations. Allocations should therefore be located close to the corridor: Boroughbridge, Masham, Tockwith, west of potters/barker BP Melmerby.
1231_2130 / 14910	Mrs A J Thornton	Comment	Development should occur on land to the East of the A1. This has good communication links and could support the development of a large village.
1233_1301 / 14921	Mr and Mrs J H Towler	Comment	An alternative site that has been put forward at the opposite side of Bishop Thornton to RI1147 should be allocated. It is near to the public transport routes.
1263_1332 / 15098	Dr A Irwin	Comment	Harrogate is a unique town that contains large gardens, beautiful houses, trees, flowers and entertainment facilities. These characteristics are special and they need to be protected.
1270_1339 / 15156	Mr and Mrs A Marshall	Comment	Pannal has already been overdeveloped and the same should not happen to the area around Beckwithshaw.
1289_1362 / 15300	Cllr S Beer	Comment	Southlands car park on the high street could be developed to allow for underground parking with accommodation above.
1289_1362 / 15301	Cllr S Beer	Comment	Sothlands bungalows could be replaced with purpose built old peoples accomodation.
1289_1362 / 15302	Cllr S Beer	Comment	Housing could be allocated for the Crown Hotel car park in Lofthouse.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1290_1363 / 15304	Mr R Yeoman	Comment	Much of the new housing that is built appears to be catering only for the profits of the developers and there seems to be very little new affordable housing for young people.
1306_1382 / 15177	J M Callister	Comment	Developments should take place near Major roads/rail lines, like the A1 or A59.
1315_1392 / 16985	Dacre Son And Hartley - Mr W Scurrah	Comment	The two preferred options in Boroughbridge only equate to 5.3 ha of land for housing. This is a shortfall of 1.3 ha compared with the Council's identified land that is required to meet development needs in Boroughbridge up to 2020, of 6.6 ha.
1328_1405 / 17051	Mr J R Mills	Comment	Any development that is not located on the south side of the City would lead to congestion through the City centre.
1330_1407 / 23345	A Wells	Comment	Development sites in Cattal should be considered due to the proximity to the A1 and railway station
1349_1426 / 17150	Mr and Mrs P Barry	Comment	The R.A.S.H campaign should be supported.
1366_1444 / 17191	Mr W E Woods	Comment	Development should be spread out amongst the surrounding villages where there is good commuting to Harrogate.
1388_1466 / 17317	Ms A Balsdon	Comment	The council should compulsory purchase ex council houses to accommodate people in the district that are in need of affordable housing.
1393_2457 / 17339	Mr E M O'Sullivan	Comment	Developers should pay for providing housing for the homeless and any extra costs for affordable housing.
1393_2457 / 17341	Mr E M O'Sullivan	Comment	A new category should be added for senior citizen householders who wish to downsize, to have affordable smaller houses/apartments available for purchase.
1406_1637 / 15371	Hutchinson and Buchanan - Mr J L Umpleby	Comment	The release of approximately 400 houses per year is not considered to be sufficient to meet the needs of the Harrogate District for the duration of the plan period. Kirkby Malzeard needs to have 30 dwellings instead of the proposed 8 allocated.
1422_1504 / 24506	H Warren	Comment	Much of the proposed developments seem to be on the NW and SW sectors of Harrogate. Is this feasible in terms of ease of access, employment, schools and town facilities.
1422_1504 / 24512	H Warren	Comment	Has an allowance been made for the impact and requirements of all the housing in the NW and SW sectors of Harrogate on the sewerage and drainage facilities.
1431_1513 / 15501	Mr P J McCreanor	Comment	Housing should not be built in isolation. Regeneration schemes should also attract employment to make the housing more sustainable.
1459_1545 / 24197	Mrs E A Acaster	Comment	The distribution of development is disproportionate between Harrogate and Ripon. Ripon would be a better settlement for increased development
1466_1552 / 15666	Mr and Mrs D Marsden	Comment	Sites RL1041, RL1049 and RL90 are better alternatives to the preferred option of RL1085(1) in the village of Burton Leonard.
1486_1577 / 15784	Sir James Crosby	Comment	Facilitating development across a range of locations should enable localised infrastructure issues to be more readily resolved. It will also help maintain effective social cohesion through a balanced development plan until 2025.
1487_1578 / 15785	National Grid	Comment	The National Grid has been consulted on the Harrogate LDF process and they wish to be consulted on any further DPDs in the future.
1488_1579 / 15795	Mr C C Scagell	Comment	No preferred site options have been identified in Roecliffe but it has more capacity than Skelton on Ure for affordable housing development.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1514_1610 / 24139	A Sevi	Comment	If large housing estates are to be developed then consideration should be given to bidding to the government to secure permission to build an out of town eco-community, the most appropriate would be adjacent to the A1/A59 where road and rail links are strong enough to secure major development.
1545_1647 / 16099	Mrs H L Spurr	Comment	Brownfield sites should be developed before the preferred Greenfield site in the village of Tockwith.
1546_1648 / 16108	Mr C M Spurr	Comment	Development should occur on Brownfield sites before the use of actively used Greenfield sites.
1560_1667 / 16178	Mr and Mrs J R Jackson	Comment	Affordable homes could be built at the other end of the village from RL1147, as it would have good access to the transport infrastructure, and shops and facilities.
1568_1676 / 16230	Miss L Ward	Comment	The average house prices means that the properties will be too high for first time buyers.
1578_2196 / 16282	Mr J Glyn Jones	Comment	If an increase in house numbers are required by central government the possibility of a new town or village build should be explored.
1580_1689 / 23992	Mr G Langton	Comment	Development at Bogs Lane was initially rejected due to increased traffic on local roads, why then has this decision be reversed. Is there any point in objecting to unsuitable development. I agree brown field sites are at a premium within the town. Due to annual increase in traffic volumes a site near to the bypass would be a better option
1589_1700 / 16307	Mr J Craven	Comment	New buildings must be, in terms of design and density, strictly in keeping with surrounding/adjacent properties.
1610_1742 / 23712	Carter Jonas - Mr R Turton	Comment	Little regard is had to promoting land for mixed use and employment development
1611_1744 / 23744	Carter Jonas - Mr N Meader	Comment	There are a number of sites within the preferred options that, through local knowledge, would not be available or deliverable. These sites should not contribute towards the 5 year housing land supply and possibly the whole duration of the LDF
1617_2483 / 16449	Barber Titleys - Mr Trustees of M Holmes	Comment	Harrogate has been identified as the location for 48% of the new housing in the District during the LDF period. The release of Greenfield sites such as H22 will be needed to meet the identified need.
1619_1757 / 16472	Mrs I M Carr	Comment	Small infill sites should be utilised to ensure that Harrogate does not become to resemble the urbanisation of Leeds.
1623_1761 / 16493	Mrs P Ward	Comment	Development in Follifoot should be spread out on smaller sites throughout the village.
2152_1558 / 24207	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	In support of the proposal for 10 houses to be built over the LDF plan period. Development should be equally split between market and affordable. The preferred option site chosen by Harrogate BC is not ideal, we propose alternative sites RL1021, RL90, RL1041 and RL2056. Housing should be designed in small groups minimising the impact.
2152_1558 / 24211	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	Development should be targeted in those countryside settlements where they are lacking in facilities, especially where those settlements show high housing need. The distribution of housing in rural areas, 21.5%, does not meet the need.

## G5 - Brownfield / Windfall Housing

0872_0905 / 13981	I G Lomas	Object	RL98(1) should not be developed until after 2012, as the council has stated that there is sufficient Brownfield sites to last until 2012.
-------------------	-----------	--------	---

## G6 - General / Other

0119_1747 / 10939	Mr D E Ruddock	Support	The sites around the East of Knaresborough K4, K9, K1003, K1004, K2a and the K2b are preferred to the sites around the West of Harrogate. It has superior infrastructure and services in place.
-------------------	----------------	---------	---

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
0267_1259 / 25129	Barton Willmore Partnership - Lands Improvement	Support	The site presents an opportunity to an alternative large scale urban extension
0291_1741 / 24536	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Support	Sites to the Southeast of Ripon R2a, b, c, d, e, f, h and i would create the opportunity for an urban extension to ripon. The site benefits from being in close proximity to the city centre. There is also access to the main road network of the A61. The benefit of an urban extension would be to enable effective green transport and enhance cycle and pedestrian routes possibly utilising the former railway line.
0291_1741 / 24537	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Support	Sites to the Southeast of Ripon R2a, b, c, d, e, f, h and i would create the opportunity for an urban extension to ripon. The sustainability appraisal suggests the site scores positively on the social objectives being able to deliver jobs and homes. A neutral score is achieved against the economic objectives and negative for environmental.
0725_0920 / 14021	Mr and Mrs A Speight	Support	In Skelton on Ure there is an area of land of approx 0.4ha behind the social housing on the Crowgarth development. Although greenfield the site has no agricultural purpose and would be suitable for affordable dwellings.
0989_1030 / 21996	Mr J S Mason	Support	H104, H105(1) and H1023 should go forward for development in northwest Harrogate, as they will have little affect on the infrastructure of the town.
0989_1030 / 21997	Mr J S Mason	Support	H39 and H1026 should go forward for development in southwest Harrogate, as they will have little affect on the infrastructure of the town.
0989_1030 / 21998	Mr J S Mason	Support	H107, H109, H1020, H1014 and H110(1) should go forward for development in northeast Harrogate, as they will have little affect on the infrastructure of the town.
0989_1030 / 21999	Mr J S Mason	Support	H1004, H1013 and H1021 should go forward for development in northeast Harrogate, as they will have little affect on the infrastructure of the town.
0313_2446 / 20874	Barton Willmore Partnership - Forward Investments LLP	Object	The council has no evidence base to suggest that there is a need for a new railway based business park at Pannal.
0401_2228 / 20093	Mr and Mrs J A Gordon	Object	H27(1), H28, H32, H77, H31, H11, H14 and H60 would have a negative impact on the village of Beckwithshaw.
0401_2228 / 20094	Mr and Mrs J A Gordon	Object	There are insufficient existing shops and services within the area to be able to support development at sites H27(1), H28, H32, H77, H31, H11, H14 and H60.
1042_1091 / 22790	Mrs H G Hutchinson	Object	H37, H25 and H105 should never be built upon.
1575_1684 / 23991	Miss C Woodham and Mr J Bond	Object	Development of all Bilton Triangle sites will cause extra traffic on starbeck high street. Starbeck is already gridlocked and cannot cope with extra houses and traffic.
0111_2022 / 11061	Lister Haigh Ltd - Messrs J Revis and Son	Comment	The allocation of site RL38 is appropriate were the core strategy amended to incorporate a more flexible extension to all settlements and therefore, the preferred options are generally being allocated prematurely.
0760_0777 / 14470	Mr R Beardshall	Comment	Developments should, as much as possible, be social housing for people working I the district (I would suggest 100% affordable rather than 50%).
0760_0777 / 14471	Mr R Beardshall	Comment	All houses should be built to maximise energy and water usage. Measures should be taken to mitigate increased use of the car and prevent the need for any new roads.
1146_1202 / 23284	Mr A P Kirkby	Comment	Sites H15 and H22 may be more suitable for the initial tranche of housing sites than H3.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
1165_1222 / 24251	Mr J F Gentle	Comment	Reluctant to agree with the proposed development plan. It is inadequate and doesn't have a sustainable supply of land sites after two 15year periods. 390 dwellings per appears too low to insure the 75,000 who leave school in the 15year period will be able to live in Harrogate. Many of the young people in the future will require affordable housing. The obvious solution is for the creation of a new town east of the A1(M).

## Homes for Local people

### H2 - General / Other - Overall support on the principle of a site being developed for housing. Use to record general support in addition to other comments.

0186_1102 / 19612	Mr B W Thornley	Support	H1023, H3, H104, H105, H39, H27(1), H1022, H1015, H108, H19, H28 and H25 are all all suitable sites for the allocation of housing to the west of the A61.
-------------------	-----------------	---------	---

## Jobs and Business

### J2 - General / Other

0273_1753 / 24605	Barber Titleys - Mr P Garforth	Comment	Both housing allocation sites within the settlement have existing employment usages. Developing the sites would cause a loss of employment land.
-------------------	--------------------------------	---------	--

## Travel

### T1 - Impact on Highway Network

0401_2228 / 20095	Mr and Mrs J A Gordon	Object	Development at sites H27(1), H28, H32, H77, H31, H11, H14 and H60 would have a detrimental impact on the existing highway network.
-------------------	-----------------------	--------	--

### T6 - Walking and Cycling

0399_0399 / 20085	Mr I Grunewald	Object	The planned access for pedestrians and cyclists goes through a private road, which is currently used as a safe play area for local children.
-------------------	----------------	--------	--

1149_1205 / 23348	Mr and Mrs G Chapman	Object	Residents would not walk or cycle to adjacent convenient areas of employment.
-------------------	----------------------	--------	---

### T8 - General / Other

1590_1701 / 16311	Ms F Lovell	Object	Any further traffic would compound the problem of access, particularly for traffic turning right into the access road.
-------------------	-------------	--------	--

## Environment and Quality of Life

### Q2 - Density / Design Layout

0200_0936 / 19654	Duchy Residents Association	Comment	The proposed density of 25 dwellings per hectare is in excess of that for adjacent properties. This should be reduced to a maximum of 20 dwellings per hectare.
-------------------	-----------------------------	---------	---

### Q3 - Impact on Landscape / Countryside / Greenbelt / Green Wedges

0315_0899 / 12634	Harrogate Branch Labour Party	Object	The Bilton Triangle creates a much-needed green wedge; therefore H4c, H4B, H102, H29, and H1000 should not be developed.
-------------------	-------------------------------	--------	--

### Q5 - Impact on Amenity

0966_1006 / 21593	Mr and Mrs P Rix	Object	Vehicles parked on a raised level affect the visual amenities and are intrusive on neighbouring properties. This may be exacerbated by the proposed extension of the site.
-------------------	------------------	--------	--

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
<b>Q6 - Impact on Climate Change</b>			
1263_1332 / 15101	Dr A Irwin	Object	Harrogate seems to have a constant grey sky from pollution. Further settlement growth would exacerbate through increased traffic and congestion.
<b>Q8 - General / Other</b>			
0093_1596 / 10837	Miss J Share	Object	large developments will contribute to air pollution through additional car fumes.
<b>Communities</b>			
<b>C3 - Sport, Recreation and Open Space</b>			
0966_1006 / 21589	Mr and Mrs P Rix	Comment	The open space required should be provided on site and in the interests of enhancing this important approach should be at the front of the site.
<b>Implementation</b>			
<b>I1 - Land Availability / Deliverability</b>			
0291_1741 / 24538	Carter Jonas - Mr and Mrs J P Thackray OBE	Support	Sites to the Southeast of Ripon R2a, b, c, d, e, f, h and i are available for development.
1173_1230 / 23601	Barber Titleys - Spa Tennis Club	Support	The site is in single ownership and is available for redevelopment subject to relocation of the existing tennis facility.
<b>Decision Making Process</b>			
<b>D1 - Sustainability Appraisal</b>			
0221_1278 / 19709	Nathaniel Lichfield - Commercial Estates Group	Support	The sustainability appraisal demonstrates that sites H8, H9 and H22 achieve a positive score for social and economic objectives and are amongst the four highest scoring sites in the Northwest sector.
<b>D2 - Selection of Options</b>			
0145_1721 / 24687	ID Planning - Woodhead Investments and Development Services LTD	Object	The council have failed to meet test of soundness 7 on the basis that the council have not considered soundness test 4 giving preference to brownfield sites
0267_1259 / 25132	Barton Willmore Partnership - Lands Improvement	Object	HBCs LDS has stated that the Site Allocations DPD is expected to be adopted in 2010. 6 out of 7 preferred option sites in ripon are expected to be granted planning permission in 2008 and largely constructed by 2010. Paragraph 58 in PPS3 states that LA should not include sites which already have planning permission, unless it is proved the sites are developable and likely to contribute towards housing delivery at the point envisaged. Due to this 6 out of 7 preferred options cannot contribute towards the 5 year housing land supply.
0267_1259 / 25133	Barton Willmore Partnership - Lands Improvement	Object	Of the councils 7 preferred options in ripon Land Improvements believes there are a number of fundamental flaws in assumptions in availability, suitability and viability.
1402_1482 / 24991	Mrs J Etchells	Object	The Council's approach to possible future development in Kirk Hammerton has been reactive, rather than proactive and as a result any site search 'base' has been unnecessarily restricted, and limited to only considering sites which have been put forward.
0271_1736 / 24586	Carter Jonas - DMB Ltd	Comment	Cannot understand why the council have chosen two employment sites for the preferred options for housing.

Topic / Issue Reference	Name	Stance	Comment
<b>D3 - Evidence</b>			
0267_1259 / 25130	Barton Willmore Partnership - Lands Improvement	Object	The housing completion figures for 2004-07 include holiday lets/chalets. Holiday lets fall into use class C1 and not C3 for residential dwellings, so should not contribute towards housing figures.
0267_1259 / 25131	Barton Willmore Partnership - Lands Improvement	Object	Paragraph 59 of PPS3 its states that windfall sites should not be included within the first 10 years without a credible evidence base. HBC have not complied with this due to an insufficient evidence base.
0229_1277 / 19750	Andrew Martin Associates - Hallam Land Management Ltd	Comment	Agree windfall sites will be required, although the council have overestimated the scale of this supply. Thus an adequate land supply has not been robustly tested.
0267_1259 / 25128	Barton Willmore Partnership - Lands Improvement	Comment	The eastern part of the site had previously been allocated for development, although the council rejected its inclusion within the development plan process.
1454_1538 / 24551	M J M Planning - SOCA (Save Our Conservation Area)	Comment	The Council needs to rethink its whole approach to the planning of Follofoot village, starting off with a new Village Design Study and a Conservation Area Study/Appraisal to help inform a revised and fresh Sustainability Appraisal which takes into account the sensitivity/value/importance of different environmental resources and enables a robust and credible analysis of all the Site Options.
<b>D4 - Consultation</b>			
0340_2436 / 24279	Haverah Park with Beckwithshaw Parish Council	Object	The Parish Council are not in support of the preferred options due to the lack of prior consultation to alter the Parish boundary. This process is considered inaccessible to a section of the electorate due to its magnituden and technical complexity of the process and the needs for computer literacy and competence. We note that HBC has no declared standards for public accessibility to important components of its web site. This process has disenfranchised the electorate due to its long timescale of launch in 2004 accompanied by a new leaflet which at that time was not delivered to all electors. The next notification was the current leaflet distributed in January 2008, requiring considerable research to meet the objectives of a response to the document 'Plan the future with us'.
0452_0949 / 12836	Mr M Raddats	Object	The inadequate timeframe of six weeks for public consultation on the DPD should be increased to at least another three months.
<b>D5 - General / Other</b>			
2152_1558 / 24208	Burton Leonard Parish Council	Comment	Not enough though was given to the importance of the impact of major transport links of leeds/bradford and york.